

**INFORMATION  
SYSTEMS  
CONCEPTS  
FOR MANAGEMENT**

**McGRAW-HILL SERIES IN MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS**

**Gordon B. Davis, Consulting Editor**

Davis: *MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS:  
Conceptual Foundations, Structure, and Development*

Davis and Everest: *READINGS IN MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS*

Lucas: *THE ANALYSIS, DESIGN, AND IMPLEMENTATION  
OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS*

Lucas: *INFORMATION SYSTEMS CONCEPTS  
FOR MANAGEMENT*

Lucas and Gibson: *A CASEBOOK FOR MANAGEMENT  
INFORMATION SYSTEMS*

# **INFORMATION SYSTEMS CONCEPTS FOR MANAGEMENT**

Henry C. Lucas, Jr.

Schools of Business  
New York University

**McGraw-Hill Book Company**

New York   St. Louis   San Francisco   Auckland  
Bogotá   Düsseldorf   Johannesburg  
London   Madrid   Mexico   Montreal   New Delhi  
Panama   Paris   São Paulo   Singapore  
Sydney   Tokyo   Toronto

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Lucas, Henry C

Information systems concepts for management.

(McGraw-Hill series in management information systems)

Includes bibliographies and index.

1. Management information systems. I. Title.

T58.6.L815 658.4'5 77-10502

ISBN 0-07-038922-5

## INFORMATION SYSTEMS CONCEPTS FOR MANAGEMENT

Copyright © 1978 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

4 5 6 7 8 9 0 F G R F G R 8 3 2 1 0

This book was set in Times Roman by University Graphics, Inc. The editors were Peter D. Nalle and Barbara Brooks; the production supervisor was Donna Piligra.

The drawings were done by Anco/Boston.

Fairfield Graphics was printer and binder.

See Acknowledgments on pages xi-xii. Copyrights included on this page by reference.

To Jonathan

# Contents

|                 |    |
|-----------------|----|
| Preface         | ix |
| Acknowledgments | xi |

## **PART ONE MANAGERS AND INFORMATION**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. The Information Systems Field                     | 3  |
| 2. The Nature of Information and Decision Making     | 15 |
| 3. Frameworks for Information Systems                | 33 |
| 4. An Overview of Computer-Based Information Systems | 47 |

## **PART TWO ORGANIZATIONAL ISSUES**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 5. The Impact of Information Systems on the Organization | 59 |
| 6. Successful Implementation                             | 75 |

## **PART THREE COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY**

|                                       |     |
|---------------------------------------|-----|
| 7. Fundamentals of Computer Equipment | 93  |
| 8. Computer Software                  | 131 |

|  |         |
|--|---------|
| 9. Computer Files                                    | 167     |
| 10. System Alternatives and Acquisition              | 197     |
| <br><b>PART FOUR SYSTEMS ANALYSIS AND DESIGN</b>     |         |
| 11. Introduction and Overview                        | 219     |
| 12. Inception through Specifications                 | 235     |
| 13. Programming through Installation                 | 257     |
| 14. Project Management                               | 271     |
| <br><b>PART FIVE EXAMPLES OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS</b> |         |
| 15. General Information Systems                      | 281     |
| 16. Decision Support Systems                         | 311     |
| <br><b>PART SIX SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CONCERNS</b>      |         |
| 17. Information Systems Issues for Management        | 331     |
| 18. Societal Implications                            | 347     |
| <br>Index  | <br>361 |

# Preface

The purpose of this book is to help students of management learn about the concepts of computer-based information systems. Managers allocate resources to the development and operation of computer-based systems and often use systems themselves. Managers make critical decisions about information systems: What types of systems are to be developed? Which specific alternative for a proposed system will be implemented? How are systems to be operated? A manager is also responsible for the evaluation and acquisition of computer resources.

Many managers are users of information systems and work directly with computer input and/or output; they may also supervise people who work with information systems. These individuals also come in contact with colleagues in the computer department, and the information services department reports to a manager in the organization.

Thus, the modern manager is confronted with many information-systems-related decisions and must understand issues in the management of information systems. This text is written from the perspective of the student who will be a user of information systems; it is not intended for the student who specializes in computer-based systems.

The text has been developed from M.B.A. courses at Stanford and New York University. The students viewed these courses as their only exposure to computer-based information systems. One of the main goals of the book, therefore, is to help students majoring in such functional areas as finance, accounting, or marketing learn to make intelligent decisions about information systems and computers. No background on the part of the student is assumed, though it would be helpful to have written at least one program in a language such as BASIC or FORTRAN. In most instances, the student can function with no further technical knowledge than that contained in the text and its recommended readings; however, the student should also learn from the text when additional expertise is required.

Cases can be used to supplement the text and to show how to apply the reading material. Specific questions and problems may be found at the end of each chapter along with key words and recommended readings. Throughout the chapters themselves there is a series of management problems. These problems describe a situation in brief and ask the reader to suggest a solution. The management problems attempt to illustrate how the material in each chapter relates to a managerially oriented decision. The problems should help the student appreciate that a manager needs to have some grasp of technical issues in order to make decisions about information systems.

The ultimate goal of the book, then, is to help the reader become an intelligent consumer of computer-based information systems.

I am indebted to my students and colleagues whose inputs have helped shape the contents of the book. Most important, I acknowledge the invaluable assistance of my wife, Ellen. She provided insightful editorial advice on the manuscript and created an environment which made the entire project possible.

Henry C. Lucas, Jr.

# Acknowledgments

I acknowledge the permission of the following publishers to include the material described below in the text:

Columbia University Press for Figure 2-1 from H. C. Lucas, Jr., *Why Information Systems Fail*, 1975.

The editors of *INFOR* for Tables 1, 2, and 3 from H. C. Lucas, Jr. and J. R. Moore, "A Multiple-Criterion Scoring Approach to Information System Project Selection," February 1976.

The Institute of Management Sciences for Figure 1 from W. F. Hamilton and M. A. Moses, "A Computer-based Corporate Planning System," *Management Science*, October 1974.

The editors of the *IBM Systems Journal* for Figure 3 and Table 1 from J. H. Wimbrow, "A Large-Scale Interactive Administrative System," 1971.

The Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University for Figures 6.4, 6.9, and 6.10 from M. S. Scott Morton, *Management Decision Systems*, 1971.

McGraw-Hill for Figure 7-7 from T. C. Bartee, *Digital Computer Fundamentals*, 4th ed., 1977; Figure 3-17 from R. W. Watson, *Timesharing System Design Concepts*, 1970; Figure 11-5 from G. Davis, *Computer Data Processing*,

2d ed., 1973; Figure 18-12 from G. Davis, *Introduction to Computers*, 3d ed., 1977; Figures 20-1 and 20-4 from N. Nie et al., *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences*, 2d ed., 1975; Figure 8.3-1 from W. Cole, *Introduction to Computing*, 1969; Figure 8-3 from G. Bell and A. Newell, *Computer Structures: Readings and Examples*, 1971; and Figure 2-11.1 from H. Hellerman and I. Smith, *APL 360: Programming and Applications*, 1976.

Some of the material on managerial activities, computer files, vendor selection, systems analysis and design, project management, conversion and installation of systems, social issues, and the Hardserve example is taken from my earlier McGraw-Hill book, *The Analysis, Design, and Implementation of Information Systems*. Interested readers should consult this text for more details.

# **INFORMATION SYSTEMS CONCEPTS FOR MANAGEMENT**

## Part One

# Managers and Information

In this first part of the text, we introduce the concept of information and define an information system. What is the nature of information? How are data interpreted by each individual and organization to become information? We examine decision making in some detail because one objective of an information system is to provide information to support decision making. Emphasis is placed on distinguishing among different types of decisions and their information requirements. With this background, we can examine frameworks for information systems—frameworks which provide a conceptual model to aid in the design of systems. This part concludes with a scenario showing the wide variety of computer-based information systems existing today.

THE HISTORICAL EVOLUTION OF COMPUTERS  
INFORMATION SYSTEMS  
THE ORGANIZATION AS AN INFORMATION-PROCESSING ENTITY  
THE INTERDISCIPLINARY NATURE OF THE FIELD  
OVERVIEW OF THE TEXT  
KEY WORDS  
RECOMMENDED READINGS  
DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# The Information Systems Field

During the past two decades, the number of computer-based information systems in private- and public-sector organizations has grown exponentially. A new computer products and services industry has developed to supply the tools necessary to build computer-based information systems. A substantial number of individuals who design, build, and operate computer-based information systems now classify themselves as computer professionals.

While a large number of people are employed to design and operate information systems, many more individuals are involved as users or “consumers” of information systems. Users include individuals from a broad spectrum of occupations, ranging from workers in a factory to the top management of a corporation. Use of an information system includes the receipt of a report, the submission of input for a system, and the operation of a terminal or a similar activity. In addition to work experiences with computer-based information systems, most individuals encounter these systems in other activities. Credit card users, travelers making reservations, social security recipients, and many others confront computer-based systems directly or indirectly.

In today's complex society, a knowledge of computer-based information systems is vital for an educated individual, particularly for the professional manager. It has been estimated that one-third to one-half of the current gross

national product of the United States is currently attributable to the production and distribution of information. This trend is a departure from a traditional economy based on the production and distribution of tangible goods; the United States is entering an "Information Age." For most organizations—in the future, if not already—the determining factor in competition will be the processing and analysis of information.

The purpose of this book is to present the concepts and issues necessary for a manager to understand and work meaningfully with computer-based information systems. The goal of the text is to help the reader develop sufficient knowledge to make intelligent decisions about these systems. Examples of such decisions are the selection of computer equipment, the choice of which computer applications to undertake in an organization, and the selection of specific alternatives in the design of a computer-based information system. Our perspective is one of the user of information systems, not of a computer professional. However, we shall discuss some topics of interest to computer professionals in order to gain an understanding of crucial issues in the field.

## THE HISTORICAL EVOLUTION OF COMPUTERS

In the late 1800s, Herman Hollerith invented the punched card for processing the 1890 census data. Gradually, the use of mechanical tabulating equipment for processing data spread to a number of different organizations. Tabulating operations include sorting, listing, summarizing and performing limited mathematical computations on data in punched card form. These operations were performed with electronic accounting machines (EAM) through the end of World War II. However, EAM equipment is limited to the execution of a series of fixed instructions wired into control panels. Wires are plugged in to holes in the panels or "boards" and by changing the plugs, new instruction sequences are created.

In the 1940s, Howard Aiken at Harvard developed an electromechanical computer. John Mauchly and J. P. Eckert at the Moore School of Electrical Engineering at the University of Pennsylvania constructed the first all-electronic computer, the ENIAC, in 1945. The exclusive use of electronic components made the ENIAC much faster than its predecessor EAM equipment. The electronic computer also features a stored program which can be modified dynamically according to the data being processed. (John von Neuman, a Princeton mathematician, developed many of the concepts used in the invention of electronic computers.)

In 1954, the first computer for business applications was installed. Only two decades later, it has been estimated that there are over 100,000 computers in the United States, plus many more in other parts of the world. We have progressed through several generations of computers and their associated programs since the early days of the computer industry. In Part Three, especially Chapters 8 and 9, we discuss computers and programs in much greater detail. Particular attention will be given in these chapters to the evolution of computers and to the major changes in each generation of equipment.


## INFORMATION SYSTEMS

What is an information system? There are many definitions and we shall adopt one of them for discussion purposes. An information system is a set of organized procedures which, when executed, provides information to support decision making. We define information as a tangible or intangible entity which serves to reduce uncertainty about some future state or event.

The basic functions of an information system are diagramed in Figure 1-1. One of the most important parts of this figure is the user who interprets information. We shall explore the role of the user and the nature of information further in the next chapter. We should note that information is not just raw data. Rather, data are processed in some way, for example, collated and summarized, to produce output which is interpreted as information by the user—decision maker.

Since people first inhabited the earth, there have been information systems. Early systems were, of course, quite rudimentary and subject to extensive distortion and delays. Individuals, organizations, and nations have always collected and processed “intelligence.” Early information systems were highly informal, and involved the exchange of news, stories, and anecdotes with neighbors. As economies progressed beyond the subsistence level, information on the changing value of goods and services for barter and trade became important.

Formal organizations, from their inception, have required information systems in order to operate successfully. Production, accounting, financial, and external data on consumers and markets are vital to the operation of most modern businesses. As governmental bodies provide more services, they too develop greater needs for information. In fact, the overwhelming task of tabulat-



**Figure 1-1** A schematic representation of an information system.

ing the 1890 census stimulated the invention of equipment to process data represented by different patterns of holes punched in cards.

What is the role of computers in information systems? Why are we concerned with computer-based information systems? Clearly, from the discussion above, information systems existed long before the development of electronic computers. However, the explosion of information and the need to process large amounts of data to extract small amounts of information have contributed to the increasing importance of computer-based information systems. Of course, such systems are possible because of the high-speed processing capabilities of computers.

We also need to study computer-based systems because the technical aspects of computers have added a whole new set of problems to the development of information systems. Table 1-1 presents some of the differences between manual and computer-based information systems. Computers are machines of arbitrary design; they are difficult for the average user of an information system to understand. This means that the design and implementation of information systems featuring computer processing will be more difficult than the design of a manual system. An automated system has to be clearly defined in advance of conversion so that computer programs can be developed to process the data. In contrast, manual systems may never be completely documented; individuals simply make changes in their current information processing procedures. It is also usually easy to alter these manual procedures on short notice, something very difficult with a computer system.

Managing the development of a manual information system is also usually an

**Table 1-1 Comparison of Manual versus Computer-Based Information Systems**

|                              | Manual  | Computer  |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Understanding the technology | Easy: usually human processing or simple tabulation operation | Difficult: arbitrary and poorly understood technology from standpoint of users      |
| Developing specifications    | Very informal and easily changed when tried                   | A formal process requiring great precision and detail; must be specified in advance |
| Managing project             | Simple to institute procedures                                | Very difficult to complete on time and within budget                                |
| Converting and installing    | Usually an easy process involving a few new procedures        | Can be a major task requiring significant changes and training                      |
| Organizational impact        | Often minimal   | Can be significant, involving behavioral and organizational changes                 |
| Flexibility                  | Usually easy to change quickly                                | Often very difficult to modify; changes can be costly and time consuming            |

easy task. It may be necessary to develop new paper forms or calculations, but there is little uncertainty in project management. In contrast, the development of computer-based information systems involves considerable uncertainty. We have had a notable lack of success in meeting systems goals and specifications on time and within original budget estimates.

The implementation of a manual information system is usually a part of its design, that is, individuals simply change or add to their present duties. Computer systems, however, involve major training and often require substantial changes for users. The organizational impact of manual information systems is usually minimal; workers are involved in making easily understood changes in procedures. Computer-based information systems may require major changes in behavior on the part of the users.

Virtually all computer systems result in new input techniques such as the use of new forms or terminals and new output such as paper reports or displays. Some computer systems are even significant enough to create changes in the structure of an organization. For example, the development of a computer system in one manufacturing firm stimulated management to create a production control department. The process of developing the system showed that a department was needed to monitor and schedule production; furthermore, the new system provided information which could be used for these activities.

The flexibility of manual information systems is high; it is easy to change simple manual procedures. For computer-based information systems, many months and great expense may be required to make an alteration. As a result, these systems tend to be much less flexible than their manual counterparts.

For the above reasons, we need to consider the special requirements of computer-based information systems and the problems they create. Because these computer-based systems are usually very expensive to develop, their failure can be quite costly to the organization. In addition to direct costs, the failure of a computer-based information system will have an adverse impact on the organization's human resources. Information system failures have created dissension in organizations and led to conflict among individuals and departments. If one system fails or is not well received by users, it will be very difficult to develop new systems in the future. As a result, the organization misses the significant benefits possible from a well-designed and operated computer-based information system.

## **THE ORGANIZATION AS AN INFORMATION-PROCESSING ENTITY**

There is one feature that almost all organizations have in common: they must acquire and analyze information and take action based on their interpretation of the information. Whether an organization manufactures a product or sells a service, it still needs to process information. Most businesses need to know information on markets, sales, and costs. Manufacturing firms need the above information plus information on the manufacturing process itself. For example, a

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 1.1

The president of Amalgamated Mills has recently become concerned over the firm's difficulties in processing transactions such as the receipt of orders, and the preparation of invoices. Amalgamated Mills was founded shortly after World War II to manufacture women's and girls' sports clothing. The company has grown steadily; yearly sales currently exceed \$15 million.

The office staff expanded to process the increasing volume of paperwork necessary to enter orders and process shipments and payments. Last year, over 40,000 invoices were written manually in the shipping department! Existing procedures have been modified only slightly to deal with the higher volume of processing necessary to support current sales levels.

The president is considering the development of a computer-based system to handle some of the processing associated with order entry and projections of raw materials requirements. The firm currently uses a service bureau for accounts receivable processing on a monthly basis.

At one point in time, the president felt he understood all the office procedures. However, in recent years the president has grown uneasy since he is no longer familiar with all of the procedures used in the office. There is one office manager who knows everything about operations; unfortunately there is no one else in the firm who fully understands these procedures.


In contemplating a computer system, the president is worried that it might result in less control over operations. On the other hand, he feels that he personally has little control or understanding of present procedures. The president is also worried about becoming dependent on a small computer "elite" to process crucial information.

What advice would you offer the president to help in making his decision on whether to pursue computer processing?

firm needs information about the status of inventories, orders, and basic manufacturing data for production control. Government agencies are also confronted with substantial information processing requirements. Who are the recipients of the agency's services? What is the cost of these services?

A view of the organization as an information processing entity is presented in Figure 1-2. The organization collects data from a number of sources, including its own internal operations and customers. Most organizations also attempt to gather data on their competition and on other phenomena external to the organization, such as the economy. In fact, a number of firms exist to gather and sell data such as economic forecasts. Many government statistics are also used by organizations, and we classify these data as externally derived too. For example, the United States Department of Agriculture publishes detailed crop forecasts which are very important to the food industry.

The organization must process all these data, and frequently some type of computer-based information system is used for this purpose. The output from processing may take many forms, such as tabular reports or graphic displays. It



**Figure 1-2** The organization as an information processing entity.

is likely that the output is interpreted and action taken on the basis of the information. For example, a firm might offer a new product or enter a new market because of the information derived from a market research study.

Much interpreted information is disseminated within the organization for use by its members; production control, accounting, and budgeting information fit into this category. Many organizations must make information available to the public as well, for example, to stockholders. Publicly held firms and government agencies are faced with reporting requirements by the Securities and Exchange Commission and various legislation. Many private organizations also must provide information to government agencies and regulatory bodies.

While processing information clearly is not the ultimate goal of most organizations, we can see that it is one vital component of their operations. Individuals who are or will become members of organizations need to understand the role of information: How is it produced and analyzed, and how is it processed to contribute to the goals of the organization? In a modern organization, the processing of information contributes significantly to the success of the enterprise, and managers should be knowledgeable about information processing tools, techniques and concepts. This book has been written for the manager who wishes to become an intelligent user or “consumer” of computer-based information systems.

## THE INTERDISCIPLINARY NATURE OF THE FIELD

It is not really possible to present a theory of information systems; as in many other fields, there is no one central theory on which we can rely. This lack of unifying theory should not be alarming; there is no one theory of marketing, just as there is no one theory of accounting. Accounting is a field created by humans, and accounting theory is arbitrary when compared with the scientific theories of a field like physics.

The information systems field in general is concerned with the effective use of information technology in an organization. Figure 1-3 places the information systems field in perspective on a continuum ranging from computer science on one extreme to psychology on the other. Computer science contributes the mathematical foundation of computer systems. These results help electrical engineers develop computer devices and programs. Operations research provides a number of approaches to improve decision making and to develop solutions to complex problems. The functional areas of management, such as accounting, finance, production, and marketing furnish the specific decision setting and context for information systems.

Organizational studies help us understand how information systems affect the organization. How can we design systems to ensure successful implementation? The field of psychology also aids in understanding the decision processes of individuals and the nature of information necessary for decision making. Individual psychology is also important in planning the successful implementation of a new system.

Those who study the information systems field must extract relevant components from these many different contributing fields and combine them into a meaningful set of concepts dealing with information processing in organizations. The interdisciplinary nature of the field contributes richness, but also increases the complexity of information systems. It is hoped that the student of information systems will find the lack of precise boundaries challenging and intellectually stimulating.

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 1.2

Assume that you have just been appointed to chair the board of a medium-sized manufacturing firm that makes small consumer appliances. The company has experienced stagnant growth over the last five years, and a new board of directors was just elected by dissident stockholders.

One of your first tasks is to help top management discover why sales are constant and profits have been declining. Currently, the firm is faced with excessive inventories and problems in the acquisition of raw materials. Prices for these materials have been fluctuating widely in recent months, and the previous management seems to have been unable to cope with this problem.

How would you approach this task? What sources of information would you seek to help understand and solve problems in the company?

| Continuum                  |   |  |  |                            |                        |                  |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Psychology                 | Organizational studies                    | Functional areas of business               | Information systems  | Operations research        | Electrical engineering | Computer science |
| Contribution               |   |  |  |                            |                        |                  |
| Decision making            | Impact of information on the organization | Accounting, marketing, finance, production | Combination and synthesis of fields: effective use of information technology in organization | Problem-solving techniques | Machine design         | Hardware theory  |
| Use of information systems |   |  |  |                            | Software design        | Software theory  |
|                            |   |  |  |                            | Management techniques  | File structures  |
|                            |   |  |  |                            | File design            |                  |

**Figure 1-3** The nature of the information systems field.

## OVERVIEW OF THE TEXT

With this introduction to the information systems field, we are prepared to explore the nature of information in greater detail. In Chapter 2 we examine the nature of decision-making and managerial activities. Before concluding Part One, we survey a number of computer-based information systems to demonstrate the pervasive nature of these systems.

The second part of the book deals with the interaction of the organization and information systems; we are particularly interested in the successful implementation of systems. Part Three discusses computer technology: users need a basic understanding of computers, especially computer files, in order to make many decisions about information systems.

In Part Four, we present systems analysis and design techniques, topics of vital importance for a user. We shall advocate that users form a significant part of a design team and that a user be in charge of the design of a new system. Part Five presents detailed examples of several different information systems to illustrate the material covered to this point. Finally, the last part of the book presents issues of special management concern: the relationship between user departments and the information services department and the social consequences of information systems.

## KEY WORDS

|                      |                         |                        |
|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Computer science     | Implementation          | Organizational studies |
| Data                 | Information             | Output                 |
| Data collection      | Intelligence            | Processing             |
| Decision making      | Interdisciplinary field | Psychology             |
| EAM                  | Internal information    | Program                |
| External information | Interpretation          | System                 |
| Electronic computer  | Operations research     | Users                  |

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

- Aaron, J. D.: "Information Systems in Perspective," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 1, no. 4, December 1969, pp. 213–216. (An overview of information systems and how they have developed in organizations.)
- Ackoff, R. L.: "Management Misinformation Systems," *Management Science*, vol. 14, No. 4, December 1967, pp. B140–B156. (This article should be read by all students of information systems; it points out some common fallacies in the assumptions underlying many approaches to information systems.)
- Blumenthal, S.: *MIS—A Framework for Planning and Development*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1969. (This somewhat complex book presents a functional approach to viewing information systems.)
- Dearden, J.: "MIS is a Mirage," *Harvard Business Review*, January–February 1972, pp. 90–99. (Dearden is a consistent critic of information systems; do you agree with his contentions? Why or why not?)
- Mason, R., and I. Mitroff: "A Program for Research in Management Information Sys-

tems," *Management Science*, vol. 19, no. 5, January 1973, pp. 475–487. (This article describes an information system from the perspective of an individual decision maker. While delving into the philosophical concepts underlying information systems, it presents a very appealing framework for the study of systems.)

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 What is responsible for the explosion of information processing that has occurred over the past several decades?
- 2 What role does the manager play in the development of information systems? Does this role change in the operation of a system after it has been implemented?
- 3 Why does the addition of a computer to a manual information system result in complications?
- 4 What is the similarity between the fields of accounting and the interdisciplinary field of information systems? What are the major differences between these two fields?
- 5 Manufacturers of early computer devices forecasted far fewer sales than actually occurred. Why do you suspect that the sales estimates were so incorrect?
- 6 Can you think of other definitions of information systems than the one presented in this chapter? What are their advantages and disadvantages compared with the one we adopted?
- 7 How can there be more than one interpretation of information? Can you think of examples where the same information is interpreted in different ways by different individuals?
- 8 What is the value of information? How would you try to assess the value of information to a decision maker?
- 9 What different types of information exist? Develop categories for describing or classifying information, for example, timeliness and accuracy. Develop an example or two of information in each category.
- 10 What do you think the crucial factors are in the success of an information system from the standpoint of a manager?
- 11 How would you define successful implementation? How would you measure it?
- 12 Can you think of an example where the failure of an information system led to a major disaster? What can we learn from such a catastrophe?
- 13 What types of organizations are likely to have the most severe information-processing problems?
- 14 What is the relationship between information systems and marketing?
- 15 How would you characterize the training of a computer scientist compared with the training of a specialist in information systems?
- 16 What is the role of operations research in information systems? Is an operations research model an information system?
- 17 How can operations research be used to design information systems? Can operations research be used in the operation of information systems?
- 18 Develop a list of the different information systems which you encounter during a typical week. How many of these systems are computer-based?
- 19 What factors would you consider if you were placed on a design team developing a new information system? What would be your major concern about the project?
- 20 Do you think the information systems field, while lacking a theory, will ever develop principles similar to those of accounting? Would this in your opinion be desirable? Why or why not?

DEFINITION

THE INTERPRETATION OF INFORMATION

The Context of the User

An Interpretational Model

Implications for Information Systems

DECISION MAKING

Problem Finding and Solving

Stages in Decision Making

Types of Decisions

CHARACTERISTICS OF INFORMATION

INDICATORS

FORMAL THEORIES OF INFORMATION

KEY WORDS

RECOMMENDED READINGS

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# The Nature of Information and Decision Making

The user of a system receives information in the form of output. In this chapter, we explore the nature of information and how it is interpreted. Too frequently the designers of an information system have considered output to be information while users have not. The decision maker defines and uses information, not the systems designer. It is extremely important for users of information systems to be aware of different types of information and to think about how they interpret it.

## DEFINITION

In the last chapter, we defined information as some tangible or intangible entity which reduces our uncertainty about some future state or event. As an example, consider a weather forecast for clear and sunny weather tomorrow; this information reduces our uncertainty about whether an event such as a baseball game will be held. Information that a bank has just made our firm a loan reduces uncertainty about whether we shall be in a state of solvency or bankruptcy next month.

Another definition for information has been suggested by Davis (1974): "Information is data that has been processed into a form that is meaningful to the

recipient, and is of real perceived value in current or prospective decisions.” This definition of information systems stresses the fact that data have to be processed in some way to produce information; information is more than raw data. In later chapters we shall discuss information systems which process data to produce information. In this chapter, however, we focus on information and its interpretation.

## THE INTERPRETATION OF INFORMATION


### The Context of the User

In a discussion of research programs for information systems, Mason and Mitroff (1973) suggested in part that an information system serves an *individual* with a certain *cognitive style* faced with a particular *decision* problem in some *organizational setting*. In addition to these variables, Lucas (1975) has suggested the importance of *personal and situational* factors in the interpretation of information. We shall examine each of these factors to see how they influence the interpretation of information. See Figure 2-1.

Clearly, the nature of the problem influences the interpretation of information. How serious is the decision? What are the consequences of an incorrect decision versus the gains from a correct one? A more important decision may require extra care in analyzing data compared with a minor decision.

The organization affects the interpretation of information too. Studies have shown that an individual becomes socialized by the organization; that is, over time we are influenced by the organization in the way we approach problems. Several individuals trying to influence a decision by the government to regulate prices in an industry may use the same information. However, the head of a corporation in the industry, the leader of a consumer group, and a government decision maker in a regulatory agency will probably all interpret the information differently.

Personal and situational factors also influence the interpretation of information. One study showed that given comparable information, decision makers interpreted a problem differently depending on their position. In this exercise,



**Figure 2-1** Influences on the interpretation and use of information.

finance executives saw financial problems, sales executives recognized sales problems, and so forth. In all these scenarios, the information was the same—it was just interpreted differently (Dearborn and Simon, 1958).

Psychologists studying the thought patterns of individuals have developed the concept of “cognitive style” (Doktor, 1973). While there is no agreement on exactly how to describe or measure different cognitive styles, the concept is appealing since people do seem to have different ways of approaching problems. One of the simplest distinctions is between analytic and heuristic decision makers. The analytic decision maker looks at quantitative information; engineering is a profession attractive to an analytic decision maker. The heuristic decision maker, on the other hand, is interested in broader concepts and is more intuitive.

Most researchers believe that we are not analytic or heuristic in every problem, but that we do have preferences and tend to approach the same type of problem with a consistent cognitive style. In one recent study, the author found that more quantitative individuals tended to make less use of the output from interactive computer-based planning models than did more intuitive individuals. Possibly, the more quantitatively oriented individuals had less faith in the assumptions behind the model because of their knowledge of mathematics and modeling (Lucas, 1976a).

### **An Interpretational Model**

We have suggested a number of factors which influence the interpretation of information. How are all these factors combined; what is their net impact on the interpretation of information? Figure 2-2 summarizes all the variables described above. The figure portrays one representation of how a user of information systems develops a model to interpret information and how this model is constantly executed and revised.

### **MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 2.1**

A group of information systems designers was discussing problems in the bank where they work. One of the designers said, “No matter what I do, there seem to be some people who just will not use the branch market potential report. This report should be very valuable since it shows the potential for various types of loans and deposits, in the area served by the branch.”

Another analyst commented, “I have had the same problem with some of the systems I have developed. We recently installed a system that would allow managers to inquire on a terminal about the status of the bank’s commercial loan portfolio. The other day I looked at the results of a monitor which keeps track of who makes an inquiry and the nature of the request. It turns out that a group of four or five managers is using the system a lot while some fifteen people we thought would use it are not.”

As a manager interested in the effective utilization of information systems, what do you think is the cause of these problems? How could they be solved?

Before discussing Figure 2-2, we should define what is meant by the term "model," so we digress for a moment to discuss different types of models. A model is a representation of some physical entity or intangible quantity. An architect frequently builds a small physical model of a building from wood or cardboard before constructing the actual building.

Four types of decision models have been identified by Montgomery and Urban (1969). An intuitive model is implicit and intangible; a partially formed idea of how two variables are related is an intuitive model. A verbal model marks the next stage of model development; the decision maker feels that if a particular course of action A is followed, then B will result.


When a model becomes more concrete, it can be expressed as a logical flow model. At this stage, the relationships among variables can be diagrammed to show various alternatives graphically. Logical flow diagrams are often used in scheduling to show how the tasks in a project, such as building a house, are related.

The most explicit model is a mathematical one because it expresses the magnitudes and relationships among variables. An example of such a mathematical model is Ohm's Law:  $V = IR$ , where V is the voltage in volts, I the current in amperes, and R the resistance in ohms. This mathematical model states that a current of 1 ampere flowing through a resistance of 1 ohm produces a voltage of 1 volt.

Returning to our discussion of the interpretation of information, what kind of model do we expect to find? The most likely model for interpreting information is intuitive, although in many instances the decision maker is probably unaware of the existence of a model. One representation for such an interpretational model is shown in Figure 2-2. We expect this model to be formed inductively by the decision maker. For example, a decision maker may observe data on sales and production over time and find that these data seem to predict customer reaction to a product. The decision maker is building an interpretational model based on his or her analysis of historical data and observations. In addition to this inductive approach, Pounds (1969) identified several other common models, for example other people's models (a superior's) or extraorganizational models (one from a competitor or the government), which might contribute to the development of an interpretational model.

After testing the interpretational model and developing confidence in it, the decision maker uses the model deductively. Data are observed and the decision maker uses the model to interpret them. Now, the decision maker perceives data on sales and production as constituting information on product acceptance; he or she may even ignore other information conveyed by these data.

After an interpretational model has been formed, further experiences are fed back to modify the model; past decisions, problems, and experiences all influence the future interpretations of information. These experiences are based on actions taken on the basis of information and the results of those actions. If changes in a new product based on sales and production data increase sales, then the interpretational model described above will be reinforced.



**Figure 2-2** Model for interpreting information.

### Implications for Information Systems

What does the existence of a model for interpreting information imply for information systems? The presence of different interpretational models creates many serious problems for the designer and user of information systems. First, the meaning of information is clearly in the mind of the recipient. What one party perceives as useful and relevant information may be meaningless to another person. Even more serious is a situation where two individuals agree on the importance of information, but develop completely opposite interpretations of what the information means.

An example will help show the difficulty of designing an information system, given the diversity of interpretational models. Suppose that we have received the following information. An unfriendly country within the last 48 hours has:

- 1 Called the U.S. ambassador for an urgent meeting next week
- 2 Nationalized the subsidiaries of two United States firms
- 3 Held joint maneuvers of its Air Force and Army along its borders.

A “hawk” might interpret this information to mean that the unfriendly country is planning to expropriate all U.S. investments, and call for U.S. troops to evacuate American citizens. The hawk might also suggest economic sanctions against the country.

A “dove” on the other hand, might interpret the above information to mean that the country wishes to negotiate compensation with the United States for

expropriating U.S.-owned companies. The unfriendly country may also want to arrange for technicians from the United States to assist in running these firms.

A cynic might suggest that all the information is unrelated and has no significance whatsoever. The unfriendly country is so bureaucratic and has such poor communications that none of the events is really connected. The action of the United States should be to do nothing.

All three individuals agree on the information; only the interpretational models differ. In each instance, however, the differing interpretations lead to widely varying recommendations for action. The point is that we must carefully consider how information is interpreted in thinking about information systems.

## DECISION MAKING

We have suggested that, in general, information systems exist to support decision making. Before we can continue our discussion of the nature of information, we need to examine the decision-making process in more detail to see how information is used.

### Problem Finding and Solving

A manager must be aware of a problem before a decision can be made. A problem exists when the decision maker's ideal situation differs from reality, for example, when sales are below expectations. This example corresponds to something we call "disturbance handling"; the manager discovers the discrepancy between an ideal model and reality and attempts to find some way to eliminate the discrepancy.

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 2.2

The governor of a major state is confronted with a series of conflicting recommendations from his staff. All the reports he read on current welfare problems indicated that projected payments would rise well beyond budgeted levels for the rest of the year.

The director of welfare suggested in her report that the new higher amounts for payment passed by the legislature were to blame for the problem.

The governor's advisor for economic affairs indicated that the recent decline in the state's economy had resulted in a large increase in unemployment. As unemployment benefits ran out, he said, many of the unemployed became eligible for welfare, thus accounting for the increase in expenditures.

A state senate leader felt that most of the increase resulted from cheating by many people on welfare, which resulted in abnormally high expenditures in the early part of the year. This high rate of expenditures was the basis for the projections for the rest of the year. The obvious solution was to increase the standards for obtaining welfare and investigate applicants and present recipients more closely.

Who is right? What is responsible for so many different positions? How can the governor solve these conflicting viewpoints and arrive at the cause of the problem?

After noting the existence of a problem, the decision maker must decide what caused it. Are inventories up? Is the advertising budget too low? After determining the cause or causes, the decision maker tries to solve the problem by developing some program to remedy the situation. There is also another type of problem-finding activity undertaken by the manager who is looking for improvement projects. In this sense, the problem can be defined as "what else could we be doing at the present time?" The manager is trying to anticipate problems and plan for them.

### Stages in Decision Making

In finding and solving a problem, the decision maker faces a myriad of decision cycles. What is the problem and what is the cause of the problem? What additional data are needed, and how should the solution be implemented? Each of these major steps in solving a problem involves the solution of subproblems, and many decisions have to be made.

Simon (1965) suggests a series of descriptive stages for decision making which help in understanding the decision process. The first stage is defined as Intelligence, which consists in determining that a problem exists. The decision maker must become aware of a problem and gather data about it. We described this stage as problem finding or identification.

During the Design stage, the problem solver tries to develop a set of alternative solutions. The problem solver asks what approaches are available to solve the problem and evaluates each one. In the Choice stage, the decision maker selects one of the solutions. If all the alternatives have been evaluated well, the Choice stage is usually the simplest one to execute. We should also add a stage to Simon's model called Implementation, in which we ensure that the solution is carried out.

### Types of Decisions

To complete our discussion of problem solving and decision making, we relate different types of solutions to the type of problem involved. Anthony (1965) offers one view of three different types of decisions made in organizations. Clearly, these are not discrete categories, but instead they form a continuum for classifying decisions.

The first decision area is strategic planning in which the decision maker develops objectives and allocates resources to obtain these objectives. Decisions in this category are characterized by long time periods and usually involve a substantial investment and effort. The development and introduction of a new product is an example of a strategic decision.

Decisions that are classified as managerial control in nature deal with the use of resources in the organization and often involve personnel or financial problems. For example, an accountant may try to determine the reason for a difference between actual and budgeted costs. In this case, the accountant is solving a managerial control problem.

Operational control decisions deal with the day-to-day problems which

affect the operation of the firm. What should be produced today in the factory? What items should be ordered for inventory?

Who makes the preponderance of each of the three types of decisions? Anthony does not really specify what types of decisions are handled by different managers. However, from the nature of the problems, we suspect that top managers in the organization spend more time on strategic decision making than supervisors, while the reverse is probably true for operational decisions.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF INFORMATION

Information can be characterized in a number of ways; some kinds of information are more suitable for a decision problem than others. We must be certain that the characteristics of information fit the decision situation and the interpretational model of the decision maker. Table 2-1 shows some of the many characteristics of information arrayed against possible uses of that information (Anthony, 1965). Depending on the particular decision problem, the entries in this table vary.

**Table 2-1 Possible Relationships between Information Characteristics and Uses**

| Characteristics | Uses            |                 |        |        |                               |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-------------------------------|
|                 | Problem finding | Problem solving |        |        | Implementation and monitoring |
|                 |                 | Design          | Choice | Action |                               |
| Time frame      |                 |                 |        |        |                               |
| Historical      |                 | X               |        |        | X                             |
| Predictive      |                 | X               | X      | X      | X                             |
| Expectation     |                 |                 |        |        |                               |
| Anticipated     |                 | X               | X      | X      | X                             |
| Surprise        | X               | X               | X      | X      | X                             |
| Source          |                 |                 |        |        |                               |
| Internal        | X               | X               | X      | X      | X                             |
| External        | X               | X               | X      | X      | X                             |
| Scope           |                 |                 |        |        |                               |
| Summary         | X               | X               | X      |        | X                             |
| Detailed        |                 | X               | X      | X      | X                             |
| Frequency       |                 |                 |        |        |                               |
| High            | X               |                 |        | X      | X                             |
| Low             | X               | X               | X      | X      | X                             |
| Organization    |                 |                 |        |        |                               |
| Loose           | X               | X               | X      |        |                               |
| Structured      |                 |                 |        | X      | X                             |
| Accuracy        |                 |                 |        |        |                               |
| High            |                 | X               | X      | X      | X                             |
| Low             | X               | X               | X      |        |                               |

The time frame for information can be historical or predictive. Historical information can be used to design alternative problem solutions and to monitor performance. Predictive data can be used in Design and to evaluate the alternatives for the Choice stage. Predictive information is also good for Implementation and Monitoring to provide a standard for comparison.

Information may be expected or it may be unanticipated. Some information systems experts feel that information is worthless unless it is a surprise to the recipient. However, information that confirms something does reduce uncertainty. Anticipated information helps in designing and evaluating alternatives and in Implementation and Monitoring. Surprise information often alerts us to the existence of a problem; it is also important in developing and evaluating different decision alternatives. Surprise results from Implementation and Monitoring suggest that action is needed. Information may come from sources internal to the organization or from external sources such as government agencies. For the various areas described in Table 2-1, the source is determined by the requirements of a particular decision problem.

Information may be presented in summary form or in detail. Summary information is often sufficient for problem finding; however, both summary and detailed information may be needed for other uses. Information can be frequently updated or relatively old, and for problem identification, both types are often used. For many types of problems, Implementation and Monitoring require frequently updated information.

Information can also be loosely or highly structured. An example of highly structured information is a report with clear categories to classify all the information it contains. Loosely organized information, for example, different forms of information from multiple sources, is fine for problem finding and solving. However, for Implementation and Monitoring we probably need structured information. Information also varies in its accuracy. For Intelligence, some inaccuracies are acceptable when we are being alerted to the fact that a problem exists. Extreme accuracy is also not usually required for Design or Choice. However, for Implementation and Monitoring, accurate data are necessary.

It is also instructive to see what characteristics of information are associated with different types of decisions. (See Table 2-2.) In general, different types of decisions require different kinds of information; providing inappropriate informa-

Table 2-2 Information Characteristics versus Decision Types

| Characteristics | Decision type       |                    |                     |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                 | Operational control | Managerial control | Strategic planning  |
| Time frame      | Historical          | —————>             | Predictive          |
| Expectation     | Anticipated         | —————>             | Surprise            |
| Source          | Largely internal    | —————>             | Largely external    |
| Scope           | Detailed            | —————>             | Summary             |
| Frequency       | Real time           | —————>             | Periodic            |
| Organization    | Highly structured   | —————>             | Loosely structured  |
| Accuracy        | Highly accurate     | —————>             | Not overly accurate |

tion is one common failing of computer-based systems. In one organization, the vice president of finance receives detailed reports on the status of an inventory with 52,000 items at a remote location. The report is not used, and this executive is frustrated with the information.

Operational control decisions are characterized by historical information. Usually the results are expected, and the source of the information is the internal operations of the organization. The data—for example, production-control data, inventory status, or accounts-receivable balances—must be detailed. Because we are working with the day-to-day operations of the firm, operational control information is often required in close to real time. This information tends to be highly structured and very accurate.

Information for strategic decisions, on the other hand, tends to be more predictive and long range in nature. Strategic planning may uncover many surprises. Often, external data on the economy, competition, and so forth, are involved in strategic decision making. Summary information on a periodic basis is adequate; there is usually no need for highly detailed or excessively accurate information. Strategic planning decisions are usually characterized by loosely structured information. The requirements for managerial control decisions fall in between those of operational control and strategic planning.

The characteristics of information described in this section are not mutually exclusive. For example, we can have historical information of a surprise nature which is loosely structured. Obviously, there are many ways to classify information, and this complicates the decision maker's problem in expressing what is desired as output from an information system. The most important thing for the user of information systems is to be aware of the intended use of information and the type of decision problem. Then the user should try to decide on the general characteristics of the information needed, using categories such as these as guidelines to develop more detailed information requirements. Consideration of characteristics similar to the ones described here should make it possible to avoid requesting grossly inappropriate information from an information system.

## INDICATORS

An indicator is some summary statistic which is presented as information. The gross national product (GNP) is a single summary statistic used to indicate the general level of economic activity in a country. The cost-of-living indicator provides information about the rate of inflation and the decline in buying power of money. We use these summary statistics in order to reduce the amount of information presented and the amount of processing necessary to interpret it. Frequently we are confronted with a mass of data that contains very little potential information; an indicator summarizes and extracts the most pertinent information from such a mass of data.

Organizations and managers frequently develop indicators to measure their performance. An organization may use sales, net profit, earnings per share, or similar financial indicators for reports to stockholders. A credit analyst may use

some of these statistics to decide whether or not to recommend a loan, while a manager may point out a favorable budget variance in talking with a superior. Frequently, information systems are designed to produce indicators for evaluation purposes. In designing these indicators, we need to carefully consider their credibility and bias. An example should help to illustrate some of the problems with indicators.

The national and local crime rates are often used as indicators of the moral fabric of society. The Uniform Crime Reports collected by the FBI are one source of data on the crime rate. Bidderman has written a scathing criticism of the crime rate as measured and reported in the 1960s (Bauer, 1967). The crime index at that time consisted of the crimes homicide, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny (theft greater than \$50), and auto theft.

The first question one might ask is, what crimes are missing? The index presented above ignores crimes such as forgery, extortion, arson, and all white-collar crimes; also missing are narcotics and organized criminal activities. Given these omissions, how accurate is the crime index?

If a composite indicator is reported, are all crimes in this index equally serious? There are really two types of crimes represented above, those against property and those against persons. Yet in this simple index, with no weighting for seriousness, petty theft will be recorded on the same basis as homicide. (Recently the crime index has not been reported as a single summary statistic; it has been accompanied with statistics for each individual category.)

Over time, in what direction should the crime index above move? Undoubtedly it will increase. One trend in the world has been greater urbanization; as people crowd together and live in more densely populated areas, crime increases. Inflation also has a dramatic effect on the crime index—because of inflation, crimes classified as petty larceny will become grand larceny as the value of more items stolen exceeds \$50. As citizens become more affluent, they buy more insurance and more crimes are reported to the police in order to collect insurance. Finally, as police forces improve, more crimes will be reported to and by the police. Thus, there will be many more reported crimes and a higher crime index, even though the amount of actual crime may have increased less than the index. In the form above, the crime index is probably so biased that it is totally misleading.

~~Indicators are important~~; we cannot cope with the amount of raw data used to produce an indicator. However, we have to analyze statistics carefully to see what they actually indicate. A final problem with indicators occurs when they change from a measure to a motivator. Another example will help to illustrate this point.

A university administrator calculated the contribution of each department in a school. The total number of class hours taken by the students in the school was computed. This number divided into the total revenue of the school produced a dollar value for each student hour. The expenses for each department were then compared with the "revenue" it produced (the number of student-hours times the revenue dollars per student-hour plus any external funds raised

by the department). The results were used in faculty salary, hiring, and promotion decisions.\* What would you predict the results would be from using this indicator?

Soon, the average class size began increasing rapidly, since the strategy of enlarging classes produced more income for a department. The indicator had become an incentive that motivated behavior. It began to drive the process it was designed to measure!

A manager who develops an indicator must consider its behavioral implications. Perhaps multiple indicators can be used to bracket the truth. If not, indicators may have to be eliminated; possibly, we should cast out all indicators every so often and invent new ones.

## FORMAL THEORIES OF INFORMATION

So far, we have discussed broad, qualitative attributes of information and have appealed to intuition for their acceptance. There are also several formal theories of information which provide further insights into its nature.

Information is very precisely defined in the mathematical theory of communications (Davis, 1974). Information in communications is the average number of binary digits (a zero or a one) which must be transmitted to identify a given

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 2.3

The sales manager of an apparel firm decided that he needed more information with which to evaluate sales representatives. The manager designed a new report to be completed by the sales force after making each call. Each sales representative was to indicate the number of lines shown and the name of the store.

This information was correlated with actual orders by the company's computer-based order entry and sales information system. The result was a report for the sales manager which showed the following information:

- The number of stores on which the representative called
- The number of lines shown
- The number of lines sold to that store
- The percentage of sales to calls
- The ratio of lines sold to lines shown

The sales manager did not explain to the sales force the reason for the new information which was sent to them with their commission statements. However, it was rumored in the company that the method of compensation for the sales force was under study.

What do you think the results of this new information system were? Why? How do you think the sales force would interpret this information? What impact might it have on their behavior? Explain your reasoning.

message from the set of all possible messages. This definition is used to develop and to identify messages. Binary coding is a convenient scheme, since most machines can produce signals based on one of two states.

To send one of four messages, we need two digits or bits:

| Message      | Bits |
|--------------|------|
| 1 By land    | 00   |
| 2 By sea     | 01   |
| 3 By air     | 10   |
| 4 Not coming | 11   |

If we code the messages according to the two bits in the right-hand column, and the decoder has the same table, we can transmit the messages very economically using fewer characters than the full messages contain.

Information content is defined by the formula

$$I = \log_2 n$$

where  $n$  is the number of possible messages and all messages are equally likely. In the example above,  $I = \log_2 4$ , or  $I = 2$ , since  $2^2 = 4$ .

Earlier, we said that information reduces uncertainty. If the codes are agreed upon in advance, uncertainty is reduced to zero after the message is received. Partial information also reduces uncertainty. In the example above, receiving a right-most digit of 1 reduces our uncertainty by one-half. We know that the message is either 2 or 4, since each has a rightmost digit of 1.

The use of information to reduce uncertainty can also provide a conceptual understanding of the value of information. Consider the example in Figure 2-3. We are planning to introduce a new product, and our prior belief is that the product has a 60 percent chance of success and a 40 percent chance of failure.

|                      | Results B<br>indicate product<br>a success | Results B'<br>indicate product<br>a failure |
|----------------------|--|---|
| Product<br>a success | $P(B A) = .8$                              | $P(B' A) = .2$                              |
| Product<br>a failure | $P(B A') = .1$                             | $P(B' A') = .9$                             |




Figure 2-3 An example of information and uncertainty.

A market research firm offers to conduct a test to help us decide whether or not to offer the product. Unfortunately, the test is not 100 percent reliable, as shown in the figure. There is a possibility that the test will be wrong; for example, the upper right-hand box of Figure 2-3a says that there is a 20 percent chance that the test will indicate that the product will be a failure when it will actually be a success.

The information in Figure 2-3a is recast in the form of a decision tree in Figure 2-3b. The value of the test is in revising our prior probabilities,  $P(A)$  (successful product) and  $P(A')$  (unsuccessful product), on the basis of the test results. The marketing firm has provided us with the probability of the test results given the success of the product; for example, we know  $P(B|A)$  is .2 as described above.

Our question now is this: what is the probability that the product will succeed, given that the test indicates success? Mathematically, Bayes' theorem helps us find the answer:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

The conditional probability of A (the product is a success) given the test outcome B (the test says the product is a success) is equal to the probability of A and B together divided by the probability of B. In our numerical example,

$$P(A|B) = \frac{.48}{.04 + .48} = .92$$

The same calculation for the probability that the test indicates a failure and the product would fail is

$$p(A'|B') = \frac{p(A' \cap B')}{p(B')} = \frac{.36}{.36 + .12} = .75$$

The market test, then, allows us to revise our prior probabilities. If the test predicts success for the product, our .6 probability of success would become .92. If the test predicts product failure, our .4 probability of failure would become .75. The value of the test can be calculated from these probabilities and compared with its cost. Clearly we would never rationally pay more for information than the value of the best decision we could make, given perfect information. Unfortunately, as a practical matter, it is very difficult to develop probabilities and costs for a conditional probability approach to ascertaining the value of information. However, this concept does provide a useful theoretical view of how information serves to reduce uncertainty.

**KEY WORDS**

|                   |                     |                      |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Accuracy          | Historical          | Probability          |
| Anticipated       | Indicator           | Problem finding      |
| Bayesian analysis | Internal            | Problem solving      |
| Bias              | Interpretive model  | Real time            |
| Binary            | Intuitive model     | Strategic planning   |
| Choice            | Logical flow model  | Structured           |
| Design            | Managerial control  | Summary              |
| Detail            | Mathematical model  | Surprise             |
| External          | Operational control | Value of information |
| Frequency         | Prediction          | Verbal model         |

**RECOMMENDED READINGS**

- Anthony, R.: *Planning and Control Systems: A Framework for Analysis*, Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University, Boston, 1965. (This short book explains Anthony's framework for decision making more fully and is well worth reading).
- Davis, G. B.: *Management Information Systems: Conceptual Foundations, Structure, and Development*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974. (See especially the first several chapters on the nature of information.)
- Masterman, J.: *The Double-Cross System*, Avon, New York, 1972. (A most enjoyable book describing a system used by Allied intelligence, primarily the British, to feed false intelligence to the German high command during World War II. The book provides an excellent example of an analysis of a user's interpretational model and the development of information to suit that model.)
- Pounds, W. F.: "The Process of Problem Finding," *The Industrial Management Review*, vol. 11, no. 1, 1969, pp. 1-20. (An insightful paper describing the nature of problem finding; the author gives several examples of different kinds of problems which managers in one company faced.)
- Simon, H.: *The Shape of Automation for Men and Management*, Harper & Row, New York, 1965. (See especially the essay on the "New Science of Management Decision Making.")

**DISCUSSION QUESTIONS**

- 1 What alternative definitions for information can you propose?
- 2 What do you think selective perception is? How does it affect the design and use of information systems?
- 3 Why is information more than just data?
- 4 How would you measure cognitive style? How does this concept help in the interpretation of information and the design of information systems?
- 5 Can an organization bias the information it develops and uses?
- 6 How can different interpretations of information lead to conflict? How can this conflict be resolved?

- 7 Develop procedures for eliciting and defining information needs for a decision. How could you implement your plan? What are the problems?
- 8 How does the importance of a decision reflect itself in the users' interpretation of information?
- 9 Would you expect an analytical decision maker to be more favorably disposed toward computer-based reports than a heuristic one? Why or why not?
- 10 Pounds suggests that a problem exists when the decision maker's normative model of what should be conflicts with reality. How does this normative model relate to our information interpretation model? Are they completely independent?
- 11 Can the same information system be used by more than one decision maker?
- 12 How can we custom-tailor information systems to suit different decision makers at a reasonable cost?
- 13 Examine one particular indicator with which you are familiar for bias, reliability, etc. For example, how valid is a grade-point average?
- 14 What other characteristics of information can you define beyond the ones listed in this chapter?
- 15 Are there information systems which deal with decisions or processes outside Anthony's categories for decisions? What types of systems are these?
- 16 What are the most frequent indicators for evaluating the performance of lower, middle, and top managers?
- 17 Is there any way to "beat" an indicator like a standardized aptitude test for college admissions? What kind of behavior does this indicator motivate?
- 18 Of what value are formal theories of information to a decision maker?
- 19 Why is there no formal theory of information systems?
- 20 In the example of Figure 2-3*b*, what is the probability that the product would succeed if the test indicates a failure? What is the probability that the product would fail given that the test predicts success?

## CLASSIC VIEWS OF MANAGEMENT

Different Approaches

## MANAGERIAL ROLES

The Research

Interpersonal Roles

Informational Roles

Decisional Roles

Managerial Activities

Applicability of the Findings

## FRAMEWORKS FOR INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Anthony

Simon

Gorry–Scott Morton

## EXISTING INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Churchill et al.

San Francisco Bay Area Study

## KEY WORDS

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# Frameworks for Information Systems

A “framework” is a conceptual model which helps us understand and communicate about information systems. The framework developed in this chapter will facilitate future discussions about different types of decisions, their information requirements, and different kinds of computer-based information systems.

Since the typical user of an information system is a member of an organization and frequently this individual has managerial responsibilities, we shall review the nature of managerial activities in the first part of the chapter. Many computer-based systems purport to be “management information systems,” and an understanding of managerial activities aids in the analysis and design of such systems. Managers also allocate resources to information systems activities, so it is essential to understand the different roles of management within an organization.

## **CLASSIC VIEWS OF MANAGEMENT**

One early view of management was set forth in the 1900s by Fayol, who suggested five basic managerial functions:

✱ Planning  
 Organizing  
 Commanding  
 Coordinating  
 Controlling (Mintzberg, 1973)

Gulick coined an acronym in the 1930s which is often used today for describing management, “**POSDCORB**”:

- P** stands for *planning*, which is the development of an outline of what has to be done and the method to accomplish this goal;
- O** is for *organizing*, which is setting up the formal structure of authority in an organization;
- S** is for the *staffing* function, which refers to all matters dealing with the selection and recruitment of personnel.
- D** stands for *directing*, which refers to making and carrying out decisions;
- CO** is for *coordinating*, which is interrelating various components of work to see that they are accomplished;
- R** means *reporting*, the activity of keeping supervisors and subordinates informed about one’s activities;
- B** is for *budgeting*, which encompasses financial planning, accounting and control tasks of management.

Unfortunately, this early normative view of management is not very helpful, since the activities it describes are vague. This scheme presents the objective of managerial work; it does not characterize the work itself or how to accomplish the objectives (Mintzberg, 1973).

### **Different Approaches**

Another attempt to describe managerial work has been called “the great man school” by Mintzberg. The great man school is typified by stories of successful managers found in popular journals and newspapers. These stories contain much detail, but there is little we can generalize from each case study. There are also a number of books which concentrate on an individual and his or her personality; however, they tell us that that person in a certain situation had a series of impressive accomplishments. Once again, it is very difficult to generalize from these stories.

On a more scientific basis, economists and management scientists have tried to describe how managers should act. These researchers unfortunately have to assume that managers are rational and profit-maximizing and that they should make certain mathematically specified choices. Decision theorists offer an approach to decision making based on the identification of probability utility functions and the use of Bayesian statistical procedures to recommend optimal decisions. These approaches are not really descriptive; instead they suggest how the rational manager should act given a certain decision problem. We have seen that human beings are very complex and that simple assumptions of many of

these models are inadequate. These scientific authors feel that managers should act in the way they specify, but their writings do not help us understand how managers actually do act.

## **MANAGERIAL ROLES**

### **The Research**

Mintzberg (1973) reviewed the small amount of literature available about managerial work and presented his own observational study of the activities of five top managers. Mintzberg spent a week recording the activities of each manager in detailed categories. This work identified 10 roles played by managers and divided them into three basic categories. A role is a position occupied by a manager; it can be identified by a set of activities. For example, a television actor may portray a detective in one show and a Western cowboy in another. The actor's activities and style along with the dress and set inform the audience which role is being played.

Roles are defined by grouping activities together and assigning descriptive labels. The most support for these roles comes from Mintzberg's own study, and therefore our discussion may be biased toward top managers since they were the subjects involved in the research. However, Mintzberg feels that the results are applicable to all managers and that only the proportion of the time spent in the different roles varies between a supervisor and a president. Additional studies are cited to support the author's observations.

### **Interpersonal Roles**

There are three interpersonal roles characterized by their involvement with people both inside and outside an organization. The first is a figurehead role where the manager performs social or symbolic duties such as visiting a sick employee or working on a charity drive. A second interpersonal role is as leader; the manager must motivate workers and see that the organization is staffed and the work force well trained. The last interpersonal role finds a manager as a liaison; the manager makes contacts both inside and outside the organization to exchange information, problems, and ideas.

### **Informational Roles**

Managers have two informational roles which are clearly important in considering information systems. The manager in the first informational role acts as a monitor, observing and processing different types of information. Most of the information is current, and has been developed from the organization and/or its surrounding environment. The manager is a nerve center for organizational information.

The next information-handling role finds the manager disseminating data. Information is transmitted to relevant colleagues, such as subordinates, superiors, and individuals outside the organization. Finally, a manager plays a minor

role as a spokesperson; the manager makes comments directed to individuals outside the organization in order to explain company policies and actions.

### **Decisional Roles**

The manager's set of four decisional roles also has important bearing on information systems. First, the manager functions as an entrepreneur, searching for and initiating improvement projects to bring about positive changes in the organization. The manager is also a disturbance handler, taking action when the organization faces some unanticipated consequences. The resource allocation role involves decisions on the allocation of resources in the manager's area of responsibility. The final decisional role for the manager is as negotiator, trying to adjudicate disputes in the organization.

### **Managerial Activities**

It is interesting to see how managers spend their time in the various activities constituting the roles described above. First, the manager's work consists primarily of verbal and written contacts. Many activities are fragmented, and frequent interruptions are the rule. The manager's work frequently seems never to be completed because of an awareness that something overlooked could improve the situation. Thus, the manager appears to be much like the student preparing for an examination: the student is never confident that an additional hour of study would be wasted because that hour could uncover the answer to a key question on a test. Since the work is never done, managers seem to work at a vigorous pace.

There are five main tools used by managers in their work, including the mail, telephone, scheduled meetings, unscheduled meetings, and observational tours. The managers observed appeared to favor verbal media; they spent much time in contact with people. Scheduled meetings consumed the greatest percentage of managerial time, and external contacts one-third to one-half of the time spent on interpersonal activities.

### **Applicability of the Findings**

How applicable are these findings which primarily are based on the activities of top managers? Even though little data exist, the results seem intuitively appealing. Until further studies appear, this research offers the best evidence from which to describe the roles of managers in an organization.

Is it possible to generalize the results above to supervisors and clerical workers in the organization? First, some of the results were found to hold in a review of studies conducted before those by Mintzberg. Second, it does appear that various roles are descriptive of activities of individuals at different levels of management. We might, however, find that activities differ in importance between, say, a supervisor and the president of the company. The figurehead role is played far more often by the president than by the supervisor.

One might also expect the situations surrounding different roles to differ. The president of the company acting as a figurehead may talk to comparable

leaders in the government or in other organizations. A first-line supervisor, on the other hand, may be a figurehead for a company baseball team or may preside over a retirement dinner for a subordinate in the department. One would also expect the tools used by management to differ among levels of managers. A supervisor spends more time on observational tours and less time answering mail than the president of the company.

**FRAMEWORKS FOR INFORMATION SYSTEMS**

Now that we have completed a review of managerial roles and examined information and decision making in the last chapter, we can construct a framework for viewing information systems. A framework, as we have seen, is a conceptual model for organizing thought and discussion about information systems.

We have stated that there is no one theory of information systems. However, a user or designer of a system needs some conceptual model of an information system. Unfortunately, there is no one clearly accepted framework for information systems. Because of the ill-structured nature of the field and its interdisciplinary origins, we can at best expect to develop an intuitive or a verbal model of information systems. We present several different approaches to frameworks, and adopt one for purposes of communicating in this text. It is not essential that everyone adopt the framework we use here. However, it is important for each individual dealing with information systems to have some conceptual model behind the decisions pertaining to these systems.

**Anthony**

Our first framework was actually presented in Chapter 2 when we discussed different types of information used for various kinds of decisions. These categories of decision types suggested by Anthony can be considered one framework for information systems. To review, Anthony proposed three types of decisions:

- 1 Strategic planning is the process of deciding on organizational objectives and the means for achieving them; the planner focuses on the relationship between the environment and the organization.
- 2 Managerial control decisions involve a manager ensuring that resources are used efficiently and effectively to achieve the objectives stated during strategic planning. Managerial control decisions are often subjective in their interpretation of information; interpersonal interaction is important in these decisions.
- 3 Operational control decisions involve ensuring that specific tasks are completed efficiently and effectively.

In Chapter 2, we stressed that different types of decisions require different types of information; for example, strategic planning decisions require infrequently updated, predictive, aggregated data from external sources. The reader should review the discussion on pages 21 through 24, and especially Table 2-2.

The major contribution of Anthony is this distinction among types of decisions and their information requirements.

### **Simon**

Earlier we discussed the decision making stages proposed by Simon, including Intelligence, Design, and Choice. Anthony is concerned with the purpose of decision-making activities while Simon is concerned with methods and techniques of problem solving (Simon, 1965). In addition to the stages described above, Simon proposes that there are two types of decisions: programmed and nonprogrammed. Programmed decisions are routine and repetitive; some specified procedure may be applied to reach a decision each time the situation arises. Programmed decisions require little time spent in the Design stage.

On the other hand, decisions which are nonprogrammed are novel and unstructured. For these decisions, much time has to be spent in Design. There is no one solution to these nonprogrammed decisions, since the problem has probably not appeared before. Clearly, few decisions are at one polar extreme or the other. Just as with Anthony's decision types, decisions are expected to fall someplace along a continuum between programmed and nonprogrammed.

Different types of decision-making technology are suitable for attacking each type of problem. Programmed decisions have traditionally been made through habit, by clerical procedures, or with other accepted tools. More modern techniques for solving programmed decisions involve operations research, mathematical analysis, modeling, and simulation.

Nonprogrammed decisions tend to be solved through judgment, intuition, and rules of thumb. Modern approaches to nonprogrammed decisions include special data analysis programs on computers, training for decision makers in heuristic techniques, and heuristic computer programs. Over time we expect to see new technology providing more programming to nonprogrammed decisions; that is, decisions will tend to move toward the more programmed pole of the continuum.

### **Gorry-Scott Morton**

Gorry and Scott Morton (1971) have synthesized the work of Anthony and Simon to develop a very appealing framework for information systems. The results of their efforts are shown in Table 3-1. This matrix classifies Anthony's decision types from operational control to strategic planning on a structured to unstructured scale (Gorry and Scott Morton feel that "structured" and "unstructured" are better terms than "programmed" and "nonprogrammed").

The three decision phases of Intelligence, Design, and Choice are structured for a fully structured decision. An unstructured problem means that all three phases are unstructured, while any decision between is semistructured. As in Simon's framework, the line between structured and unstructured decisions shifts over time as new decision techniques are developed and applied to unstructured problems.

From Table 3-1 it appears that most existing information systems have

**Table 3-1 The Gorry and Scott Morton Framework**

| Classification | Operational control                      | Management control           | Strategic planning                            |
|----------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| Structured     | Order processing<br>Accounts payable     | Budgets<br>Personnel reports | Warehouse location<br>Transportation mode mix |
| Semistructured | Inventory control<br>Production planning | Analysis of variance         | Introduction of new product                   |
| Unstructured   | Cash management                          | Management of personnel      | Planning for R&D                              |

attacked problems in the structured, operational control cell. These problems are similar in many organizations and are among the most easily understood. It is easier to mechanize these decisions and to predict and achieve cost savings than it is for less structured decisions or for strategic planning decisions. Since operational systems are important to the daily functioning of the firm, they are high-priority applications.

Many individuals in the information systems field believe that decisions with the greatest payoff for the organization are unstructured in nature. The development of systems for unstructured problems is a major challenge and is undoubt-

**MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 3.1**

David Masters, vice president of finance for the Major Metals Company, is responsible for overall inventory levels in the firm. Major Metals is an integrated mining and metals-producing company with locations throughout the United States. The firm has several computer centers, all reporting to Masters at corporate headquarters in Chicago.

One of the major inventories in the company consists of spare parts for mining and metal-production operations. At one of the divisions, this inventory has a value of over \$30 million and consists of around 60,000 items. Analysts at the computer center serving this division are developing a new inventory control system to replace the existing one. A number of new features are being added to this more advanced computer application, including an operations research model for determining reorder points and quantities.

Masters feels that the design of such a system should be under the control of the local design staff who know conditions best. However, he is disturbed about a report he received from the present system which he does not find useful. Masters fears that this report will be continued in the new system.

Every month, Masters receives an inventory status report showing the quantity of each item in inventory, its usage during the month, the receipt of new merchandise and the value of the item. Since there are 60,000 items in inventory, this report is voluminous.

Why do you suppose the analysts ever sent this report to the vice president? How does the material discussed in this chapter apply to the distribution of the inventory status report? What should Masters do?

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 3.2

The recently hired director of information systems for a major manufacturing firm was contemplating his new position. After surveying existing applications in the firm, he found that most computer systems were mundane and primarily processed transactions. Users were happy with the service received from the information services department, but had low expectations about the potential of computers.

The new director wanted to continue existing good service levels, but also thought that computers could do a lot more for the firm. The director had the support of top management, but because of little user understanding of the potential for new systems, he knew that any innovative ideas would have to be his own.

How should the director proceed to bring more benefits from computer-based systems to the firm? How do the frameworks described in this chapter help him in this task? What problems do you expect the director will encounter?

edly more risky than the development of comparable systems for structured problems. The goals and design techniques for unstructured decisions differ from those for structured ones. In the structured case, the goal of an information system is usually to improve the processing of information. In an unstructured situation, the goal of the information system is more likely to be one of improving the organization and presentation of information inputs to the decision maker.

Using the Gorry–Scott Morton framework, we can obtain a better feeling for the nature of a management information system. Such an information system should support management decision making, not just routine processing. For our purposes, we shall restrict the term “management information system” (MIS) to systems which support managerial and strategic planning decisions. Because of its descriptive power and intuitive appeal, we adopt the Gorry–Scott Morton framework as our conceptual model for information systems in the rest of the text.

### EXISTING INFORMATION SYSTEMS

From the Gorry and Scott Morton framework, we predict that most information systems fall into the structured operational control class. For the systems in this cell, the user is usually a clerk or production worker; few management information systems are represented here. We expect that most computer-based information systems are rather mundane in nature and have a relatively small impact on decision makers at the managerial level. Two of the three studies described below are somewhat dated, but the accumulated evidence tends to confirm these predictions.

#### Churchill et al.

A study performed by Churchill et al. involved interviews with users, managers, and computer department management in a number of companies. Based on the

interviews, the researchers concluded that the present information systems literature presents a far more advanced picture than that which actually exists (Churchill et al., 1969).

Computers, as we predicted, have achieved much in clerical operations, but they have been used less frequently in other areas. The researchers noted a trend for the delegation of more decisions to computers. There was little or no impact from computers on higher levels of management in this study. Companies did appear to be moving toward more management-oriented systems. The new systems being planned in the companies were wider in scope than existing systems, and these new applications integrated more departments and crossed more functional boundaries.

### **San Francisco Bay Area Study**

In a study by Lucas (1974*a*), seven San Francisco Bay area manufacturing firms provided data on their information systems. The companies produce goods ranging from foodstuffs to digital computers, and all the companies used medium- to large-scale computers. The study included 20 systems from a variety of applications selected with the consultation of the manager of the information services department. These applications were restricted to major systems involving multiple programs, several integrated processing stages, and reports with wide distribution in the firm. The systems required substantial time and cost to develop and served a large number of users.

So that the study would be representative, an attempt was made to include applications in which computers are most frequently used in manufacturing. There were systems for production control, financial accounting, order processing, and payroll and personnel applications, and one system for planning. To gain a slightly different perspective from that of other studies, the lead systems analyst for each system coded the purpose and use and identified the recipient of each major report. It was assumed that each report was used by a single decision maker—an assumption which appeared to be valid in most cases. If a report clearly had multiple uses, it was coded for each use.

The results of the analysis are shown in Table 3-2. During the coding of the reports, the categories “none” and “execution of a rule” had to be added to the types of decisions from the Anthony framework. Too many decisions could not even be classified as operational control in nature. Execution of a program which charged an expenditure to a specific account coded on an input form would be classified as “execution of a rule.”

From Table 3-2, most of the reports, some 75 percent, deal with the two decision types of none and execution of a simple rule such as “compute deductions and subtract from gross pay.” We call these two categories “transactions processing” because they represent systems that primarily automate clerical processing activities, much the way assembly lines automated manufacturing. Thus, we should add another cell to the Gorry–Scott Morton framework, one of structured transactions-processing systems where almost no decision making is involved.

**Table 3-2 Results of Bay Area Study**

|                                       | Percent of Reports |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Decision type                         |                    |
| None                                  | 11                 |
| Execution of a rule                   | 64                 |
| Operational control                   | 14                 |
| Management control/strategic planning | 10                 |
| Purpose of report                     |                    |
| Paper product (for example, check)    | 8                  |
| Historical                            | 31                 |
| Maintenance of system                 | 23                 |
| Problem/action                        | 12                 |
| Cost                                  | 22                 |
| Planning/trend                        | 5                  |
| Decision maker                        |                    |
| None/outside company                  | 4                  |
| Clerk                                 | 27                 |
| Clerk plus added responsibilities     | 19                 |
| Foreman/supervisor                    | 16                 |
| Accountant                            | 24                 |
| Middle/top management                 | 11                 |
| Report frequency                      |                    |
| Daily                                 | 16                 |
| Weekly/semiweekly                     | 33                 |
| Monthly/semimonthly                   | 33                 |
| Other/quarterly, yearly, as requested | 18                 |
| Format                                |                    |
| Full report                           | 64                 |
| Exception report                      | 16                 |
| Summary report                        | 20                 |

Most of the reports in the sample are historical and are used for system maintenance (computer systems usually have editing and control reports which were referred to as “system maintenance” reports). For these systems the decision maker was generally a clerk, supervisor, or accountant. Only 11 percent of the reports went to middle or top management. There were some summary and exception reports, but most of the exception reports were for system maintenance. That is, exceptions were printed for input errors and other problems that should be resolved by users or the information services department staff.

More recent evidence on the nature of current information systems comes from a study by Lucas (1976a). This research project examined the implementation of computer-based models in a sample of companies. This type of planning model is discussed further in Chapter 9, but essentially the planner uses a computer language to construct a representation of the firm. The resulting model

is run on a computer to predict the outcome of various decision alternatives. Such a system has the potential to support strategic planning in the firm, but only 4 out of 18 companies used the models for this purpose. The other 14 firms used their models to take immediate action on problems, generally at the operational control level.

The picture that emerges from these studies is one of systems which have limited impact on managers, as predicted by Gorrry and Scott Morton. Most of these systems have focused on structured operational control decisions and transactions processing. The two studies above are old, and many new systems have been developed since their completion. However, the computer profession still finds it difficult to serve the needs of managers given the variety of managerial activities described earlier in the chapter and the problems inherent in developing management information systems.

KEY WORDS

|                     |                        |                         |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Decision            | Liaison                | Programmed              |
| Disseminator        | Managerial control     | Resource allocator      |
| Disturbance handler | Management information | Spokesperson            |
| Entrepreneur        | systems                | Semistructured          |
| Exception reports   | Monitor                | Strategic planning      |
| Figurehead role     | Negotiator             | Structured              |
| Informational roles | Nonprogrammed          | Transactions processing |
| Interpersonal roles | Operational control    | Unstructured            |
| Leader              | POSDCORB               |                         |

RECOMMENDED READINGS

Anthony, R.: *Planning and Control Systems: A Framework for Analysis*, Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University, Boston, 1965. (A complete and readable exposition of Anthony's framework for decision making, it is highly recommended.)

Churchill, N. C., J. H. Kempster, and M. Uretsky: *Computer Based Information Systems for Management: A Survey*, National Association of Accountants, New York, 1969. (This early study of the impact of computers on organizations presents interesting findings which differ from popular literature. Though it is somewhat dated, it is good background reading.)

Gorrry, G. A. and M. S. Scott Morton: "A Framework for Management Information Systems," *Sloan Management Review*, vol. 13, no. 1, 1971, pp. 55-70. (Consult this reference for more details on the framework adopted in this chapter.)

Lucas, H. C., Jr., K. W. Clowes, R. B. Kaplan: "Framework for Information Systems," *INFOR*, vol. 12, no. 3, October 1974*b*, pp. 245-260. (A review comparing and contrasting a number of frameworks for information systems. Each of the frameworks is evaluated, and the authors recommend the Gorrry-Scott Morton framework.)

Mintzberg, H.: *The Nature of Managerial Work*, Harper & Row, New York, 1973.

(Mintzberg's book is recommended reading for any student of information systems. The details of the study referenced in this chapter are presented along with the findings of other studies.)

Simon, H.: *The Shape of Automation for Men and Management*. Harper & Row, New York, 1965. (Essays by Simon describing some of his ideas on problem solving.)

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 Why have so many transactions-processing computer systems been developed?
- 2 Keep a diary categorizing your daily activities according to the classifications used by Mintzberg. How do your activities compare with those of the managers in his study?
- 3 How could Mintzberg's technique of structured observation be used in designing an information system?
- 4 Describe the purposes of a framework. What kinds of problems are encountered when individuals with different backgrounds try to communicate without some common conceptual model?
- 5 Why is the line between structured and unstructured decisions shifting more towards structured? Are unstructured decisions being eliminated, or will we continue to be faced with this type of decision problem?
- 6 How would you define and recognize the characteristics of a management information system?
- 7 Sketch a framework for information systems of your own. How does it compare with the ones discussed in the chapter?
- 8 Several authors have suggested functional frameworks for information systems, for example, information systems to serve logistics, finance, and marketing functions. What are the advantages and disadvantages of this approach to frameworks?
- 9 What are the problems in developing an information system to support unstructured decision making?
- 10 What are the characteristics of an information system to support strategic planning activities in an organization?
- 11 What aspects of management are like a science? What aspects of management defy a scientific approach?
- 12 Compare and contrast an information system for processing orders with one for planning a corporate acquisition. On what technological characteristics would you expect these systems to differ? What types of information and to whom should the information be provided by each of these systems?
- 13 What type of technology would you expect to see used for operational control, managerial control, and strategic planning systems? For example, where would an on-line system be used versus a batch system?
- 14 What role can information serve for a decision maker acting as a disturbance handler? What role can information serve for a decision maker acting in the information, interpersonal, and decisional roles?
- 15 Can an information system be of any assistance to a manager acting as a negotiator?
- 16 What is wrong with the classic views of management activities?
- 17 What problems are created by providing the wrong type of information for a particular decision setting? What do the Simon, Anthony, and Gorry-Scott Morton frameworks suggest is likely to happen?

- 18 What level of management would you expect to be associated with different decision types, for example, who makes the preponderance of planning decisions in an organization?
- 19 Think of an example of a structured versus an unstructured decision problem and compare the two on the information differences presented by Anthony.
- 20 What top management functions and activities can be supported by a computer-based information system?

TYPES OF SYSTEMS  
APPLICATIONS

The Manager

The Morning

Lunch

Afternoon

IN CONCLUSION

KEY WORDS

RECOMMENDED READINGS

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS


# An Overview of Computer-Based Information Systems

In this chapter, we discuss the general structure of information systems and look at examples of different kinds of systems. Our purpose is to gain an appreciation for the many different applications employing computer-based information systems.

## TYPES OF SYSTEMS

Figure 4-1 is a simple illustration of the basic components of a computer-based information system. A system processes input which is provided by a user, for example, a list of hours worked by employees. The input is first edited for errors and corrected, if necessary, through manual intervention. An entry that indicates someone worked 120 hours in a week is probably incorrect and should be questioned. The input becomes immediate output (a check) or is used to modify the files (pay records) of the system. Input can also be used to request the retrieval of information stored in the system, such as the names of all employees who worked overtime last week.

Files containing data are a major component of the information system. The files correspond to the information kept in folders, file cabinets, or notebooks in



**Figure 4-1** Components of a computer-based information system.

a manual information system. Information may be retrieved from a file or the file contents may be altered by modifying, adding, or deleting data in the file. We are also interested in some type of output from a system which may be a short response to an inquiry request for information from a file or the result of elaborate computations. Output is produced in many different formats and modes of presentation such as a printed report, a display on a televisionlike screen, or a verbal response.

It is helpful to distinguish among the types of information systems according to the technology employed. In a simple batch system, all input is processed at one point in time to produce the desired output. The input data are collected and used to update the files periodically, for example, daily, weekly, or monthly. The data are frequently out of date in this type of system, but batch processing is very economical. A payroll system is an example of an application that is usually operated in batch mode.

A simple inquiry system features on-line retrieval of information from files that are updated in batch mode. A system for production control might be updated overnight and have its files available for inquiry using a terminal during the day.

An inquiry and post system is similar to a simple inquiry system in processing retrieval requests for information. However, it also accepts and edits input on-line for later updating in batch mode. This input is saved on a file and is used to update the system later. The production control system above could be operated in this manner to accept input from factory-floor data terminals during the day. Then in the evening, when the computer schedule is less heavy, the files could be updated in a batch processing run.

An inquiry and on-line updating system actually makes modifications to files as the information is entered from terminals. These systems require more complicated technology and are exemplified by on-line reservations systems.

A command and control system or real-time system is one in which information has to be fed back instantaneously to control an operation. For example, sensors on a missile feed information to a computer which must process the data in time to provide guidance control for the missile.

Table 4-1 summarizes the types of systems and their characteristics, and provides an example of a typical application employing each type of technology.

**Table 4-1    Characteristics and Applications of Various Technologies**

| Type of system      | Characteristics  | Example                                     |
|---------------------|--|---|
| Simple batch system | Updating at one point in time                                    | Payroll                                     |
| Simple inquiry      | Update in batch, retrieve on-line                                | Inventory status                            |
| Inquiry and post    | Update in batch, retrieve on-line, enter and edit data on-line   | Production control with factory-floor input |
| Fully on-line       | All input, output, and updating done on-line through terminals   | Reservations system                         |
| Command and control | Fully on-line and instantaneous feedback to control some process | Missile launching and guidance              |

**APPLICATIONS**

In the rest of this chapter, we present a survey of various computer-based information systems to illustrate the diversity of situations in which these systems have been used. Our vehicle for presentation will be to follow a hypothetical manager through a day’s activities. We shall discuss the information systems encountered and briefly describe their characteristics. These information systems do not necessarily exist in exactly the form described here. However, all the applications areas suggested have been supported by computer-based information systems similar to the ones encountered below.

**The Manager**

Our hypothetical manager is Martha Johnson, the executive vice president of Diversified Products, Inc. Diversified is a medium-sized conglomerate whose major operation is the production of automobile parts for new car and replacement markets. Several smaller subsidiaries manufacture parts for other consumer durable products.

Martha has an M.B.A. from a leading business school and has been working for Diversified for about five years. She began as controller, having majored in accounting and finance. Martha was recently promoted to executive vice president, a position with major operating and financial responsibilities.

**The Morning**

We join Martha on her way to work in the morning. She lives in a townhouse near a rail line serving the central city where Diversified’s headquarters and main production facilities are located. This morning she listens to a weather forecast and decides to drive to the railroad station because showers are predicted.

**A Weather System** Behind the forecast is a huge system of reporting stations and computer equipment: data from all over the world are collected and

---

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 4.1

The chief executive of a small firm specializing in the acquisition of cable TV companies feels that the major reason for the firm's success is its ability to perform an economic evaluation of a proposed acquisition in two or three days. Larger competitors, because of standards and bureaucratic procedures, are not able to move as rapidly.

However, there are several problems with the analysis conducted by the small firm. Because of the computational complexity of different financing arrangements and depreciation schemes, very few possibilities are computed. The controller of the firm uses a pocket calculator for the computations; there is not sufficient time for a complete analysis of the myriad possibilities available.

The chief executive thought that a computer might be able to help, but he does not know how to proceed. He fears that a computer might make procedures too rigid or that a system might be too expensive.

From what you know of the different kinds of computer systems available, what kind of computer processing, if any, would you recommend to the chief executive and why?

processed to study and predict the weather. Some of the largest computational programs for modern computers were developed for the task of weather forecasting. However, the general public rarely glimpses the people or machines monitoring the weather and generating these forecasts.

**A Police System** While driving to the station, Martha encounters the well-known phenomenon of a radar speed trap, though fortunately she is heading in the opposite direction! She notices that immediately after stopping the offending motorist, the police officer does not leave the patrol car, but instead speaks on the car radio. Had she been able to overhear the conversation, Martha would have heard the officer checking with the dispatcher to get information about the automobile to be cited. What kind of data would the officer receive and how?

At the heart of this police information system is a computer-based file containing information on wants and warrants, and these data can be retrieved from the file on-line for an inquiring officer. The police officer in the field radios the command center and requests information on a given license number. At the center an operator, using a terminal connected to an on-line system, enters the license number. The computer is programmed to search for any information on the file about a car with that license plate or the person to whom it is registered.

Typical questions would be, "Are there any outstanding tickets or warrants for the car or driver? Is the automobile stolen?" Information is retrieved and radioed back to the police officer. If the driver is wanted, the officer takes special precautions or calls for assistance in approaching the automobile. Such information has greatly increased the safety of the police officer.

However, as with any benefit, there are costs connected with such a system.

Police systems have raised issues of invasion of privacy over the records of data maintained on them. These systems also can result in abuses to citizens if not updated properly. For example, in one city, stolen cars were later recovered, but the computer system was not updated. Several rightful owners of the returned automobiles were arrested later because the information system was not kept up to date!

**A Sales Application** After arriving at work, Martha receives a summary management report on sales in Diversified's major division. Diversified employs about 150 sales representatives nationwide. Twenty-five of these representatives call on Detroit manufacturers to sell new car parts while the others concentrate on sales of auto replacement parts through chain stores and other retail outlets.

The company has developed a fairly elaborate batch sales information system as an outgrowth of its order entry computer system. Sales force members complete orders and send them to the data processing center where they are transcribed into a machine-readable medium. The orders are summarized by type and product and printed by due date for production control scheduling purposes. The orders are also analyzed to extract sales information; past sales history is kept on a computer file. Each sales representative receives a monthly report showing his or her accounts and 12 months of historical sales data. The reports also contain this-year-to-date and last-year-to-date figures on sales by unit and dollar volume.

There is a goal or quota for each sales representative which is also shown on the report. This system has eliminated much of the sales representatives' book-keeping and allowed them to concentrate on sales. The sales information system also produces a summary report by territory and by product for management. A batch retrieval program allows managers to select different types of information based on their needs. Martha Johnson, for example, likes to receive a report of sales by product and sales by product within each geographical region. She uses this information to obtain a feeling for how different product groups are doing and to compare sales with inventories in different parts of the country.

**The Production System** A 10 A.M. meeting is scheduled to discuss a request for a new production control system. Most of the operations of Diversified are classified as job shop as opposed to assembly-line production. There is a series of manufacturing operations on each product, and a number of different machines can be used for these operations; there is no one sequence of steps. Scheduling job shops is difficult because of the combinatorial number of paths through the shop for a given product.

Early in the days of computer use, Diversified developed a time-sharing simulation model to help in the scheduling process. A production control scheduler inputs the jobs to be completed to a terminal connected to a commercial firm selling time-sharing services. A model developed by a consulting firm simulates the flow of orders through the shop and prints the best schedule. The simulation program has a table showing all machinery requirements for different orders.

Various scheduling rules are tried and the best scheduling rule in terms of time and cost is selected.

The purpose of the 10 A.M. meeting is to discuss a proposal for installing factory-floor data collection terminals to record the status of production. Several managers in the company have questioned the advisability and need for such data and the meeting continues until lunchtime.

## Lunch

**Computer-Aided Design** Martha lunches with the head of engineering for Diversified; they discuss a recent demonstration at a customer's location. The customer, one of the major automobile manufacturers, showed them its engineering research center. The manager of engineering was fascinated by the customer's computer-aided design system. Automobile designers sit in front of cathode-ray tube (CRT) terminals, which resemble a television set, and work interactively with the computer to design new products. An engineer uses a light pen to indicate changes on the screen and the computer system makes the changes on-line instantaneously. Several complete cars had been designed in this manner. However, the manufacturer admitted that the on-line computer system and programs to control the graphics displays (for example, line drawings of automobiles and their components) were very complex and costly. Martha and the engineering manager agree that such a tool is currently beyond economic feasibility for Diversified.

**Two Customer-Oriented Systems** After lunch, Martha has a few minutes to conduct two items of personal business. First, she wishes to see if a check has been credited to her bank account. She stops at a branch of the bank and keys in her account number on a standard Touch-Tone phone. She receives a response giving the balance of her checking account: "four two oh one dollars and seven five cents."

This bank system is basically a batch on-line inquiry application. The telephone is a very inexpensive terminal; computer output is produced by an audio-response unit. Numbers are prerecorded on this device and the computer program accepts the account number, locates the balance on file, and gives instructions to the audio response unit on what numbers to "play" for output.

Back at the office, Martha decides to try another letter to *Modern Living* magazine (earlier she had resolved to cancel her subscription). First, her subscription was not renewed as requested. Then two copies of the magazine started arriving each month. Several letters also came demanding payment even after Martha sent a copy of her cancelled check. This time Martha is writing to the publisher in hopes of obtaining satisfaction.

At the magazine, chaos had prevailed since a consultant had designed and programmed a new subscription system. For some reason, unknown to the magazine staff, the new system did not work. The computer cancelled subscrip-

tions after the magazine sent two copies each month. Customers cancelled other subscriptions because no copies were being received. Circulation dropped and the magazine had to resort to costly overprinting to be sure enough copies were available. Because of the decline in circulation, advertising revenue also decreased. Finally, after hiring some staff members with computer experience and turning to another consultant for help, the situation is improving. However, *Modern Living* was very close to bankruptcy at one point in time because the subscription system failed so badly.

## Afternoon

**Marketing Research** After lunch, Martha attends a meeting with the marketing department. This department is looking at marketing models to see how they could be used to assist the company. The department requested more funds in its budget to hire an operations research staff member and for time-sharing services. Several models were available to help in advertising decisions; there were also models to suggest strategies for new product selection and introduction. In addition to the models already available, a number of statistical techniques to answer different marketing questions could be applied to sales data which were collected already by the sales information system.

The marketing department also wants funds to use an existing information storage and retrieval system. Several vendors offer very large data bases of information useful for such research. For example, one company has much of the information for 300,000 NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration) citations in a data base which can be accessed by customers for a fee. The user of these services dials a computer in California and employs a simple language to submit retrieval requests. A computer program searches for abstracts fitting the key words entered by the user. Abstracts can be printed at the computer center and mailed to the requester if a large number of citations is found.

**Planning Systems** Later in the afternoon, Martha receives the output from the latest run of Diversified's planning model. Using a specially developed language for planning, a staff member constructed a model of the firm. Simple equations are used to express basic relationships among demand, production, sales, and inventory. The staff develops various scenarios and compares the output to predict the results of different courses of action.

Economic data obtained from a firm selling time-series data on the economy supplements the planning model. The vendor of economic data has a large computer model of the economy, constructed with sophisticated regression techniques. Information developed from this model is made available to customers; Diversified uses these data to provide information on general economic conditions for its own model.

Martha is particularly interested in a cash flow forecast for the next four or five years for a new subsidiary under consideration for acquisition. On the next run, she asks the analyst to show what would happen to cash flow projections if

the new subsidiary is acquired this year and an existing, unprofitable subsidiary is sold in three years.

**Airplane Reservations** Before leaving work Martha calls an airline to make a reservation for a trip the following week. The passenger agent who answers the phone converses with an on-line reservation system through an alphanumeric CRT terminal (unlike the graphics terminal for automated design, this TV-like terminal prints only letters and numbers). The agent obtains information on what flights are available from the computer system; a computer program checks the file of flights and times and displays them on the agent's screen.

Based on the schedules, Martha requests a round-trip flight. The agent enters the request; the computer system checks a file for the flight requested and indicates that space is available. The agent enters Martha's name and the computer program places it on a file. Later, plans can be changed easily. Martha's record can be consulted by the agent when she appears for her ticket and proceeds to the gate to board the plane.

**A Supermarket System** On the way home, Martha stops at a supermarket that is experimenting with a new, automated check-out system. The system appears fast to Martha, but she wonders if it is economical. Each grocery item is coded with something called the Universal Product Code, and this code can be read by an optical scanner in the counter when the item and code are passed over the scanner. Price information is retrieved by a computer program which also maintains a running total of the groceries purchased by the customer. The clerk no longer has to operate a cash register. By knowing every item sold, the store can keep track of inventory and place appropriate reorders. However, these point-of-sale systems have aroused the fear of many consumer groups opposed to the lack of price information marked on grocery items, even though the price is marked on the shelf.

## IN CONCLUSION

In this brief sketch, we observed a number of different information systems. These systems employ different types of computer technology and support different kinds of decisions (see Table 4-2). There are problems with some of the systems, while others appear to work well. In later chapters, we shall explain some of these problems and try to suggest how to make successful decisions about computer systems.

We should emphasize that the scenario described in this chapter is hypothetical; however, applications such as these do exist. It should be obvious that computer-based information systems have been applied to many diverse situations. While we always are constrained by cost and technology, to a great extent we are limited only by our imagination, creativity, and ability to deal successfully with the changes created by computer-based information systems.

**Table 4-2 Summary of Systems**

| System              | Decision type                          | Technology             |
|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| Weather             | Operational                            | Batch, on-line         |
| Police want/warrant | Operational                            | On-line                |
| Sales, order entry  | Transactional, operational, management | Batch                  |
| Production control  | Operational                            | On-line (time-sharing) |
| Automobile design   | Operational                            | On-line                |
| Account inquiry     | Transactional                          | On-line inquiry        |
| Subscription        | Transaction                            | Batch                  |
| Marketing models    | Managerial and strategic               | Time-sharing           |
| Planning model      | Strategic                              | Time-sharing           |
| Reservations system | Transactional, operational             | On-line                |
| Supermarket system  | Transactional, operational             | On-line                |

**KEY WORDS**

|                     |                  |            |
|---------------------|------------------|------------|
| Alphanumeric        | Graphics         | On-line    |
| Audio response      | Edit             | Output     |
| Batch               | Inquire and post | Posting    |
| Command and control | Inquiry          | Simulation |
| CRT                 | Models           | Update     |
| Files               |                  |            |

**RECOMMENDED READINGS**

- IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 4, nos. 2 and 3, 1965. (This journal discusses a number of applications in the production control area.)
- IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 12, no. 2, 1973. (An issue that focuses on financial models using computer systems.)
- IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 14, no. 1, 1975. (Both supermarket and retail-store automatic check-out systems are described.)
- Montgomery, D., and G. Urban: "Marketing Decisions Systems: An Emerging View," *Journal of Marketing Research*, vol. 7, May 1970, pp. 226-234. (A conceptual overview of the characteristics of a marketing decision system.)
- Weiss, E. (ed.): *Computer Usage Applications*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970. (This book discusses a number of computer-based applications in various areas of a firm.)

**DISCUSSION QUESTIONS**

- 1 What are the advantages and disadvantages of batch computer systems?
- 2 Do you expect input editing to be easier for a batch or for an on-line system?
- 3 Why do you suppose inquiry and post systems were developed instead of fully on-line applications?
- 4 What are the advantages and disadvantages of fully on-line computer systems?
- 5 How do backup requirements differ between batch and on-line systems?
- 6 What applications, if any, exist for command and control systems in business?

- 7 How does time sharing differ in its use from both batch and on-line systems?
- 8 What are the drawbacks to mathematical models applied to management problems?
- 9 What are the problems with simulation as a tool in business analysis?
- 10 Why do so many batch computer systems exist?
- 11 What factors inhibit the development of on-line systems? (Hint: Think of the major components of such systems.)
- 12 What are the social issues involved in having massive files of personal data available on-line?
- 13 Computer-aided instruction has been suggested as one way to improve education. What do you think its limitations are?
- 14 Why are so many time-sharing applications developed in user departments rather than under the control of an internal information systems department?
- 15 One critic has suggested that management information can never be automated. What is your reaction to this statement?
- 16 Examine a computer application with which you are familiar. Describe its purpose, input, output, processing, and files.
- 17 Inventory control is one of the most popular computer applications. Why? What has its impact been on the economy?
- 18 An entire industry exists for selling information. Make a survey of some of the data for sale and classify it by functional area, for example, marketing, finance, economics.
- 19 Why is it useful to have interaction capabilities when working with a computer-based model?
- 20 What factors from a user's standpoint are different in the design of a batch versus an on-line system?
- 21 What are the major advantages and disadvantages of inquiry and post systems?

# Organizational Issues

In this Part we review what is known about the impact of computer systems on the organization. Our emphasis is on the design of systems that have a positive impact on the organization and its members. The analysis is aided by two models; the first explains the development of power by different departments in an organization and the second focuses specifically on information systems in the context of the organization. These models lead directly to a discussion of successful implementation. Our objective is to create and operate information systems which will be used and will make a positive contribution to the organization.

## IMPACT ON THE ORGANIZATION

- Early Predictions

- Distribution of Power

## IMPACT ON INDIVIDUALS

- Early Predictions

- A Conflict Model

## INFORMATION SYSTEMS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE ORGANIZATION

- A Descriptive Model

- Implications for Systems Design

- Implications for Operations

## IN CONCLUSION

## KEY WORDS

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# The Impact of Information Systems on the Organization

Many times information systems have failed because the reactions of users were ignored or because designers did not consider the impact of the system on the organization. A technically elegant system is successful only if it is used. Most early studies of the impact of computers were completed after the systems had been installed; these studies were broad in nature and in general were not oriented toward the design of better information systems.

More recent research has led to the development of a model of information systems, in the context of the organization, which describes how information systems impact and interact with organizations. From this model it should be possible to predict the impact of systems in advance and plan for a successful interface with the organization. This topic is of vital concern to the user of information systems; anyone requesting a system is interested in its successful development. As we shall see, organizational factors are as important (or more so) than technological considerations in the design and operation of computer-based information systems.

## **IMPACT ON THE ORGANIZATION**

### **Early Predictions**

Leavitt and Whisler (1958) presented one of the best-known sets of predictions for the impact of computers on organizations. These authors suggested that firms

would recentralize as a result of new computer technology; the availability of more information than previously possible would allow management to centralize. The trend until the development of computer systems had been toward decentralization because centralized management could not cope with the amount of information and the number of decisions required in a large organization. Computers offer the power to make centralized management possible so the organization can be tightly controlled by a group of top managers.

There has been little evidence to support this early prediction (Lucas, 1975). In a few cases, researchers have found examples of recentralization after computer systems were installed; however, there is no overall trend evident. Occasionally a system has replaced a level of management, for example, a military command and control system. Unfortunately, there have been too few studies, and research in this area is hard to conduct because so many variables besides computer systems affect the structure of an organization.

Another problem in validating predictions of computer impact occurs in defining variables such as centralization and decentralization. No one has developed an acceptable definition of centralization or a technique to measure it. Moreover, early predictions assumed that decentralization is negatively motivated. However, there may be other reasons to decentralize—for example, to train managers or to provide more autonomy for supervisors.

There is no real reason why computer systems lead naturally to centralization. We can consider centralization and decentralization as variables in the systems design process. Certainly, centralization is not something which should be measured after a system has been implemented. Management should specify the goals of the organization and the degree of centralization desired. Given the sophisticated communications capabilities of on-line computer systems and large data-base systems, we can design a computer system that provides information for decision making at any level or geographical location in an organization.

### **Distribution of Power**

As mentioned earlier, some of the first studies of the impact of the computer on organizations are not helpful because they have not really provided a basis for designing successful systems. The real problem with the impact of information systems on organizations is concerned with a subject not covered in past studies: information systems affect the distribution of power in the organization. "Power" is the potential to influence others to act according to our wishes. Different departments in organizations have different levels of power, and a theory proposed by Hickson et al. (1971) offers some insight into these power relationships. These authors suggest a model with four major conditions, described below, which produce a department having a high level of power. As we shall see, the information services department meets all these conditions for high power, and by its activities, this department alters the distribution of power in an organization.

One hypothesized determinant of power is the extent to which the department copes with uncertainty for other departments. Uncertainty is defined as the

lack of information about future events which makes their outcomes less predictable. An information services department copes with a great deal of uncertainty for user departments. When a new system is designed, the user often yields control over an operation to the information services department.

For example, consider the department that used to prepare budget statements manually but which has just implemented a computer system to process budgets. Before the computer system, when a group of clerks and analysts prepared the budget, the manager of the department had complete control. If the department was behind schedule, the manager could arrange overtime or employ temporary help to see that the job was completed. A solution to most problems was within the manager's own department. Now, with the computer system, the manager has added uncertainties about whether the information services department will finish processing on time and with acceptable accuracy. The development of this computer system has created uncertainty for the manager where none existed before. Interestingly enough, only the information services department can cope with this new uncertainty.

Information systems are designed to provide information for decision making and so the information services department is in the business of supplying information. We have defined information as some tangible or intangible entity which reduces uncertainty. Thus, the information services department supplies a product that reduces uncertainty by its very nature. Furthermore, in the operation of systems, there are many uncertainties, such as whether a job will be completed on time and whether the output will be satisfactory. This uncertainty also is controlled by the information services department.

A second hypothesized determinant of high power is whether or not a department can be replaced easily. These are a few alternatives to a mature information services department. Dissatisfied company management could hire an entirely new computer staff, but this would create chaos during the transition period. One can also turn to a service bureau for processing, but it would be difficult and expensive to convert all present applications. Another alternative to the information services department is a facilities management arrangement in which a consultant contracts to run an information services department. However, most facilities management contractors hire a proportion of the people currently working in the information services department. A facilities management agreement also meets resistance from management, which is often uneasy about having another organization responsible for the processing of vital information. Thus, for a mature information services department, there are not many possible substitutes.

A third proposed determinant of high power for a department is the number of links between other departments and the department in question. The greater the number of links to a department, the greater its power. Clearly, here is another situation where the information services department has the potential for becoming quite powerful. The information services department may accept input from a wide variety of departments in the organization and provide them all with some type of service. The importance of each link also must be considered in

assessing departmental power. If a link were separated, how long would it take for the organization to stop? The building and grounds department has a large number of links to each department. However, the lack of janitorial services would be only an inconvenience; in most organizations the final output would not be affected drastically.

For the information services department, the number of links and the importance of output depend on the type of applications developed. Transactional and operational control systems are usually associated with greater power, since these systems have an immediate impact on workloads in the company. Most organizations, for example, are heavily dependent on on-line transactions processing systems.

The degree of interdependence between the department of interest and all other departments in the organization is a final condition for power. The greater the dependence of department A on department B, the greater is department B's power. The information services department tends to exhibit reciprocal interdependence with user departments. That is, the information services department and user departments are mutually dependent upon each other to process work, and unfortunately, this type of mutual dependence is the most demanding. An information services department depends upon users during systems design to supply information and to provide an understanding of what is needed. On the other hand, the user is dependent on the information services department for the technical aspects of design and for seeing that a system is implemented. During operations, the user must supply input and help maintain the data base. The user in turn is dependent upon the information services department to provide processing services.

The information services department has a potentially high score on all the conditions for power discussed above, particularly on coping with uncertainty. Limited evidence suggests that coping with uncertainty is the most important condition for high power (Hennings et al., 1974). As an information services department develops systems for different departments, it becomes more powerful in the organization. However, this trend is often not realized because no one stops to look at the department as a whole and consider the total of all applications. When there are significant power shifts in an organization, users can become resentful of dependence upon the information services department, possibly without knowing the real reasons for dissatisfaction. As a result, users may stop working with systems, or not seek added computer help when it could be of great assistance. Discomfort over changes in power relations has also been known to lead to personal conflict.

## **IMPACT ON INDIVIDUALS**

### **Early Predictions**

Many early studies of the impact of computer systems were concerned with user reactions. Some of these studies dealt with the psychological reaction of workers while others concentrated on overall changes in levels of employment. While

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 5.1

A major United States bank was dependent upon its computer systems for processing many different kinds of financial transactions. For several months, the information services department warned that it needed additional computer capacity but was out of physical space.

Bank management was relatively unconcerned; they were spending enough on computers at present. The decision to expand and obtain a new computer was deferred several times.

One day, the bank's computers were unable to process all the transactions. The bank lost track of its deposits and failed to clear its accounts with other banks on time. Such an incident cost the bank a large amount of money in fines and in lost interest on funds.

Bank management became very aware of the computer problem because of this malfunction and the attendant crisis. Now how do you think computers are viewed in the bank? What types of computer systems do you think result in the most power for the information services department? How can managers cope with the problems of power transfers in the organization because of computer systems?

isolated changes in employment have occurred, it is difficult to find an overall trend. It is safe to say that the impact of computers on unemployment levels has been no greater than that of any other technological change. The lack of an adverse impact is particularly significant in view of the short period of time that has elapsed since computers were introduced and the rapid development of computer systems.

Early writers were also interested in the impact of computer systems on jobs and job content. They suggested that computers would assume more of top management's innovative activities and lead to a managerial elite. Computers would tend to accentuate differences among different levels of management (Leavitt and Whisler, 1958). Middle managers were expected to suffer the most from computer systems, and it was predicted that there would be fewer middle managers. Individuals holding middle management positions would need fewer skills and hence would receive lower pay. These workers would have less status in the organization and lower mobility. Early predictions also suggested that many nonmanagement employees would be replaced. For those remaining, jobs would become more boring, and the worker would have less self-control.

While only a few similar studies have been undertaken recently, they have all failed to confirm the predictions. First, it is hard to define middle management. Even still, the drastic changes forecasted do not appear to have occurred. Clerical personnel seem to have been replaced occasionally by a computer system, but the effect is not necessarily widespread. Often more work is done by the same number of workers than would have been possible before a computer system. There is some evidence that nonmanagement employees have found an increase in the number of deadlines and a reduction of flexibility of their jobs as a

result of the implementation of computer systems. However, these research results are very old and correspond to the almost exclusive use of batch computer systems.

### **A Conflict Model**

These studies of the impact of computers on individuals are also not too helpful in the design and operation of modern information systems. A major impact of computers on an individual occurs when conflict arises between users and the information services department. Conflict can be caused by a number of conditions, one of which is the fact that power is transferred from users to an information services department. If a system does not fit users' needs or is not installed on schedule, there may also be conflict. Conflict is likely to result if the system is not operated according to specifications.

In addition to the problems listed above, there are a number of conditions which have the potential to create conflict in an organization (Walton and Dutton, 1969). We do not expect the relationships between each information services department and other departments to fulfill all conflict conditions, but the potential is there for such problems to arise.

Our first condition is mutual dependence, which increases the potential for conflict because the failure to perform by one party causes serious difficulties for the other. Dependence develops between an information services department and user departments as described earlier for the power model, so there is a high potential for conflict.

Task differences also create conflict. Computer work is highly specialized and there are many differences between the tasks of a programmer and the average user. Uncertainty has also been known to lead to frustration and conflict, and we have seen that a large amount of uncertainty surrounds computer work. Conflict can also be fostered by ambiguities: In computer activities, who is responsible for a problem? Has the operations staff made an error or is the system badly designed? There is also the possibility that the error is the responsibility of the user.

Occasionally, when people depend on common resources, conflict arises. Computers may be seen as taking limited funds from a fixed budget in user departments, or several departments may compete for limited computer resources. Job dissatisfaction is another condition that leads to conflict. Users of the information services department may be unhappy in their job or jealous of other workers in the organization. Computer jobs have been known to pay more highly than other positions and if a user feels service is bad, the fact that the computer staff is more highly paid may be resented. Computer staff members often keep rather strange hours, and a user seeing a programmer arrive at 2 P.M. may not realize that the programmer worked all night.

Communications obstacles have become a major problem between the computer staff and users. The computer field has developed its own jargon and many computer professionals do not realize they are using strange and unfamiliar terminology. The user may feel that the information services department staff

members are trying to demonstrate superior knowledge or avoid making an accurate explanation of a problem by using jargon. In certain situations an information services department staff member may also be confused by user jargon.

Performance rewards differ drastically among information services department staff members and users, and these differences have a potential for creating conflict. Reward structures are hard to assess, but a few companies appear to pay for harmonious relationships among users and the computer staff or for successfully designing and implementing systems. Personal characteristics and traits often differ among information systems staff members and users, which is also a condition leading to conflict. Technically, computer work can be very demanding and the staff member is usually highly committed to a career. Computer professionals may not empathize with and understand user problems, which often creates conflict.

Certainly not all the conditions described above exist in any one organiza-

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 5.2

A new payroll system is being implemented at the Old Shoe Company. While payroll is often considered to be a simple computer application, it often turns out to be very complex. At Old Shoe, the payroll system also collects data for the cost accounting system.

A single programmer-analyst has worked for several months to develop a new version of the system. The old version had to be replaced because Old Shoe had just acquired a new subsidiary. This new subsidiary increased the number of employees at the company beyond the number of digits in the employee identification number in the old system. Thus, there is intense time pressure to install the new system in order to run the total payroll for the company.

The head of the payroll section is very unhappy with the new system. She feels that the original system works well, despite examples which showed that it has errors in it.

The information services department is running a parallel test. That is, the old and new systems are being run simultaneously to check the results of one against the other. Of course, this type of test requires a lot of extra work. Duplicate input has to be prepared and the results of the two systems compared. There is extensive overtime required on the part of the payroll clerks.

The head of the payroll department refuses to accept the new system because the number of errors is "unreasonable." The programmer-analyst thinks that the payroll department head is unreasonable because the new system has actually shown some errors in the original one! He maintains that the few errors in the new system could easily be corrected after it is operational.

What type of information would you want to help you decide whether to install the new system? How would you resolve the dispute between the payroll department head and the programmer-analyst? What kind of problem is this?

tion; however, the relationship between the computer staff and users has a potential for leading to disruptive conflict. As a result of this conflict, users may sabotage the information services department by withholding data or providing incorrect input. Because of the dependence of the information services department on users, it is easy for users to make the information services department appear in an unfavorable light.

On the other hand, the information services department can sabotage users through delays in processing or by withholding service. Controls can be relaxed, which will introduce more errors in the processing. In the case of heightened warfare, users may refuse to cooperate in the development of new systems, systems which could have a significant payoff for the organization. The computer staff then tends to become discouraged, and the department experiences high turnover. New systems are not designed at all or are not well designed. As conditions worsen, we face a continuing spiral of poor performance and increasing levels of conflict.

## **INFORMATION SYSTEMS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE ORGANIZATION**


Earlier we suggested that organizational factors are equally as important as technical details in the design and operation of computer-based information systems. The problem with the studies described above is their lack of emphasis on how we can develop successful systems. The power and conflict models discussed earlier in the chapter have helped to develop the model of computer-based information systems in the context of the organization presented below. The purpose of this model is to help understand the organizational impact of systems and to predict the results of implementing a system. The model, when validated, can help suggest ways to increase the probability of the successful design and operation of information systems.

### **A Descriptive Model**

Figure 5-1 presents a descriptive model of information systems in the context of the organization. Boxes represent important components of information systems activities, and arrows between the boxes indicate predicted relationships.

The numbers on the arrows in the figure correspond to relationships stated formally as propositions in Table 5-1. While some of these relationships may appear to be self-evident, they are included to make the model complete. Experience has shown that it is important to subject even the most obvious relationship to scrutiny. Several times we have been confronted with counterintuitive results which required the revision of an "obvious" relationship.

The first part of the model deals with user attitudes and perceptions of information systems. Attitudes and perceptions are important in determining user reactions to systems and in influencing the use of the system. We expect that systems design and operations policies of the information services department influence user attitudes and perceptions directly and indirectly through the technical quality of systems.



**Figure 5-1** Information systems in the context of the organization. (Adapted from Lucas, H. C., Jr.: Why Information Systems Fail, 1975, courtesy Columbia University Press.)

User attitudes should also be influenced by management action, for example, management support for the design of new systems and membership on steering committees. User attitudes and perceptions should also be influenced by contact and involvement in the design of systems.

Proposition 7 is based on the expectation that favorable user attitudes and perceptions lead to high levels of system use, especially if a system is of high technical quality. High technical quality should lead to more favorable attitudes and perceptions since a high-quality system provides a better user interface and better output than a low-quality system.

The use of an information system is a very complex phenomenon. Different situational and personal factors should influence use; a supervisor with 20 years of experience would probably not use an inventory reorder report as extensively as one with six months on the job. Decision or cognitive style also can be expected to influence the use of the system. Quantitatively trained individuals may wish for different types of information than their counterparts who have a more intuitive decision style.

The relationship between the use of an information system and performance by the decision maker is very complex. High levels of performance depend upon analyzing the output of an information system and taking action consistent with that output. On the other hand, low performance may stimulate the use of the system to determine the nature and cause of problems. It appears that different types of data are needed to support these different aspects of managerial decision making.

A manager is frequently concerned with problem-finding activities, that is, determining that a problem exists. Most computer-based information systems address this aspect of decision making through exception reports, comparisons

**Table 5-1 The Formal Propositions of the Model and Their Support**

|    | <b>Proposition</b>   | <b>Evidence</b>    |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1  | The systems design and operations policies of the information services department and the execution of these policies influence the technical quality of information systems   | Some support       |
| 2  | The systems design and operations policies of the information services department influence user attitudes and perception of information systems and the information services staff.   | Reasonable support |
| 3  | User contact with information services staff members under adverse conditions leads to unfavorable user attitudes and perceptions of information systems and the information services staff.   | Weak support       |
| 4  | User involvement in the design and operation of information systems results in favorable user attitudes and perceptions of information systems and the information services staff.   | Some support       |
| 5  | Systems with higher technical quality result in more favorable user attitudes and perceptions of the information services staff.   | Strong support     |
| 6  | High levels of management support for and participation in information systems activities result in favorable information services staff attitudes toward their jobs and users, and favorable user attitudes and perceptions of information systems and the information services staff.                          | Reasonable support |
| 7  | Favorable user attitudes and perceptions of information systems and the information services staff lead to high levels of use of information systems.  | Strong support     |
| 8  | Individuals with differing decision styles have differing levels of use of information systems, perform different analyses of data, take different actions based on information, and have differing levels of performance.   | Reasonable support |
| 9  | Different personal and situational factors lead to differing levels of use of an information system and different actions.   | Reasonable support |
| 10 | High levels of system use result from a system with high technical quality.  | Strong support     |
| 11 | High levels of use of an information system make it more likely that a user will take action based on the information provided. Depending on the nature of the analysis, the problem, and the information, high levels of use may lead to high or low levels of performance or may be caused by low performance. | Some support       |

of this year versus last year, or comparisons of budgeted with actual performance. Under these conditions, low performance would be associated with high levels of use of an information system as a decision maker tries to determine the reasons for poor performance.

After problem definition, the decision maker enters the problem-solving stage. We expect the use of problem-solving output from a system to be

associated with high performance if the decision maker takes action consistent with the information. A problem-solving information system may provide such features as computational facilities and the simulation of different alternatives.

The results of six studies undertaken to test the model are summarized in Table 5-1, and in general, the model receives a high level of support from the data (Lucas, 1975). There are several interesting findings from the analysis which were not originally anticipated in the propositions.

First, there are multiple roles for information provided for decision makers; the same information may have a different use for different individuals. A manager concerned about investment levels will respond differently to a report showing high levels of inventory than a warehouse manager concerned with service levels and stockouts. The same information, in fact, often plays different roles for the same individuals at different points in time. Historical data showing a trend toward decreasing sales may alert a decision maker to the existence of a problem. These same data may be used to develop a forecast to solve the problem of how much to produce for the next month.

Another finding is that we need more flexible information systems and the ability to custom-tailor output. Such flexibility can be provided through report-generator packages, different report formats, and the inquiry-answering capabilities of on-line systems. The technological capability to provide flexibility exists, but the user will have to provide guidance for the information services department to acquire and utilize the available technology.

Existing computer-based systems often provide too much data; users frequently have felt overloaded with information that could not possibly be analyzed. We need to concentrate on selecting the information necessary for decision making rather than on just providing more data. The user frequently is guilty of requesting large volumes of information just in case it is needed. Much information can be processed and saved for reference in archival form while only salient output is provided on a routine basis.

### **Implications for Systems Design**

If we accept the model and results, what are some of the implications? From a systems design standpoint, the manager should consider the following action steps which are intended to produce high levels of systems use and successful implementation.

- 1 Urge the formation of a steering committee of users and information services department staff members to determine priorities for the development of new applications.
- 2 Encourage training sessions for the information services department staff to help its members adopt a role as catalyst in the development process.
- 3 Insist that a user be placed in charge of the design team for a new system.
- 4 Provide sufficient resources so that the staff can spend time on systems design.

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 5.3

A major bank has an information system designed to keep track of loan officer assignments to clients and calls made on clients. The system was intended for use by the commercial loan department.

The bank has a large number of loans, and one officer might have 50 or 60 clients. As a result, it is very difficult for officers to maintain their own records. The computer system is designed to solve this problem.

In theory, an officer simply fills out a form when establishing a relationship with a new client or after having visited an existing account. This form is used to update a computer file and a report is produced showing each officer's clients and the date of the most recent call on the client.

Unfortunately, the system has fallen into disuse. A number of clients are listed on the report but no longer do business with the bank. Some accounts are listed as belonging to retired or deceased loan officers!

An administrative assistant in the loan department sent corrections each month to the input/output control section of the information services department. For some unknown reason, only about half of these corrections were ever made, according to the next report. As a result, after several months of trying, the administrative assistant gave up and no longer submits input or corrections. However, the report continues to be produced on a regular basis, though it is never read by users.

Use the model of Figure 5-1 to analyze the situation described above. What are the key variables? What action is required to improve the situation?

- 5 Work personally with a design team to show interest and commitment.
- 6 See that decisions and not just data flows are considered in systems design.
- 7 Ask probing questions to see if designers have considered the multiple roles of information for the organization and different decision makers.
- 8 Review all proposed output from a new system, be selective, and avoid information overload.
- 9 Examine the user interface with the system; see that users have experimented with the input and output and find it acceptable.
- 10 Plan for implementation for subordinates and colleagues, consider different personal and situational factors and prepare for changes.
- 11 Ensure that adequate resources have been devoted to training and user documentation.

### Implications for Operations

After systems are designed, they have to be operated. What can a user of information systems do to improve the operation of existing systems?

- 1 Do not allow any new system to be developed until existing ones are operating satisfactorily.

- 2 Request the name of a single user representative in the information services department to handle all questions from you or your subordinates.
- 3 Urge the formation of a steering committee of users in the information services department staff to set priorities for the operation of information systems.
- 4 As a member of the steering committee, see that sufficient resources are set aside for making changes to existing systems and that a committee of users sets priorities on these modifications.
- 5 If an on-line system affects your activities, insist on adequate computer or manual backup in case of system failure.
- 6 Insist on a schedule of input and output for all batch computer system runs affecting you or your staff.
- 7 Conduct periodic surveys of your staff to solicit ideas for changes and to determine if service levels are satisfactory.

## IN CONCLUSION

In this chapter, we reviewed the impact of information systems on the organization and presented a model of systems in the context of the organization. The user of information systems has a crucial role to play in the design process. We shall explore this role further in the next chapter as we discuss the implementation of systems in more detail.

## KEY WORDS

|                  |                          |                          |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Action           | Decision style           | Operations policies      |
| Ambiguities      | Design policies          | Power                    |
| Analysis         | Job dissatisfaction      | Situational and personal |
| Attitude         | Management action        | factors                  |
| Centralization   | Mutual dependence        | Task differences         |
| Common resources | Performance              | Uncertainty              |
| Communications   | Performance rewards      | Use                      |
| obstacles        | Personal characteristics |                          |
| Decentralization | and traits               |                          |

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

- Leavitt, H. J., and T. L. Whisler: "Management in the 1980's," *Harvard Business Review*, November–December, 1958, pp. 41–48. (A historic article presenting many of the early predictions of the impact of computers on the organization and individuals.)
- Lucas, H. C., Jr.: *Why Information Systems Fail*, Columbia, New York, 1975. (This book presents in detail the model of information systems in the context of the organization discussed in this chapter and describes the research findings which test the propositions of the model.)

- Mumford, E., and O. Banks: *The Computer and the Clerk*, Routledge, London, 1967. (A thorough study of the introduction of a computer system in several British banks.)
- Walton, R. E., and J. M. Dutton: "The Management of Interdepartmental Conflict: A Model and Review," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 14, no. 1, March 1969, pp. 73-84. (This article contains, in much greater detail, the model of conflict discussed earlier in the chapter.)
- Whisler, T. L.: *Information Technology and Organizational Change*, Wadsworth, Belmont, Calif., 1973. (Whisler's readable monograph discusses many of the early studies of the impact of information systems in the organization, and it is well worth reading.)

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 Are the information services department staff members highly specialized? What other functional areas in the organization are highly specialized?
- 2 The information services department is often considered to provide a support function; can a support department really be powerful? Are there different kinds of power in the organization?
- 3 What kinds of management problems result from interdepartmental conflict?
- 4 Are there any organizations which are completely dependent upon computers for their operations?
- 5 What kinds of employees are most likely to be replaced by a computer system? How does your answer depend on the type of computer system and the decision levels affected?
- 6 How would you measure the extent of unemployment created by the implementation of computer systems? What factors tend to mitigate the problem of increased unemployment if it actually occurs?
- 7 What signs might indicate the presence of conflict between two departments? How could this conflict be reduced?
- 8 Are computer systems creating more centralization in organizations? How do you define centralization? Why should computer systems have any impact at all on the degree of centralization?
- 9 How would you recognize a successful computer installation? What signs would you expect to find?
- 10 How can communications obstacles between users and the information services department be reduced?
- 11 How should users be involved in the allocation of scarce computer resources?
- 12 Two methods of charging for computer services, full charge-out to users and overhead charging, have been suggested. What are the advantages and disadvantages of each method?
- 13 Consider a typical manufacturing organization and describe the mutual dependence that exists among departments.
- 14 Why should users be involved in the design of systems? How much influence should they have?
- 15 What will happen to information systems if users have negative attitudes?
- 16 How are attitudes formed? How can they be changed?
- 17 What does the model of information systems, in the context of organization discussed in this chapter, suggest will be the result of an unresponsive information

services department? What will happen if management fails to support computer-related activities?

- 18 How much does a manager have to understand about computer systems? What are the most important management decisions to be made about computer-based information systems?
- 19 What tools does the manager have available to influence computer activities in the organization?
- 20 As a user, where do you think the information services department should report? Should it be responsible to accounting?
- 21 Why do so many users turn to outside computer services, for example, to acquire time-sharing or special packages?
- 22 Early forecasts suggested that middle managers would be reduced in number and stature as a result of the computer systems. Has this prediction been fulfilled? Why or why not?
- 23 Do computer systems have an impact beyond the organization, for example, on stockholders or customers? What kinds of impact and what problems are created for these groups?

PROBLEM AREAS

IMPLEMENTATION

Definition

Success or Failure

RESEARCH ON IMPLEMENTATION

AN IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

CREATIVE SYSTEMS DESIGN

A New Design Method

Advantages

Disadvantages

Some Experiences

IN CONCLUSION

KEY WORDS

RECOMMENDED READINGS

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# Successful Implementation

Managers frequently complain about the low return they receive on their organization's large investment in computer-based information systems. Many of these systems are not used to their potential or are not used at all.

- In one manufacturing company, the manager of the information services department had not distributed computer output reports for two months because he was not completely satisfied with them. Interviews with users indicated that the reports had not really been missed!
- A mining company spent several years designing a complex inventory system at its largest division. The system was finally installed and showed definite cost savings. Several years later, some managers in the company were still successfully resisting the installation of the new system in their divisions.
- A major university developed a sophisticated on-line computer system to automate a number of administrative functions. On a survey, most users expressed the desire to return to a manual system or the old batch-computer reports, because of problems with the newer system.
- Two computer systems at a major bank were supposed to calculate the internal transfer price for borrowing and lending among branches. Each system produced a different number on its reports. Bank managers tended to doubt both figures and were afraid to rely on any of the data in the two reports because of this inconsistency.

Managers and other users of systems want to be certain that the systems work when installed. In the last chapter, we said systems could fail for organizational and/or technical reasons. In this chapter, we explore some of the problems associated with implementation, which is basically a behavioral process. Our goal is to develop an implementation strategy which minimizes the problems of developing and operating successful information systems.

## **PROBLEM AREAS**

The problems described above have several sources:

- 1 The original design of the system
- 2 The interface of a system with the user
- 3 The process of design and implementation
- 4 The operation of systems

The original design of the system may have been faulty; for example, some systems do not provide the information needed by the decision maker. As we have seen, different information is required for different tasks. Other systems do not work technically; for example, there may be so many errors that no one trusts the output from the system.

The interface of the system with the user refers to the way in which we come in contact with the system, for example, through printed input forms, terminals and their associated input language, or batch reports. In one system, terminal input was so complicated that no one submitted data and the system had to be discontinued.

Implementation refers to the entire change effort associated with a new system. We design a system to improve information processing, and improvement implies that we must change existing information processing procedures. The operation of a system involves longer-term issues after a system has been designed and installed. If the operations section of the information services department does not provide good service (for example, meeting schedules for batch systems and having on-line systems available as needed), systems will not achieve their potential.

## **IMPLEMENTATION**

### **Definition**

What is implementation? In the discussion above, we stated that implementation is part of the process of designing a system and that it is also a component of organizational change. We develop a new information system to change existing information processing procedures. Implementation as we use the term should not be confused with a step in systems design. This definition, frequently used by computer professionals, is too narrow. Their definition generally refers to the last steps of systems design, which we shall refer to as conversion and installation of a new system.

Our definition stresses the long-term nature of implementation; it is a part of a process that begins with the very first idea for a system and the changes it will bring. Implementation terminates when the system has been successfully integrated with the operations of the organization. We expect most of implementation to be concerned with behavioral phenomena, since people are expected to change their information processing activities.

### **Success or Failure**

How do we know that we have successfully implemented a system? Researchers have not really agreed on an indicator for successful implementation. One appealing approach is a cost-benefit study. In this evaluation, one totals the costs of developing a system and compares them with the dollar benefits resulting from the system.

In theory, this sounds like a good indicator of success, but in practice it is difficult to provide meaningful estimates. Obtaining the cost side of the ratio is not too much of a problem if adequate records are kept during the development stages of the system. However, an evaluation of the benefits of a computer-based information system has eluded most analysts. How do we value the benefits of improved information processing? With transactions processing and some operational control systems, we can usually show tangible savings. For example, many transactions systems have resulted in increased productivity in processing paperwork without a proportional increase in cost. Operational control systems, such as those used to control inventories, may reduce inventory balances, saving storage and investment costs while maintaining existing service levels. For systems which aid a decision maker or provide customer service, it is much more difficult to estimate the benefits and there are few examples of any such attempts.

In lieu of the more preferable cost-benefit analysis, we can adopt one of two indicators of successful implementation, depending on the type of system involved. For many information systems, use of the system is voluntary. A manager or other user receives a report but does not have to use the information on it or even read the report. Examples of such reports are summary data on sales for sales management, and a forecast for the marketing manager. Systems which provide on-line retrieval of information from a data bank can also often be classified as voluntary; the use of such a system is frequently at the discretion of the user. For this type of system where use is voluntary, we shall adopt high levels of use as a sign of successful implementation. We can measure use by interviews with users, through questionnaires, or in some instances by building a monitor into the system to record actual use.

For systems whose use is mandatory, such as an on-line production control system, we shall employ the user's evaluation of the system as a measure of success. For example, one can examine user satisfaction, although it will probably be necessary to measure several facets of satisfaction such as the quality of service, the timeliness and accuracy of information, and the quality of the schedule for operations. An evaluation might also include a panel of information processing experts to review the design and operation of the system.

## RESEARCH ON IMPLEMENTATION

Unfortunately, there has been little research on the implementation process for computer-based information systems, although in recent years, some work in this area has been undertaken. Since the implementation of computer-based information systems is very similar to the implementation of operations research or management science models, we can also learn from studies of model implementation. For summaries of some of this research, see Lucas (1976*a*) and Schultz and Slevin (1975).

Most research on implementation has been an attempt to discover factors associated with success; that is, what independent variables are related to successful implementation as defined by the researchers? If there is any basis for believing a causal connection exists between independent and dependent variables, we can then develop an implementation strategy around the independent variables. For example, suppose we found in several studies using different research methodologies that top management's requesting a new system and following through with participation in its design is associated with successful implementation. If there were sufficient evidence to support this finding, we might develop an implementation strategy which emphasized top-management action.

While individual studies of implementation have addressed a number of independent variables, there is no real consensus in the field on an explanation of successful implementation or on a single implementation strategy. Table 6-1 contains a list of some of the variables in past implementation studies. The dependent variables used to measure implementation success generally can be classified as measures of usage, intended use, and/or satisfaction with a system. The independent variables fall into several classes, as shown in the table. While researchers have different methods of applying the variables in each study to operations, the variables can be placed into classes similar to ones contained in the descriptive model of information systems in the last chapter. One of the central variables in our model is the use of the system. We are concerned with factors leading to high levels of use, which we have also adopted as a measure of successful implementation. Thus, we can use the model we have already developed to help us understand the implementation process.

Favorable attitudes on the part of users should be extremely important in implementation; attitudes have an action component, and favorable attitudes are consistent with high levels of use and satisfaction with a system. The technical quality of systems is important; it directly affects our attitudes as users and also makes it easier to use the system physically. For example, a system with difficult input requirements or a difficult language for user input will be used less than one with a good technical design.

As we have seen in our discussion of information, personal and situational factors make a difference in an individual's approach to an information system. We can predict that the new manager will be more interested in an acquisition

**Table 6-1 Variables Associated with Implementation Studies**

| <b>Independent variables</b>     |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Information services department  |  |
| Policies                         |  |
| System design practices          |  |
| Operations policies              |  |
| Involvement                      |  |
| User origination of systems      |  |
| Involvement and influence        |  |
| Appreciation                     |  |
| Situational and personal factors |  |
| Personality type                 |  |
| Business history                 |  |
| Social history                   |  |
| Structural factors               |  |
| Past experience                  |  |
| User attitudes                   |  |
| Expectations                     |  |
| Interpersonal relations          |  |
| Technical quality of systems     |  |
| Quality                          |  |
| Model characteristics            |  |
| Decision style                   |  |
| Cognitive style                  |  |
| Management                       |  |
| Actions                          |  |
| Consultant/client relations      |  |
| Support                          |  |
| Location of researcher           |  |
| Managerial style                 |  |
| User performance                 |  |
| <b>Dependent variables</b>       |  |
| Implementation                   |  |
| Frequency of inquiries           |  |
| Reported use                     |  |
| Monitored frequency of use       |  |
| User satisfaction                |  |

planning model than the 20-year veteran. Decision style is also important in determining system use; does an analytic decision maker use the same information as a heuristic one? The future use of an information system is also influenced by past experience in analyzing the information and in taking action. Successful use of information will make it more likely that a decision maker will use the system when faced with a similar problem in the future.

## AN IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

The research and model described in the last chapter suggest an implementation strategy based on our view of information systems design as a planned change in activity in the organization. We stated earlier that the reason for developing a new computer-based information system is to create change. Dissatisfaction with present processing procedures stimulates the development of a new information system. However, change can create almost insurmountable problems in the development of a system if only technical factors are considered by system designers.

What do we predict will happen as a result of the major changes undertaken during the development of a new information system? The model in Figure 5-1 helps in forecasting the results. Suppose that change is treated by a rational engineering approach. People are expected to cooperate with the design of a system because it is in their best interest to do so; we make no special efforts to ease the change process.

First, we predict that forcing change on a potential user of a system will create unfavorable attitudes; change is always difficult and threatening. If users develop negative attitudes and are afraid to cooperate with the systems design staff, the technical quality of the system will suffer because the input of users is needed to design a good system from a technical standpoint. Poor attitudes and low technical quality are likely to lead to little use of the system—a state we defined as implementation failure.

How do we avoid this type of outcome? The first step in the prevention of information system failure is to adopt an implementation strategy that recognizes systems design as a planned change activity and stresses that successful implementation requires behavioral changes on the part of users.

Psychologists have suggested that a change approach based on user participation is most likely to be successful. A number of experiments and field studies have supported the importance of participation in making changes. Some of the reasons for the participation strategy are:

- 1 Participation is ego-enhancing and builds self-esteem, which result in more favorable attitudes.
- 2 Participation can be challenging and intrinsically satisfying, leading to positive attitudes.
- 3 Participation usually results in more commitment to change; commitment in this case means that a system will be used more.
- 4 Participating users become more knowledgeable about the change. Therefore users get to control more of the technical qualities of the system and become better trained to use it.
- 5 Technical quality will be better because participants know more about the old system than the information services department staff.
- 6 Users retain much of the control over their activities and should therefore have more favorable attitudes.

How should users participate in the design of a system? Participation

MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 6.1

A major stock brokerage firm developed a sophisticated operations-research model to help customers decide what stocks to buy and when to enter and leave the market. The model is “solved” each week by a large computer system; reports are distributed regularly to brokers across the country.

In a study, each broker was found to have a slightly different way of using the recommendations. Some of the brokers call their clients who are interested in the model to give them the results. Other brokers assimilate the results and then call clients with recommendations based on the reports but do not reveal the source of their recommendations.

Some brokers do not use the system at all while other brokers use it primarily as a sales tool. That is, they show a brochure on the model to prospective customers to demonstrate the advantage of opening an account with their firm. One type of broker becomes an expert in the use of the model. However, instead of working with customers, this broker spends too much time studying the model.

From the discussion in this chapter and the model of information systems, in the context of the organization, presented in the last chapter, how do you explain the different reactions of brokers to this model? As a manager in the brokerage firm, what steps would you undertake to obtain the best results from the modeling effort?

requires the efforts of both the information services department staff and the users. The information services department staff has to encourage participation, while users have to be willing to participate and devote considerable efforts to design work. In the past, although most information services departments have attempted to involve users, the effort frequently produced what would have to be classified as “pseudoinvolvement.” To bring about the necessary involvement, a suggestion has been made that users should actually design their own systems. We shall explore this idea further in the next section.

CREATIVE SYSTEMS DESIGN

The suggestion of having users actually design systems is aimed at solving the critical organizational behavior problems in the development and operation of computer-based information systems. This approach is based on the argument that systems design is a planned change activity in the organization. Technology is important in the development of computer-based systems, but user reactions determine the success of a system. We design systems to change and improve existing processing procedures, and this requires modifications of human behavior (Lucas, 1974c).

A New Design Method

The philosophy of creative systems design has three major components:

- 1 User-controlled systems design

- 2 A definition of system quality according to user criteria
- 3 Special attention to the design of the interface between user and the system

The most important and radical component of the design method is user control of systems design. Why do we make this suggestion? Creative systems design places the responsibility for the design of a system with the user. The computer professional acts as a catalyst to help the user construct the system and translate it into technical specifications for computer processing. Creative design places the user in control of the design of the system.

The analyst helps direct the efforts of the user and indicates what tasks must be accomplished. For example, the first task delineated by the analyst might be the specification of output. The user is asked to think about the information it would be desirable to receive and to draw up a rough report. The analyst suggests that the user keep the report for several weeks while thinking about how it could be used. Should the information be available in inquiry form on-line? Does the information have to be updated on-line? The analyst, from knowledge of the capabilities of computer systems, presents alternatives for the user to consider.

The user might be asked to develop a method for obtaining input for the new system. The user determines the contents of forms for input after the analyst discusses alternatives such as a terminal, an optical character recognition system, or a batch-input form.

The user is then shown how the computer files are developed and the logic of computer processing. Working with the analyst, the user defines processing logic and the file structures for the system. In a similar manner, with guidance from the analyst, the user prepares plans for conversion and implementation.

The second component of creative design relates to system quality. We should evaluate the quality of information systems according to user criteria and not the criteria of the information services department and staff. In one instance, the computer department developed a new on-line system featuring the latest in communications and data-base management techniques. However, users were irritated because the command language was hard to use and because the system had a number of errors in it. In addition, users no longer had their old familiar reports, yet the new system was available to retrieve information for only four hours during the day! Computer professionals rated this system highly because of its technical elegance. Unfortunately, the enthusiasm of the computer staff was not shared widely by users. Instead, users were highly dissatisfied because the technology, more than the needs of the user, had intrigued the designers.

The interface between the user and the system is extremely important and attention to the interface is a third and final creative design component. A great deal of effort should be expended to ensure that a high-quality interface is developed. Care should be taken in determining the input and output with which the user has contact. Experimentation here is strongly recommended; users should have the opportunity to work with the new input and output forms and

devices before they are made part of the system. Users should design the input or output form and choose the appropriate technology (for example, optical character recognition or on-line terminals).

### **Advantages**

What are the benefits of this design approach? User participation in and control over the design process has a number of payoffs for the organization. The new system is more likely to be utilized because the user, instead of the information department services staff, has psychological ownership of it. The user has invested time and ideas in the system. Because of exposure to the system during design, users will understand the system and become trained in how to use it for the conversion and installation phase.

Because of their influence, users will surrender less power and less control over their activities to the information services department. Systems should have higher quality because the user is in charge. The user knows what is needed for the application, and, since the user is in control, quality will be defined according to user criteria. The user interface with the system will be appropriate because the user will have designed it.

### **Disadvantages**

The method for systems design suggested above will not be easy to implement. Resistance can be expected from information services department staff members who will probably perceive a diminished role in systems design. Experience,

## **MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 6.2**

The Major Mining Company hired a consulting firm to design a new computer system for inventory control. The consultant was supposed to coordinate his efforts with the company's computer centers located in two parts of the United States. The consultant was retained because the existing workload at the company centers prevented them from developing the system and because the consulting firm had extensive technical expertise.

The computer center designated to work most closely with the consultant was extremely hostile. The standard reaction of the personnel in the center to the consultant was, "It's your system, you design it." The consultant had a rather low opinion of the staff in the computer center but knew that the staff would have to program any system designed. Therefore, the consultant tried to obtain systems analysis and programming personnel from the center. Much time was spent on this rather unproductive activity and progress on the new system was very slow.

The consultant described these problems to the vice president who had retained the firm. While the vice president could order the computer center staff to cooperate, he knew that it was impossible to force cooperation. What can the vice president do to solve this problem? Is the problem solely at the computer center? What can the consultant do?

however, should show that systems design jobs become more satisfying under this new approach because the designers' efforts will be successful. It is far more exciting to be a catalyst in the development of a successful system than to be in charge of the development of a system that fails. In addition, the technical challenge of systems design has not been removed from the duties of the information services department staff.

Resistance to these new suggestions can be expected from users too. Users may fear computer technology and question their own ability to take charge of or contribute to a systems design effort. Management support and encouragement will be necessary to help users overcome their initial fears. Once into the design process, these doubts should quickly disappear as users are caught up in the challenge and excitement of designing their own systems.

A final problem in adopting the participatory systems design method is cost, both in time and money. Extensive participation requires time, usually from employees who are already overcommitted, and added resources will be needed to free these users to work on a system. As a result, the design of each system will take longer and cost more than under more traditional approaches. However, we feel that the results in terms of successful systems will be worth the effort.

### **Some Experiences**

Can the user design information systems? The procedures for systems design recommended above have been used for the development of several information systems. In one instance, a feasibility study and systems design were carried out for a grass-roots labor organization. The design team consisted of union members and faculty and students from a university. The union members in general had a low level of formal education, and the design team was very concerned over the impact of computer technology on the union and on its individual members. Because of hectic union organizing activities, the union staff could not devote the amount of time needed to the development of a system, although eventually several full-time union staff members began to work on the project.

To begin the analysis, the university design team interviewed members of the union staff and gathered data on existing procedures and requirements. After jointly determining that a system was feasible, the design team developed a rough design. To turn the ownership of the system over to the union, and to be sure that they were in control of the system, a day-long review meeting was held to present the draft of the system. At this meeting, the union president explained that there were many tasks to be done and that no one would be replaced by a computer. He stated that, instead, workers probably would have more interesting jobs, and he asked members to think about how the system could help the union.

The design team began its part of the meeting by stressing that the session would be successful only if at least half of the system presented was changed: the team was offering ideas and not a finished product. Elaborate flowcharts and visual aids were not used. Instead, a very simple tutorial on computer systems

began the presentation. The designers spoke from rough notes and listed report contents, files, and inputs on a blackboard. The highly motivated union staff quickly grasped the relationship among reports, files, and input documents. Substantial changes to the rough system were made in front of the audience during the meeting.

Several weeks later, a follow-up meeting was held with union leaders who suggested management-oriented reports. The design team helped the union develop specifications for bids and worked on a consulting basis with the union staff that finally developed a system. The designers intentionally reduced their role as the union became more capable in the computer area. The system was successfully implemented; the level of use was high and users from the union president to clerical personnel appeared pleased with the system.

In another situation, a system was developed to support the decisions of a group of three managers. (See Scott Morton, 1971, and the discussion of the characteristics of this system in Chapter 16.) These managers had responsibility for setting production schedules in the commercial laundry products division of a major manufacturing company. The production manager wanted to minimize setups and have long product runs. The marketing manager wanted to have wide product availability at warehouses throughout the country in order to provide high levels of customer service. The market planning manager had to resolve differences in objectives so the three managers could develop a feasible production plan. Because future production depended on the decision for the next month, a 12-month planning horizon was used.

In the original manual system, the managers generated possible solutions which were analyzed by clerical personnel who performed a large number of manual calculations. Upon evaluation, it was usually found that a solution had to be modified because some part of it was infeasible. More meetings and more clerical computations were required. Sometimes, almost the entire month elapsed before the next month's schedule was ready.

The research group trying to improve this decision process observed the managers at work for some 6 months. After 3 months, a rough system featuring an interactive graphics display terminal was developed. The first prototype system was shown to the market planning manager, who learned how to operate it. This manager made many suggestions for changes which the designers incorporated into the system. Then, the market planning manager trained the production and marketing managers in the use of the system. They, too, had numerous suggestions for modifications which were incorporated into the system. Over time, the researchers modified the system for the managers in this particular decision situation. The managers were very satisfied with the system and resisted attempts by the computer department to discontinue it after the research project was officially completed.

These efforts so far have been with small groups of users who have not had complete control over design, but the techniques are promising and should be applicable to other settings. Certainly more time is required on the part of the user to participate so fully in systems design. However, the time is well spent,

**MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 6.3**

The Airflow Manufacturing Company has retained a consultant to help design an order-entry and accounts-receivable computer system. Airflow manufactures precision parts for the aerospace and automotive industries.

The consultant believes in the creative design techniques discussed in this chapter. As a result, she stresses the importance of extensive user involvement in the design of the system. The president of the company agrees intellectually with the consultant's advice, but recognizes there could be problems in trying to obtain the needed cooperation.

The most serious bottleneck appears to be one key employee in the office. Most of the work on processing orders and receivables is under the supervision of this one individual. There is no real second in command, even though the president tried unsuccessfully for a number of years to have an assistant trained. Several had been hired, but left during the training period because of unknown problems.

Because of the lack of an assistant and the increased information processing load created by a good business year, the president knows that obtaining help from this key supervisor will be difficult. However, the consultant, after a few days working in the firm, indicates that this individual is probably the most logical person to place in charge of the design effort.

What can the president do? Can he afford to have this key supervisor in charge of the system? If there is no alternative, what steps can the president take to be sure that the system is designed well and that normal information processing tasks are completed?

since a significant component of a user's activities is likely to be affected by a new computer-based information system. Given the failure of so many information systems, users must spend time on the design of systems and encourage the adoption of more participatory design techniques.

**IN CONCLUSION**

One of the problems of the design approach presented here is user knowledge. In the examples presented, users with little background quickly developed the needed skills to control the design of systems. Parts Three and Four of this text present the basic material necessary for the user of an information system to understand enough about computer technology and systems design to participate successfully in the development of a system.

**KEY WORDS**

|                    |                           |                           |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Attitudes          | Independent variable      | Pseudoparticipation       |
| Change             | Operations research model | Quality of systems        |
| Dependent variable | Participation             | Satisfaction              |
| Failure of systems | Planned change            | Successful implementation |
| Implementation     | Process of design         | User interface            |

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

- Lucas, H. C., Jr.: *The Implementation of Computer-Based Models*. National Association of Accountants, New York, 1976. (Presents the results of a study of the implementation of planning models written in a higher-level computer language.)
- : *Toward Creative Systems Design*, Columbia, New York, 1974. (This short monograph describes in more detail the philosophy of systems design introduced in this chapter.)
- Mumford, E., and T. B. Ward: *Computers: Planning for People*, Batsford, London, 1968. (An excellent discussion of the factors which should be considered in designing information systems so that they will be used.)
- Schultz, R., and D. Slevin: *Implementing Operations Research/Management Science*, American Elsevier, New York, 1975. (Contains the results of a conference on the implementation of OR models; there are many interesting studies which provide insights on the implementation process.)
- Whisler, T. L.: *Information Technology and Organizational Change*, Wadsworth, Belmont, Calif., 1973. (Whisler's monograph presents several ideas on how to create successful change in the organization. See especially the discussion of "The County Agent.")

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 Why are favorable attitudes important for successful implementation?
- 2 What other definitions and measures of successful implementation can you suggest besides the ones in this chapter?
- 3 What are the responsibilities of users in the systems design process?
- 4 How do the responsibilities of managers and, say, the clerical staff differ during systems design?
- 5 What are the crucial differences between an operations research model and computer-based information systems from the standpoint of implementation? What are the key similarities?
- 6 What is the role of a consultant in helping design information systems? How does this role change under the creative systems design policies suggested in this chapter?
- 7 What approaches are there to evaluating the benefits of information systems?
- 8 How would you measure the impact of an information system on decision making?
- 9 How do you suppose cognitive style affects implementation? Can cognitive style act as a constraint on successful implementation?
- 10 How could you take cognitive style into account in designing a system?
- 11 What problems does user-controlled design create for users, their management, and the information services department?
- 12 Can user-controlled design work for a system encompassing large numbers of people, for example, a reservation system involving hundreds or thousands of agents? What strategy could be adopted in this situation?
- 13 How would you study the implementation process? How could such a study be used to improve implementation?
- 14 Why do so many information services departments resort to pseudoparticipation?
- 15 What are the origins of the rational engineering approach to change? Contrast this approach with more participatory techniques.
- 16 What are the dangers of participation? (Hint: Think about raised expectations.)

- 17 What is the role of the information services department analyst in the design techniques discussed in this chapter?
- 18 As a potential or present user of information systems, how do you respond to the idea of being in charge of the design of such a system?
- 19 What is the key distinction between planned change and change in general?
- 20 Are the techniques suggested here applicable in other contexts? What situations can you suggest in which user control might be more successful than control by a group of technological experts?
- 21 How does the technique of creative design affect the conditions of the conflict model discussed in the last chapter?
- 22 How do the change techniques suggested here relate to the power model of the last chapter?
- 23 When does planning for successful implementation begin in designing an information system?
- 24 Who should suggest the development of a new information system—users or the information services department? Why?
- 25 Who should suggest modifications and improvement to existing systems—users or the information services department? Why?

## Part Three

# Computer Technology

This section of the text contains the most highly technical material we shall discuss. Users, particularly those with managerial responsibilities, are often involved in important decisions about computer technology, as shown in the accompanying table. In order to make these decisions intelligently, the user must understand some of the technical issues involved.

In Chapter 7 we discuss the fundamentals of computer hardware. Users are often involved in the selection of the appropriate technology for a computer application. Should the system operate in batch mode or on-line? Should data be collected on-line, but processed in batch? For this application, what are the advantages and disadvantages of each alternative? Users may also be involved in the selection of the entire computer system; possibilities here range from a small minicomputer to a large, general-purpose computer system. It is also very likely that users will have some say in the acquisition of specific devices, such as terminals for an on-line application.

## Management Decisions on Technology

| Chapter                | Decision area   | Examples of alternatives   |
|------------------------|---|--|
| 7 Hardware             | Selection of technology<br>Equipment selection for an entire system<br>Selection of specific devices        | Batch or on-line system<br>General-purpose or minicomputer<br>Terminals  |
| 8 Software             | Choice of languages<br>Acquisition of packages  | Planning language<br>Statistical analysis package<br>Accounts receivable package   |
| 9 Files                | Capabilities of application<br><br>Response time of application<br>Selection of data-base management system | Direct-access or sequential file organization<br><br>On-line or batch processing<br>Various data-management packages available |
| 10 Sources of services | Hardware<br>Software  | Service bureau, internal facility<br>Consultant, internal staff, packages  |

There are also many important decisions concerning computer software, a topic discussed in Chapter 8. Should the organization purchase a proprietary, nonstandard language for some special application such as developing a planning model of the firm? Users frequently must decide whether to recommend the acquisition of a software package and must work with the information services department to evaluate the package.

Computer files are the basic building block of a computer-based information system. Different types of file structures are necessary to support the requirements of different applications. The user who works on the design of a system must be conversant with the different options available with direct-access and sequential files. Decisions about response time also have implications for file design; if an application is to operate on-line, then direct-access files are required. Many organizations are investigating the development of a comprehensive data base for a number of important reasons. Very complex software packages known as data-base management systems are available and managers must decide whether to acquire a package and, if so, which one. Computer files are discussed in Chapter 9.

A manager is always concerned with the various sources available for products and services. In the computer field, there are options on suppliers for both hardware and software; we discuss some of the possibilities and their advantages and disadvantages in Chapter 10. Hardware capacity is available from service bureaus or through the development of an internal computer facility. Software is often available in the form of packages or it can be developed by an internal staff; staff members' efforts can also be supplemented through outside contractors and consultants.

The purpose of the material in this section is not to train computer experts. Rather our objective is to gain enough understanding of computer hardware and software to make intelligent decisions about them. It is far more important to understand the concepts in this section than the specific details.

THE BASIC MODEL  
COMPUTER GENERATIONS  
PRIMARY MEMORY  
    The Arithmetic Basis of Computers  
    Memory Organization  
    Memory Technology  
THE CENTRAL PROCESSING UNIT  
    Components of the CPU  
    Operation of the CPU  
    Address Modification  
    An Instruction Set  
MICROPROGRAMMING  
    Background  
    A Solution  
    Applications of Microprogramming  
DATA CHANNELS  
SECONDARY STORAGE  
    Motivation  
    Devices  
I/O DEVICES  
    Input  
    Output  
    Terminals  
ON-LINE SYSTEMS  
    Motivation  
    Hardware Requirements  
    Telecommunications  
SPECIAL FEATURES  
MINICOMPUTERS  
FUTURE TRENDS  
KEY WORDS  
RECOMMENDED READINGS  
DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# Fundamentals of Computer Equipment

Why should users of information systems be interested in computer equipment? In addition to making the important decisions described in the introduction to this section of the book, users have to communicate with computer experts who are very familiar with computer equipment. A knowledge of the concepts and vocabulary of computer hardware greatly facilitates this communication.

In this chapter we try to stress concepts independent of any particular computer equipment; our approach is a historical one tracing the development of computers through various “generations.” In some places, however, it is necessary to examine details as well as concepts. For example, it would be possible to describe primary memory as electronic devices capable of representing two states, a 0 or a 1. However, magnetic core storage has played a significant role in the development of computer systems, and “core” is a common term in every computer expert’s vocabulary. For these reasons both core memory and some alternatives are discussed in some detail. However, sections containing more detailed information have been marked with an asterisk and can be omitted by readers interested primarily in an overview.

People invented computers and their associated equipment, and one of the most difficult aspects of computers is a consequence of this human involvement. There are many engineering and design decisions made during computer devel-

opment, and many of these often appear arbitrary. Computer science is thus unlike a field such as mathematics, in which theorems are developed and proved rigorously. The reasons for a certain design feature may not be obvious, even to a computer expert. Designers make decisions by balancing performance, estimates of how the computer will be used, and costs. Because of the arbitrary nature of design decisions, we shall try to discuss general concepts which underlie the operation of most computer systems, although specific machines differ from any general discussion.


The equipment we discuss in this chapter is often referred to as computer hardware—the parts of the computer which can be touched physically. The next chapter is about computer software, the instructions in the form of programs which command the hardware to perform tasks. Physically, programs are entered in the computer by punched cards, paper tape, a typewriter, or some similar device. However, once inside the computer, a program cannot be seen; it is represented magnetically or electronically in computer memory.

## THE BASIC MODEL

One view of an electronic computer system includes the four basic components shown in Figure 7-1. The central processing unit (CPU) is the heart of the computer; it contains all the logic which directs the operation of the machine. The CPU executes instructions such as add, subtract, etc.

Data to be processed by the CPU and instructions composing the programs are stored in primary memory. The CPU accesses this memory directly to retrieve and store instructions or data.

Secondary storage is cheaper than primary memory and usually has a much larger storage capacity. Examples of secondary storage devices include magnetic tape and magnetic disk. The contents of secondary storage cannot be accessed directly by the computer; instead, a data channel controls interchanges between the central processing unit and secondary storage.



**Figure 7-1** A basic computer system model.

Input/output (I/O) devices enable us to communicate with the computer. These devices include terminals, card readers and punches, and printers. Usually, a data channel also handles communications between I/O devices and the central processing unit. Control units are required to interface the data channel with each physically different secondary storage and I/O device. For example, the mechanical and electrical operations of a tape drive are different from those of a card reader. The controller helps the devices to present a more uniform appearance to the data channel.

## COMPUTER GENERATIONS

Computer professionals frequently speak of different computer generations, each generation generally corresponding to the introduction of new computers with radically different hardware technologies from their predecessors. Changes in generations also have been accompanied by dramatic changes in performance. Each new generation has provided greatly increased computing speeds and more storage at lower cost than the equipment of the prior generation. (See Table 7-1.) Computer generations also can be characterized by changes in software, and in Chapter 8 we shall complete Table 7-1 by adding the software characteristics of each generation.

The first computer generation is characterized by vacuum-tube components and rotating memory on one of the most popular models, the IBM 650. (Note that IBM is used as an example in the text because its machines are the most familiar to computer professionals and users.) Second-generation computers use transistorized components for the central processing unit and magnetic cores for memory. Data channels appeared in this generation along with special features to improve central processing unit speeds. Computers were first used for commercial on-line systems involving communications and for time-sharing systems where users are presented with interactive computational capability through a terminal.

The third generation of computer hardware is characterized by more miniaturization and monolithic circuits (many electronic components on a chip) for central processing units. More CPU features to improve performance were added during this generation as well. Third-generation machines made it easier to develop on-line applications requiring telecommunication capabilities.

Experts question whether a fourth generation of computers has been introduced; the major changes between the third generation and newer equipment involve the use of semiconductor technology for main memory in some machines. A concept developed for time sharing called "virtual memory" has also been implemented through special hardware facilities (see the section on virtual memory in the next chapter).

## PRIMARY MEMORY

While the central processing unit controls the computer, we need to discuss primary memory before the CPU to demonstrate how data and instructions are

Table 7-1 Hardware Generations

| Generation              | Model       | Monthly rental | Hardware characteristics   | Organization                              | Cycle time microseconds (1) | Storage access (2) |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| First (1950s)           | IBM 650     | \$3200†        | Vacuum tubes, Memory = 3000 bits (600 digits), 1-4 K $\ddagger$ of drum memory             | 5 bits/digit, 10 digits/word              | 100                         | 1 word (10 digits) |
| Second (1961)           | IBM 1410    | \$4000         | Transistorized CPU, data channel, memory = 10 K words                                      | 6 bits/digit, 1 digit/word                | 4.5                         | 1 word (1 digit)   |
| Third (1965)            | IBM 360/40  | \$5300         | Monolithic circuits, more CPU features, communications emphasis, memory = 65 K bytes       | 4 bits/digit, 2 digits/byte, 4 bytes/word | 2.5                         | 2 bytes (4 digits) |
| Third-and-a-half (1971) | IBM 370/135 | \$6400         | Semiconductor primary memory, virtual memory, communications emphasis, memory = 98 K bytes | 4 bits/digit, 2 digits/byte, 4 bytes/word | 0.77                        | 2 bytes (4 digits) |

Table 7-1 (Continued)

| Generation                     | Access time<br>per digit<br>(2) ÷ (1)<br>microseconds<br>(3) | Binary add<br>time,<br>microseconds<br>(4) | Binary add<br>size<br>(5) | Add time per 2<br>digits<br>(4) ÷ (5)<br>microseconds<br>(6) | 2-digit adds<br>per hour [1 ÷<br>(6)] (3600)<br>millions<br>(7) | Rental hour<br>(monthly<br>rental ÷<br>176 hours)<br>(8) | Cost per<br>million<br>2-digit<br>adds<br>(8) ÷ (7)<br>(9)* |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| First (1950s)                  | 10   | 700  | 1 word<br>(10 digits)     | 70   | 51.4  | \$18.18  | 35.00¢  |
| Second<br>(1961)               | 4.5  | 88   | 10 words<br>(10 digits)   | 8.8  | 409   | \$22.73  | 5.56¢   |
| Third (1965)                   | 0.63   | 12   | 4 bytes (8<br>digits)     | 1.5  | 2400  | \$30.11  | 1.25¢   |
| Third-and-<br>a-half<br>(1971) | 0.19   | 4.2  | 4 bytes (8<br>digits)     | 0.53   | 6792  | \$36.36  | 0.54¢   |

\*These figures are for comparison among machines; because of the limited configurations, the absolute costs are not meaningful.

†Costs are for rental of CPU and main memory; no peripherals are included. No adjustment has been made for inflation.

‡K = 1024

stored in the computer. In the next section we shall see how the CPU processes the stored program and data to produce results.

### The Arithmetic Basis of Computers

A computer can perform computations through an electronic counterpart to the arithmetic operations we perform on a routine basis. However, computer systems at their most fundamental level use a different number base than the common base 10 with which we are familiar.

The number 46 in base 10 can be represented as  $4 \times 10 + 6 \times 1$ . Furthermore, 10 is equal to  $10^1$  and 1 is equal to  $10^0$  (anything raised to the 0 power is 1 by definition). In our system of arithmetic, the position of a digit represents the power to which the base is raised before multiplication by the digit. For the number 46 above, 6 is in the "0 position," and 4 is in the 1 position. We can represent 46 then as  $6 \times 10^0 + 4 \times 10^1$ . This same procedure could be continued for more digits. For example, the number 346 can be represented as  $6 \times 10^0 + 4 \times 10^1 + 3 \times 10^2$ ; now there is a 3 in the  $10^2$  position which adds  $3 \times 10^2$ , or 300, to the number.

There is no reason why we must use the base 10 for arithmetic; it is convenient for human beings, but not for computers. A computer can be designed most easily to base 2, or the binary system. The two digits of the binary system (0 and 1) can be represented as "on-off," for example, through the presence or absence of an electrical signal.

A binary number is represented in the same positional notation as a base 10 number. The number 1 0 1 1 1 0 in binary starting with the right-most digit and working left would be converted to base 10 as:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 0 \times 2^0 & = & 0 \times 1 = 0 \\
 1 \times 2^1 & = & 1 \times 2 = 2 \\
 1 \times 2^2 & = & 1 \times 4 = 4 \\
 1 \times 2^3 & = & 1 \times 8 = 8 \\
 0 \times 2^4 & = & 0 \times 16 = 0 \\
 1 \times 2^5 & = & 1 \times 32 = 32 \\
 & & \hline
 & & 46
 \end{array}$$

which adds to 46 in base 10.

At the most basic level, computers store and process data in binary form; however, this is not an easy system for humans to use. Therefore, the binary digits in computer memory are grouped together to form other number bases for performing operations. For one series of machines, three binary digits are combined to produce an octal or base-8 computer. Another popular line of computers groups four digits and is therefore a hexadecimal or base-16 machine. Fortunately, even programmers rarely work at the binary level. For many applications, software or the design of the hardware makes the machine look as if it performs base-10 arithmetic from a programming standpoint.

All types of symbols can be coded and represented as binary numbers. For example, we could develop the following table to encode four alphabetic letters using two binary digits:

A = 00

B = 01

C = 10

D = 11



Thus, a series of binary digits can be coded to represent characters with which we are more familiar.

### Memory Organization

Now that we have a convenient way to represent numbers and symbols, we need a way to store them in memory. Different computer designers have adopted different schemes for memory organization. Generally, all computers combine groups of bits (binary digits) to form characters, sometimes called bytes. The number of bits determines the size of the character set. From the example above, it should be evident that we can code  $2^n$  distinct characters with a binary number of  $n$  digits. For example, if there are 4 bits, then there can be  $2^4$  or 16 symbols for alphanumeric data. Alphanumeric data are used for input and output display. Usually a different format for numbers is used for computation purposes. (See the section on arithmetic operations.) Many modern computers use an 8-bit character, or byte, giving a character set of  $2^8$  or 256 symbols.

After a character size and a set of symbols have been developed, the next design issue is to decide how to organize the memory of the machine. The basic use of memory is to store and fetch data, therefore we need some way to reference storage. An everyday example will help to clarify the problem. Suppose that we are expecting an important piece of mail. The mail delivery will be made to the mailbox at our street address; we know that by looking in the mailbox at our address we shall find the mail if it is there.

Now consider computer memory to be a group of mail boxes. We need an address to define each piece of data stored in memory so that it may be placed in a particular location (mail box) and retrieved from that location. It is possible to have an address for each character in memory, or sometimes groups of characters are combined to form words and the words are given an address. In the IBM 360 and 370 series, four 8-bit bytes are combined to form a word, though each byte also has an address. A word structure is convenient because many numbers will fit within a single word as do many types of instructions.

Instructions, as well as data, must be stored in memory, and deciding on the instruction format is another design problem. At a minimum, the instruction must contain an operation code which specifies what operation is to be performed, for example, add or subtract. The operation code is combined with one or more addresses. For example, a single-address machine is designed with instructions

which have one operation code and one address. For most instructions the single address specifies the memory location for one piece of data to be operated on by the instruction. In the case of an add, the address specifies the memory location whose content is to be added to some data already contained in the central processing unit. A machine with a two-address instruction format can have an add instruction that refers to both addresses in memory of the addends.

## Memory Technology

From the standpoint of the programmer and the user, the technology used for primary memory is not important. However, a general understanding of memory technology helps in appreciating the characteristics of secondary storage and file structures, and these are topics of vital importance to users.


The earliest memory technology of interest to us is magnetic core storage; there are still many computers with primary memories consisting of cores. This medium is also used for large bulk memories which can be attached to computers. Most computer professionals continue to refer to primary memory as “core storage” regardless of whether core technology is used or not!

Figure 7-2 is a diagram of a simple core memory. Remember that we are interested in representing 0 or 1 in memory. For core memory, these two states are determined by the direction of magnetization of the core. Each core in Figure 7-2 can be uniquely located by the two lines running through it. Each of these lines has one-half of the current necessary to reverse the direction of magnetization. When current is passed through a vertical line and a horizontal line, only the core at the intersection receives the full current necessary to reverse its direction of magnetization. Other cores—not at the intersection but on one of the two lines—receive one-half the current necessary to change their state, so they are not affected. Core memories contain two additional lines—one to sense the direction of magnetization and one to aid in writing.

To read information from memory, current is passed through the two appropriate grid wires. If the core already is magnetized in the same direction as the current nothing happens. Otherwise, the core changes its direction of magnetization. The change induces a current in a sense wire and this current is interpreted to determine the original state of the core. The presence or absence of current in the wire can be interpreted as a 0 or 1. For cores in which the direction of magnetization was changed, the data has been “reversed.” For the cores that were read, data has to be rewritten. An inhibit wire (omitted from Figure 7-2) blocks the rewrite current from the cores that did not change state during the read operation.

In summary, to read, we write into storage, sense any changes, and rewrite into storage to regenerate the original core state. Because of the need to rewrite data just read, core storage readout is referred to as “destructive” readout.

There are several types of semiconductor memories (see Calhoun in Cardenas et al., 1972). Semiconductor memories offer significant increases in speed over other memory technologies, are smaller, and are compatible with normal



**Figure 7-2** Core memory plane. (From Bartee, T. C.: *Digital Computer Fundamentals*, 4th ed., 1977, courtesy McGraw-Hill.)

circuitry of the central processing unit. The major disadvantages of semiconductor memory are its volatility and high power dissipation. Semiconductor memories must be constantly powered and lose their contents (are volatile) if the power fails. A semiconductor memory uses different levels of voltage to represent a 1 or a 0. Each type of semiconductor memory differs in the exact details of reading and writing. However, the general principle is that a read current increases the voltage of a semiconductor sufficiently to generate a current which can be detected in the output sense line. This read current, unlike the read current in magnetic cores, does not change the state of the semiconductor. To write, a current sufficiently large to change the semiconductor's state is applied.

There are other memory technologies such as plated wires and thin films. However, core and semiconductor memories dominate most modern computer systems.

## THE CENTRAL PROCESSING UNIT

As stated earlier, the CPU controls the operation of the computer; it contains most of the logic circuitry for the machine. Program instructions are stored in memory along with data. In a basic computer system the instructions are stored


sequentially beginning at some location in memory. The CPU by convention always fetches the next instruction in sequence and executes it unless the program instructs it to do otherwise.

### Components of the CPU

Figure 7-3 shows the CPU and primary memory in more detail than Figure 7-1. A discussion of CPU operations helps in understanding programming languages in the next chapter. Note the presence of a storage address register (SAR) and storage buffer register (SBR) in primary memory. The SAR is connected to memory in such a way that when an address is placed in it and a read command is given, the contents of the memory at that address appear in the storage buffer register. Similarly, when a write command is issued, the contents of the SBR are written into memory at the address location contained in the SAR.

The CPU contains a number of registers. The purpose of the instruction register is to hold instructions which are decoded and executed by the circuits of the CPU. The location counter keeps track of the address from which the next instructions should be fetched.

The arithmetic unit contains registers which hold data during the execution of arithmetic operations by CPU circuits. There are several types of numbers which can be processed by computers. A fixed-point number is an integer; the decimal point is fixed and is assumed to be to the right of the right-most digit. Examples of fixed-point numbers are 2, 512, and 671. A floating point number corresponds to scientific exponential notation; the position of the decimal point is indicated by digits associated with the number. For example, we might have a



**Figure 7-3** Central processing unit and memory.

floating point format of .1632E03 meaning that the number 0.1632 is to be multiplied by  $10^3$ . The number in conventional form, then, is 163.2. The number 16.32 would be presented by .1632E02. The exponent allows the decimal point to "float." It is also possible to have registers which perform decimal arithmetic.

Are arithmetic registers really necessary? One early computer had no registers; mathematical operations were performed by looking up information in tables in memory! The presence of arithmetic registers, however, speeds computations; if registers are not used, a program requiring memory and execution time must be written to simulate desired arithmetic operations. However, several popular second-generation business-oriented computers had only fixed-point addition and subtraction capabilities. Multiplication was accomplished by successive additions, and division, by successive subtractions. In a similar manner, programs simulate floating-point operations on these computers. Most modern general-purpose computers feature fixed- and floating-point registers for addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division.

### Operation of the CPU

Referring to Figure 7-3, we describe the fetch and execution phases of the instruction cycle of the CPU for a single-address computer (Hellerman, 1967).

The objective of the fetch cycle is to obtain an instruction from memory in preparation for executing the instruction. The steps are:

- 1 The address of the location counter is moved to the SAR, initiating a read-from-memory subcycle. At the completion of the read subcycle, the contents of the memory address in the SAR appear in the SBR.
- 2 The contents of the SBR are moved to the instruction register for interpretation by the logic circuits of the CPU.
- 3 The location counter is increased by 1 to point to the next instruction to be fetched.
- 4 The instruction is decoded to yield an effective address (see the discussion on address modification below).

During the execution cycle, the instruction is interpreted and the operation it signifies is performed:

- 1 The effective address of the data on which the operation is to take place is sent to the SAR. A read-access subcycle is started to fetch the datum which then appears in the SBR.
- 2 The datum is routed to a machine register.
- 3 The operation—for example, a subtract—is performed on the datum.

### Address Modification\*

In the section above, we mentioned the computation of an effective address. To provide added flexibility and power, many computers make it possible to modify

\*Sections marked with an asterisk contain detailed discussions and can be omitted on first reading or when the reader is interested only in an overview.

an address contained in the instruction register prior to its execution. The first and most common modification is the use of an index register. The contents of this register are subtracted (or added, depending on the computer) to the data address in the instruction.

How is this capability used? Assume there are some data located in memory as shown in Table 7-2*a*. We could write a program (in a hypothetical language) that clears an arithmetic register and then adds the data from each location in memory. For example, in Table 7-2*b* the first add takes the contents of memory address 1024, the data 200, and adds it to the CPU add register. In this example, we have to use as many adds as there are numbers, say 25. This procedure produces a lengthy and inflexible program. What would happen if we wanted to add 40 numbers for the next computation?

In Table 7-2*c*, we write a program that uses an index register. The first instruction in our hypothetical program loads the index register with the number 24. The second instruction clears the arithmetic register in the CPU and the third begins a loop. This third instruction, an add, references the base location of 1024 where our data begin. The 1 in the add instruction refers to register 1. In this

**Table 7-2 An Example of Index Register Modification**


| Memory Location                         | Contents |
|---|----------|
| <b>a Data in memory</b>                 |          |
| 1024                                    | 200      |
| 1023                                    | 150      |
| 1022                                    | 600      |
| 1021                                    | 432      |
| 1020                                    | 100      |
| 1019                                    | 700      |
| Instruction                             | Address  |
| <b>b Program with no register</b>       |          |
| CLEAR                                   |          |
| ADD                                     | 1024     |
| ADD                                     | 1023     |
| ADD                                     | 1022     |
| ADD                                     | 1021     |
| ADD                                     | 1020     |
| ADD                                     | 1019     |
| Etc.                                    |          |
| <b>c Program using index register 1</b> |          |
| LOAD                                    | 1, 24    |
| CLEAR                                   |          |
| STEP1 ADD                               | 1024, 1  |
| DEC                                     | 1, 1     |
| BRLZ                                    | STEP1    |

computer, assume the contents of the index register are subtracted from the address of the instruction; therefore, the add will fetch data from location 1024 minus 24 or 1000 where we said the data begin. The datum at location 1000 will be added to the arithmetic register in the CPU.

The next instruction decreases the contents of the index register by 1, leaving 23 as its contents. The last instruction says go to the instruction labeled STEP1 if the contents of index register are not less than 0. Since the register contains the number 23, the program will branch back to STEP1 (a branch occurs by putting the address of the instruction at STEP1 into the location counter which results in the CPU taking that as the next instruction). On the last time through the loop, register 1 will contain 0. The last datum at location 1024 will be added to the sum accumulated so far. Then the register will be decremented by one making it less than 0 and the program will take the next instruction after the branch (not shown in Table 7-2c).

Another form of address modification is also useful for certain I/O operations and other functions. In indirect addressing, shown in Figure 7-4, the contents of a location are interpreted not as data, but as another address. In Figure 7-4, the instruction references address 412 indirectly. The CPU fetches the subtract instruction, decodes the address, and fetches the data at location 412. Because this is an indirect address, the CPU undertakes another fetch cycle to obtain the contents of location 637, the indirect address stored as data in location 412. Finally, the CPU uses the data at location 637, the number 10625, for the subtraction.

Some machines allow several levels of indirect addressing; for these computers, the data at location 637 could be another indirect address. In this manner, various pointers through memory to different locations can be established. This facility can be used for linking programs and data, for example, to connect a main program and its parts.



**Figure 7-4** Indirect addressing.

### An Instruction Set

What operations can be performed by a typical computer? Table 7-3 contains the instruction set for an IBM 1130, a small third-generation scientific and engineering computer (Bell and Newell, 1971). Note the different classes of instructions in the table including data movement, arithmetic, logical comparison, and branching. There are only 31 instructions, yet the machine is capable of performing a large number of tasks and supports the FORTRAN language discussed in the next chapter. Other larger computers have repertoires of well over 100 instruc-

**Table 7-3 Instruction Set for IBM 1130**

| Class          | Instruction                     | Indirect addressing | Mnemonic symbol |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Load and store | Load accumulator                | Yes                 | LD              |
|                | Double load                     | Yes                 | LDD             |
|                | Store accumulator               | Yes                 | STO             |
|                | Double store                    | Yes                 | STD             |
|                | Load index                      |                     | LDX             |
|                | Store index                     | Yes                 | STX             |
|                | Load status                     | No                  | LDS             |
|                | Store status                    | Yes                 | STS             |
| Arithmetic     | Add                             | Yes                 | A               |
|                | Double add                      | Yes                 | AD              |
|                | Subtract                        | Yes                 | S               |
|                | Double subtract                 | Yes                 | SD              |
|                | Multiply                        | Yes                 | M               |
|                | Divide                          | Yes                 | D               |
|                | And                             | Yes                 | AND             |
|                | Or                              | Yes                 | OR              |
| Shift          | Exclusive Or                    | Yes                 | EOR             |
|                | Shift-left Instructions:        |                     |                 |
|                | Shift left logical (A*)         | No                  | SLA             |
|                | Shift left logical (AQ†)        | No                  | SLT             |
|                | Shift left and count (AQ)       | No                  | SLC             |
|                | Shift left and count (A)        | No                  | SLCA            |
|                | Shift-right instructions:       |                     |                 |
|                | Shift right logical (A)         | No                  | SRA             |
| Branch         | Shift right arithmetically (AQ) | No                  | SRT             |
|                | Rotate right (AQ)               | No                  | RTE             |
|                | Branch and store I‡             | Yes                 | BSI             |
|                | Branch or skip on condition     | Yes                 | BSC(BOSC)       |
|                | Modify index and skip           |                     | MDX             |
| I/O            | Wait                            | No                  | WAIT            |
|                | Execute I/O                     | Yes                 | XIO             |

\*A = A 16-bit accumulator register which contains the results of any arithmetic operation

†Q = A 16-bit low-order expansion of the accumulator for multiplication, division, etc.

‡I = Instruction address register

Source: Adapted from G. Bell and A. Newell, *Computer Structures: Readings and Examples*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971.

tions along with 10 or more registers capable of performing arithmetic operations or serving as index registers. These machines contain more operations and support several data formats. Because it is a simpler machine, the 1130 instruction set is easy to understand and the example provides a good indication of the type of instructions found in a computer.

## **MICROPROGRAMMING\***

### **Background**

When computer manufacturers planned third-generation computers, they faced a number of serious marketing problems. Unlike the transition to second generation from first, organizations had more substantial investments in programs for second-generation computers. These programs were frequently written in assembly language, a language which is generally unique for a given machine. That is, an assembly-language program cannot be executed on just any computer; it exhibits low compatibility among computers. How could a new machine be sold if it obsoleted a customer's program library so that all programs had to be replaced? How could customers convert? Would they have to keep a second-generation computer and a third-generation machine together while they translated their programs?

Second-generation computers were also characterized by several groups or families; for example, one dichotomy exists between business and scientific computers. Second-generation business machines featured limited arithmetic capabilities, but excellent data editing and input/output features. A number of these machines had variable word lengths and were character-oriented. Scientific machines, on the other hand, had poor input/output features and fixed word lengths with many parallel operations. Scientific computers also featured high-speed arithmetic registers and floating point hardware.

When a customer wanted to move up to a more powerful second-generation computer, it might have been necessary to switch to a new series of machines, requiring conversion. Computer manufacturers wanted to avoid major conversion problems in developing the third generation and also wanted to provide upward and downward compatibility. That is, there would be a family of machines, each capable of executing programs written for any "lower" member of that family. Of course, compatibility would only be possible within limits of memory and peripheral equipment.

To develop compatibility among computers in a family requires similar instruction sets. However, usually more powerful computers at the top of a product line have more extensive and capable repertoires of instructions than smaller members of the line. Thus, another major problem for computer manufacturers was how to develop identical instruction sets for small and large machines at a reasonable cost.

Several solutions can be suggested for the problem of conversion. For example, we could write a program to translate existing programs in second-generation assembly language to a third-generation higher-level language. Theo-

retically, this approach is easy. However, it becomes difficult in practice, and it was several years after the introduction of third-generation equipment before such a program was developed for one of the major manufacturers. (Even then, a customer and not a computer vendor wrote the program!)

Another possibility is a simulation program. Such a program would make third-generation computers look like second-generation machines; the new computer would execute second-generation programs. The only drawback here is speed; it takes several simulated instructions to execute each second-generation program instruction. The manufacturer could be in the position of trying to sell a new third-generation computer that took longer to execute programs than the second-generation computer being replaced! Moreover, neither of these solutions solves the instruction set compatibility problem.

### A Solution

There are certain operations in the CPU of Figure 7-3 which are required by, almost all instructions, for example, adding 1 to the contents of the location counter. The process of executing an instruction is made up of two types of activities; register-to-register transfers and control commands such as for clearing a register or initiating a memory read (the reader should review the discussion on page 103 about the basic instruction fetch and execution cycles of the CPU). Each instruction in machine language can be thought of as a series of more primitive or fundamental instructions such as:

- Move the contents of the arithmetic register to the SBR.

- Move the contents of the SBR to the arithmetic register.

- Add 1 to the location counter.

- Read from main storage.

- Write to main storage.

- Clear the arithmetic registers.

As an example of how an instruction is formed, suppose we wanted to store the results of an arithmetic operation back into main memory. The instruction in machine language would be something like STO 250, that is, store the contents of an arithmetic register at location 250. A microprogram for a hypothetical computer to accomplish this might appear something like:

- Move the contents of the arithmetic register to the SBR.

- Move the address portion of the instruction (250) to the SAR.

- Write into main memory.

- Add 1 to the location counter.

Following this approach, we have broken a machine-language instruction into a series of more fundamental instructions, or microinstructions. These more primitive instructions are combined into a "program" to produce a machine language instruction like STO. The technique described above is called "micro-

programming,” and it represents a major advance in the design of computer hardware.

### **Applications of Microprogramming**

How does microprogramming solve our marketing problems in selling third-generation computers? First, by combining different microinstructions, we can create a large variety of microprogrammed instructions at a reasonable cost. Microprogramming is cheaper than actually wiring the computer (called “hard-wiring”) to perform, say, the STO instruction using circuits directly. In a microprogrammed family of computers, small, less capable models use microprogramming extensively, while larger models are hardwired. The hardware costs more for a hardwired machine, but a wired instruction executes faster than several microprogrammed steps. Microprogramming thus solves the problem of creating compatible instruction sets at a reasonable cost for a family of computers.

How does microprogramming help in conversion? A microprogramming feature can be used to simulate, with both hardware and software, one computer’s instruction set on another computer—a process known as emulation. Microprogramming features are used to make a third-generation machine look like its second-generation counterpart. Under emulation, second-generation computer instructions are executed on a third-generation computer, by both software and microprogrammed steps. Emulation is considerably faster than simulation with a software program alone because the microprogrammed steps in the hardware execute faster than software instructions.

In addition to these original factors motivating the development of microprogramming, many other uses have developed for it. Manufacturers now use microprogramming to provide very complex instructions or to tailor a piece of equipment for a specific job. The extensive use of microprogramming for such special purposes has produced microprograms called “firmware.” If some process has little chance of being changed, microprogramming offers greater speed than writing a software program. However, the cost is flexibility, since a software program is much easier to modify than a microprogram.

### **DATA CHANNELS**

During the development of second-generation computers, it was recognized that the CPU spent much of its time interacting with input/output devices such as card readers and printers. The fact that these operations really do not require the full power of the central processing unit stimulated the development of the data channel. A data channel is a device that contains hardware logic capabilities like, but less complex than, those of the CPU.

The data channel accepts commands from the CPU and executes a channel program which controls input/output operations. The CPU is then free to operate in parallel with the data channel; both computations and I/O operations occur

simultaneously, thereby improving the throughput (amount of work processed) of the computer.

In some second-generation computers, the central processing unit has an instruction to check if a channel is busy. Computations might stop to wait for a channel to finish supervising the printing of a line before the next line is printed. The CPU under these conditions waits until the channel is free. Most third-generation general-purpose computers have an interrupt structure. The CPU has special registers which save the status of the tasks on which it is working. By saving its place, the CPU can respond to an interrupt while maintaining the integrity of the currently executing program. Following interrupt processing, the CPU restores the interrupted program and continues from where it stopped. An illustration using data channels will help to explain this very important capability.

Assume that a program on which the CPU is working needs to print a line on the printer. The CPU initiates the print operation through a command to the data channel. The data channel takes over to interpret the print instruction and give commands to the controller for the printer. The CPU continues with the program, performing a series of computations. When the data channel has finished printing, it interrupts the central processing unit. The CPU stores the status of what it is doing and answers the interrupt, for example, by noting that the print operation is now complete (which means that the next print operation can be undertaken). Then the CPU resumes the task it was executing when the interrupt came from the data channel.

This capability may not seem like a major advance, given the ability of a second-generation computer to test for busy channels. However, in the next chapter, when we discuss operating systems and multiprogramming, the importance of interrupts should become more evident.

## SECONDARY STORAGE

### Motivation

Secondary storage generally refers to storage devices which have to be accessed through a data channel; the CPU cannot fetch data or instructions directly from them. There are several reasons for the use of secondary storage devices. First, primary memory is very expensive; we often cannot afford to have sufficient primary memory to process large amounts of data. Some applications have files containing billions of characters of data, exceeding the capacity of the primary memory of any computer available today.

Even if we could afford enough primary memory and could physically attach it to our computer, we really would not want to fill it with data! Many programs use primary memory, each processing its own data. If we left all the data for one application in memory all of the time, we would have to dedicate the entire computer or a significant part of it to just that application. Therefore, we do not want to store data in primary memory when it is not needed. Secondary storage devices provide a flexible storage capability for data and programs.

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 7-1

John Trout has just assumed a position as vice president for administration at Technical R&D, a diversified research and consulting firm. John's previous experience was in accounting and finance. At Technical, all information systems activities now report to him. Because of his lack of familiarity with computers, John has been reading widely in the field to prepare for his new assignment.

Mary Jackson is the director of information systems for Technical and reports to John. She has been supplying him with information about the use of computers at Technical and about computers in general. Technical employs computers for its own internal administrative work; in addition, large computers are used for scientific computations by the professional staff.

Mary explained the history of computers at Technical. In the second generation, Technical maintained complete separation between administrative and scientific processing. A separate, character-oriented business computer was used for all administrative work and a large, fixed-word-length scientific computer was utilized by the professional staff. Even though third-generation computers eliminated much of the distinction between business and scientific processing, Mary felt there were good management reasons for maintaining this separation at Technical R&D. Now, even with the latest equipment, Technical still has a separate computer for administrative processing and a larger machine in the same family for use by the professional staff.

John wondered what the "management considerations" were that convinced Mary two computers were necessary. What would the advantages be of using a single, large computer for all kinds of Technical's processing?

## Devices

Table 7-4 contains a list of some of the important secondary storage devices arranged by average access time (how long, on the average, it takes to retrieve data from the device). In general, the cost per character of storage drops with access time; that is, faster devices—as we might expect—cost more. Note that, while the CPU and memory might operate at speeds of less than 100 nanoseconds ( $100 \times 10^{-9}$  seconds), the fastest secondary storage devices have access times in the millisecond ( $10^{-3}$  second) range or nearly 10,000 times slower than primary memory. Below, we briefly mention different secondary storage devices; we shall discuss these devices in greater detail in Chapter 9 on files.

Direct-access storage refers to the device's capability to locate information stored any place on it in roughly the same length of time. Direct-access storage contrasts with sequential storage, in which all the data are arranged in order and the device must be scanned in that order to find specific information. The drum used to be the fastest direct-access device; now fixed-head disks approach or exceed its speed.

The most popular mass-storage devices use magnetic tape strips arranged in cartridges which are retrieved, read, and transferred to a disk storage device. A

Table 7-4 Secondary Storage Devices

| Device                                     | Monthly rental                       | Average access time  | Transfer rate, bytes/sec | Capacity, million bytes*†        | Monthly rental/<br>million bytes | Type       |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| Fixed-head disk and controller             | \$5,495<br><u>3,245</u><br>\$8,740   | 2.5 milliseconds   | 3,000 K‡                 | 5.4                              | \$1,619.00                       | Direct     |
| Drum and controller                        | \$2,355<br><u>2,575</u><br>\$4,930   | 8.6 milliseconds   | 1,200 K                  | 4.1                              | \$1,202.00                       | Direct     |
| Movable and fixed-head disk and controller | \$2,127<br><u>4,405</u><br>\$6,532   | 8.3-millisecond average rotational delay for 2.28 million characters, 25-millisecond seek, and 8.3 millisecond rotational delay for rest | 1,198 K                  | 634                              | \$ 10.30                         | Direct     |
| Disk and controller                        | \$1,450<br><u>2,685</u><br>\$4,135   | 38.4 milliseconds (30.0 seek time, 8.4 rotational delay)   | 806 K                    | 200                              | \$ 21.00                         | Direct     |
| Mass storage and controller                | \$12,937<br><u>4,405</u><br>\$17,342 | 15.5 seconds (5.5 to fetch cartridge; 10.0 to load, read to a disk, and unload)  | 874 K                    | 35,300                           | \$ .49                           | Direct     |
| Magnetic tape and controller               | \$375<br><u>729</u><br>\$1,104       | Contingent upon record size and density  | 30 K                     | 46.08<br>(1600 bpi at 2400 feet) | \$ .02                           | Sequential |

\*1 byte = 1 character or 2 digits  
ignoring interrecord gaps  
‡K = 1024

mass-storage device might replace an entire magnetic tape library. Mass-storage devices are slow in placing the data on the disk, but once there, the data can be processed at disk speeds.

Magnetic tape is one of the oldest storage media. Data are stored in sequence on a tape; to retrieve the information we have to search one-half the tape, on the average. Magnetic tape is cheap and provides convenient off-line storage and backup.

All these devices share two common characteristics. We have to access them through the data channel at speeds considerably slower than we can access primary memory. Second, all use a magnetic medium of some type to store data.

## I/O DEVICES

One of the largest bottlenecks in information systems is input/output. Devices to enter data generally have some mechanical component that requires human interaction. Consider a card reader capable of reading 80-column cards at a rate of 500 cards per minute. At this rate,  $80 \times 500 \div 60$  or 667 characters per second are input to the computer. However, the computer may be capable of fetching over a million characters per second from primary memory. Output is also slow. Consider a standard 1000-line-per-minute printer. With 130 characters per line, the printer operates at  $1000 \times 130 \div 60$  or 2167 characters per second. Below we discuss some of the common I/O devices in use today. (See Table 7-5.) Knowledge of this equipment is very important in making decisions on various alternatives in systems analysis and design.

### Input

One of the earliest input media was punched paper tape, a narrow strip of tape punched with holes representing characters. A device reads the tape and transmits the characters to the computer. Paper tape is difficult to use and is not well suited to large volumes of input. However, it is very inexpensive and may be used, for example, in time sharing to save a program or data.

The familiar punched card still is used extensively for input. An individual transcribes information to the cards using a keypunch. The information should be verified by another operator, who rekeys the original information and compares the holes in the cards and notes any discrepancies. An alternative is to have a computer punch cards, each containing information relevant to a particular user (for example, in a billing application). The card is sent to the customer who returns it with payment. If the payment matches the amount billed, the card or "turnaround document" is entered directly into the computer.

In order to speed data transcription, key-to-tape and key-to-disk units have been developed. These devices may offer formatting aids beyond the card keypunch; they are also faster and quieter than the card-oriented keypunch. Several operators may use the same tape or disk, and the results may be aggregated and placed on a computer-compatible tape or disk for final input.

Magnetic-ink character recognition (MICR) has found its greatest accep-

**Table 7-5 Common Input/Output Devices****Input devices**

- Paper tape
- Punched cards
- Key to tape
- Key to disk
- Magnetic-ink character recognition (MICR)
- Mark sense
- Optical character recognition (OCR)
- Terminals
- Voice
- Special devices (for example, analog to digital)

**Output devices**

- Paper tape
- Card punch
- Line printer
  - Impact
  - Nonimpact
- Computer output to microfilm (COM)
- Audio response
- Terminals
- Special devices (for example, digital to analog)

**Terminals**

- Remote job entry
- Interactive
- Hard copy
  - Serial impact
  - Nonimpact
- CRT (single or multiple color)
  - Alphanumeric
  - Graphics
    - Dynamic
    - Storage tube

tance in banking. All checks are coded with an account number and code identifying the bank. A human operator enters the amount on the check when it is processed, using a special coding device. The magnetic ink characters are read and the checks sorted by area and account number. However, a few applications beyond banking have been suggested for MICR.

Mark sensing can be used for input where there are a few alternatives which can be represented by simple choices. Mark sensing is a technique used to read answers in most standardized "machine-scored" tests. A question with four answers requires four columns on the answer sheet. The student darkens a choice for each question and a mark-sensing device reads the marks. Unfortunately, when there are many choices, mark sense forms become large because a space is required for each option. For example, consider the amount of space required to enter one's name on the test form compared with the space to answer questions.

Optical character recognition (OCR) is gaining increasing use as an input technique. OCR readers have a variety of capacities and costs and many employ laser technology to read data. The simplest OCR scanners are bar-code readers that optically scan information coded with bars such as those found on some credit cards. There are several OCR-type fonts which are easier for machines to read than standard printing like the type in this book. These special OCR type fonts can be read by equipment slightly more sophisticated than bar-code readers. More complex OCR devices read hand-printed numbers and a few characters. The most advanced units read typed or hand-printed letters, although care must be taken preparing the data. With OCR, rejects may be high, but only rejected documents have to be keyed into the machine. Successfully read input reduces the transcription process and allows data to be captured closer to its source.

A variety of terminals is available for data input as well as output. The most general are described in the next section. There are also special input terminals that feature badge readers (to identify the individual entering data) and card readers for, say, a factory-floor production control application. Terminals make it possible to extend data collection to the original source of the data and reduce intermediate transcription.

Experiments are currently underway on voice-input devices. Voice input of numbers is being used in several instances where the individual providing information must have both hands free. However, there are many problems to overcome, and it remains to be seen how many applications there are for voice input. Finally, there are a number of special-purpose input devices. For example, for industrial process control, analog sensors (for continuous signals, as opposed to discrete or digital signals) may feed data to some device which converts them into digital signals for processing on a digital computer.

## Output

There are available a number of output devices. Equipment to punch cards or paper tape can be connected to many digital computers. Large general-purpose computers usually feature card equipment, while smaller special-purpose machines may have paper tape equipment.

The line printer is used heavily for output, especially in batch systems. An impact line printer has a print element which comes in physical contact with the paper; usually a type slug presses a ribbon against the paper when hit by a hammer device. Because of this physical impression, multiple copies can be created with carbon paper. Impact printers come in a variety of speeds, although the maximum currently available is in the neighborhood of 2000 lines per minute.

Nonimpact printers use other technologies to create an image. Some approaches are similar to commercial photocopying processes, while others employ charged particles of ink or print thermally. One printer uses special electrostatic paper while another uses a laser and a process similar to xerography to produce images. Nonimpact printers, with speeds in the range of 10,000 to 20,000 lines per minute, are considerably faster than impact printers. However,

additional copies cannot be made with carbon paper; duplicate output must be printed or the original must be photocopied.

Computer output to microfilm (COM) offers one approach to reducing the bulk of the information printed by computers. Usually, the microfilm device is off-line; that is, it is not directly connected to the computer. The computer system produces an output magnetic tape at high speed. The tape is mounted on a computer output microfilm device, which produces the microfilm. Some devices require a separate step to develop the film, though at least one COM unit produces film directly from computer input. One limitation of microfilm is that a special viewing device is needed to read it; special equipment also is required to produce a paper copy.

Audio-response output units have been used for a number of applications in which there is a short inquiry and response—for example, in retrieving the balance in a bank account. Audio output devices record messages such as the phrase “your balance is” and a series of 10 digits. The program selects digits which are appropriate and commands the device to play the entire message.

There are also a number of terminals which can be used for output, as described below. A myriad of special devices has been developed for output, especially for analog output for industrial process-control applications.

### Terminals

Most terminals can be used for input or output. The remote job entry terminal (RJE) features a card reader and slow line printer as a minimum. This terminal device is connected by phone lines to a central computer, perhaps many miles away; more elaborate batch terminals feature card punches and tape drives. Often one of these terminals is a small computer which processes some local jobs while larger jobs are sent to the more powerful central machine. The major drawback of RJE is the lack of interaction with the computer.

Interactive terminals are designed for a single user who is communicating with a computer on-line (we shall discuss different on-line systems later in this chapter and in the next one). Some interactive terminals produce a hard copy, that is, a printed copy that can be removed from the terminal. However, cathode-ray tube (CRT) terminals and similar display devices produce output on a TV-like screen, and unless a special copying device is used, the next display will erase the current one.

Interactive hard-copy terminals usually have serial-print mechanisms. Such a terminal prints one character at a time, unlike a line printer, which produces an entire line of characters each time it prints. Hard-copy terminals are available in either impact or nonimpact form. Nonimpact devices may be thermal types in which a heated matrix of styli creates an impression on heat-sensitive paper. Other nonimpact terminals squirt an electronically controlled jet of ink at the paper to form characters. Nonimpact printers tend to be faster than impact terminals and are highly popular, since many interactive applications have no need for multiple copies.

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 7.2

Mastercraft Tool Company manufactures a variety of manual and power tools for professional workers and home workshops. The tools are sold through specialty and hardware stores throughout the United States and abroad. For a number of years, the firm has been concerned over production-control problems.

Manufacturing a tool involves a sequence of steps requiring different machines; it is a classical "job shop" production situation. There are some 10 manufacturing departments at Mastercraft, much work in process, and large finished-goods inventories. The firm manufactures for inventory and fills orders from its stock of tools. There is limited back ordering for popular items.

The top management of Mastercraft has reviewed several proposals for computer-based processing to provide better production-control information. Because of the rather low skill level of some workers, management is concerned over the impact of a computer system on production employees.

At the present time, the nature of the system, either batch or on-line, is being considered. The president said, "I can see advantages and disadvantages to either possibility. Clearly we have to obtain input from workers or the system will fail. I just don't know how to evaluate the potential impact of batch forms versus a terminal for factory workers."

As a consultant to Mastercraft, can you help the president with his decision problem? What factors should he consider in evaluating different input and output alternatives? Which alternatives should he consider?

Cathode-ray tube terminals are enjoying increasing popularity for input and output: they are quiet and very fast compared with printing terminals. Most CRTs feature one color, though multiple-color models are available. An alphanumeric CRT displays lines of characters and is a direct replacement for a printing terminal where no hard copy is required. Graphic CRTs make it possible to plot lines to form graphs or figures on the screen. A dynamic graphics CRT allows the program to change any part of the screen, erasing or adding information, without affecting the rest of the display. The computer scans a display list (a list of display commands) and refreshes the display many times each second. To change the display the program alters the display list. However, the constant refreshing of the screen demands attention from the CPU. As an alternative, local storage in the terminal itself may be used to refresh the screen and reduce the load on the central processing unit.

Storage-tube graphics units are cheaper than their dynamic graphics counterparts and are relatively easy to program. The screen is made of a special material so that the display remains visible for up to an hour without refreshing. Information can be added easily to the screen, but the entire screen must be erased to erase any part of the display. However, when operated at high speeds, redrawing a display of simple figures after an erasure is not too time-consuming.

Many terminals have been developed with logic capabilities of their own.

These terminals are referred to as "intelligent" because they can do more than respond to input from the user or output commands from the computer. Intelligent terminals may use their logic to perform editing functions before transmitting information to a main computer. Some terminals also have paper tape or magnetic tape cassettes to record input off-line before sending it to a computer.

## ON-LINE SYSTEMS

### Motivation

During the second generation of computers, the need for a capability beyond batch data processing developed for two main reasons. First, there are a number of applications where individuals need access to a central data base from different geographical locations, in close to "real time." These individuals in different places need very recently updated information. The best example of this type of system is a reservations application; a passenger agent in Atlanta must be sure that a New York flight has a seat left before making a reservation. The Atlanta agent must be certain that some other agent in Los Angeles is not selling the same seat at the same time. By maintaining a continually updated central file of flights and reservations and providing on-line access through terminals, both the Atlanta and Los Angeles agents can check on up-to-the-second seat availability before making the reservation.

Time sharing can be considered a special case of on-line systems. Time sharing was motivated by the slow turnaround time (time from submitting a run until receipt of output) characteristic of early batch systems. Researchers at MIT recognized that there is a severe mismatch between human information processing and computer speeds. In early batch systems, a programmer might have only two or three runs a day at most because of the large number of people using the machine. The MIT Project MAC group developed a special on-line system that gave each user an individual computational ability through a terminal. It appeared to each user that the central computer was available solely to that individual, even though many users in actuality were sharing the CPU. The name "time sharing" reflects the sharing of a computer resource by multiple users.

### Hardware Requirements

On-line systems were first created by adding special hardware to existing systems and by writing complex control programs, usually in assembly language. We shall explain more fully how these systems work in the next chapter on software. In this section we discuss some of the hardware features, especially the communications necessary for on-line systems.

For the central computer, the major addition needed for on-line processing is a communications controller. An on-line system uses communications lines, such as those of the public phone system. Just as a printer or card reader needs a controller, so do communications lines.

The logic requirements for a transmission controller are very demanding. It must do the following:

- 1 Establish a circuit.
- 2 Recognize the line speed—for example, whether the speed is 10 or 30 characters per second.
- 3 Send a start-of-message signal.
- 4 Receive the message acknowledgment.
- 5 Translate from transmission code to computer code and vice versa.
- 6 Check for errors and for the completeness of the message.
- 7 Receive a retransmission if necessary.
- 8 Assemble the message.
- 9 Recognize the end of message.
- 10 Release the circuit.
- 11 Transmit the message to the CPU.

Many steps are necessary to perform these functions. Because of these requirements, on-line systems frequently feature a communications “front end,” a device with considerable logic capability which handles the communications functions to remove some of the load from the CPU.

### Telecommunications

The communications network for an on-line system can be very complicated. There are various classes of lines used to send signals between a computer and remote devices (lines are also called circuits or channels). A simplex line can transmit data in only one direction. A half-duplex line can transmit data in two directions, but in only one direction at a time. A full-duplex line can transmit in both directions simultaneously; a full-duplex circuit might be two simplex lines, one in each direction.

We measure the speed of communications in bauds; a baud is generally 1 bit per second. A variety of communications lines is available from both the telephone company and private common carriers. A slow-speed line might have a capacity of 110 bauds or about 10 characters per second, while high-speed channels can carry 50,000 characters per second. A normal voice-grade telephone line can transmit up to 2000 bauds (Watson, 1970). Public switched lines can be used for data transmission with the addition of special equipment described below. For higher speed and more error-free transmissions, leased lines are required.

If a remote terminal device is close to the computer, say within half a mile, then a direct line can be used without too much signal attenuation. For greater distances, communications lines must be used to transmit the signal. Computers of the type described here are digital, which suggests that data communications should use digital signals. However, because most common carriers use analog transmission, digital communications for computers must be converted for transmission through a process known as modulation. ✓

Analog transmission can be represented as a sine wave. A digital signal is modulated by a modem (modulator and demodulator) in some way so that a sine wave can be used to transmit the signal. At the receiving end, another modem converts the signals back into their original digital form. There are three

approaches to encoding the digital signal: amplitude modulation, frequency modulation, and phase encoding. Amplitude modulation modifies the height of the wave, but this approach is subject to noise and distortion. Phase modulation involves sending two digital signals at different phases (Martin, 1969).

In frequency modulation, the modem at the sending end converts two direct current levels standing for a 1 and a 0 to two frequencies which are then sent over analog circuits. At the receiving end, a modem converts the analog signal back to the direct current digital message (Watson, 1970). (See Figure 7-5.) A 1 might be represented by a frequency of 2000 cycles per second and a 0 by 1000 cycles per second, and transmission would be serial by bit. By using several frequency pairs, one line can be used to transmit several bits (forming a single character) in parallel.


Several common carriers now offer digital as opposed to analog transmission. Digital or pulse-code transmission makes it possible to send binary data without the use of a modem. If analog data such as the human voice or music is transmitted, it must be converted to digital signals. In the future, increasing amounts of communications will be transmitted digitally.

Data transmission can be synchronous or asynchronous. For asynchronous transmission there are no timing requirements; the message is preceded by a start bit and ended with two stop bits, and the bits in between are the characters. Asynchronous transmission is used where a message is developed at random, for example, by a human operator who is sending data on a terminal. Asynchronous transmission is also used for low- or medium-speed devices where it is not worth the cost to synchronize.

For higher data-transfer rates and continuous transmission we usually find synchronous techniques. In synchronous transmission, sending and receiving units maintain exact synchronization by using timing generators. There is no need to send start and stop bits; however, the timing generators are usually synchronized by special timing codes that precede message transmission.

For a complex on-line system we must configure a communications network considering reliability and backup, speed, and cost. Tariffs for communications lines vary widely according to type of service, and there are a number of alternative common carriers for data. Network design is very complicated, and there are a number of communications specialists who balance network performance against backup and cost.

Usually it is too costly to have one slow-speed circuit from each terminal to



**Figure 7-5** Use of frequency modulation for digital transmission. (From Watson, R. W.: *Time-sharing System Design Concepts*, 1970, courtesy McGraw-Hill.)

a computer. Instead we combine slow speed circuits and send them on a single higher-speed line. A multiplexer combines several slow-speed lines through time-division or frequency-division multiplexing. Time-division multiplexing works by sampling separate incoming signals and combining them on a high-capacity output line. At the receiving end the signals are demultiplexed by reconstructing the sampled messages. Frequency-division multiplexing brings together different input lines and sends them over a higher-capacity line at different frequencies. The speed of the output line must equal the sum of the speeds of the input lines.

A concentrator is a small computer which collects messages from terminals and stores them if necessary. The concentrator sends the message over a higher-speed line to the computer. However, unlike the multiplexer, it can temporarily store data so the high-speed line does not have to equal the sum of the speeds of the slower lines. The computer transmits information using the reverse path; the computer sends device addresses so the message can be routed to the proper terminal.

In a multidrop configuration, several terminals are assigned to the same line. The message from the computer is preceded by a device address and completed with an end-of-message code. Messages are sent along the multidrop line, and all terminal devices decode the address. The device addressed connects itself to the line and accepts the message. To receive messages from the terminals the central computer polls them; the computer sends a message to each terminal in turn asking if it has something to transmit to the central computer. If the answer is "no," a message is sent to the next terminal; if the answer is "yes," the terminal transmits its message.

The central computer usually has a line buffer to convert serial bits into characters and to remove extraneous bits such as start and stop codes. The line buffer may also examine error-detection bits sent along with the incoming messages or add error correction bits to outbound messages. The line buffer sends connect and disconnect signals and often is responsible for synchronization; each line has a separate line buffer.

The communications controller discussed earlier can be attached to a channel. Sometimes the controller is a small computer which handles many of the communications tasks described above and removes some of the load from the CPU. Network design is very specialized; for further information see Martin (1969).

We should also comment that many organizations regard the transmission of digital data as one component of all communications. For example, a leased line might be used for voice communications during the day and data transmission at night. Communications in general is becoming a highly specialized area within organizations.

## **SPECIAL FEATURES\***

In the second- and third-generation computers, several special features were added to the central processing unit in some machines to improve speed. An

example of such a feature is instruction look-ahead. The idea is to have the CPU accomplish several tasks in parallel; the look-ahead unit decodes program instructions in advance of their execution. While one part of the CPU is executing an instruction, another part of the CPU fetches instructions that follow the one being executed and decodes them. This concurrency of instruction fetch, decoding, and execution produces added speed.

Another feature which speeds processing is memory interleaving. Memory in this scheme is split into several modules, for example, four modules for four-way interleaving. Consecutive addresses are located in different modules so that four locations in sequence can be fetched at once. Interleaving can also be combined with look-ahead.

In multiprocessing, more than one central processing unit is present. Operations occur in parallel, similar to the parallel operations we discussed with the use of data channels.

Another innovation is the use of high-speed buffer memory to speed processing. A fast central processing unit is connected to a high-speed semiconductor memory with a cycle time of, say, 90 nanoseconds. This memory may be smaller than regular storage (tens of thousands of characters versus hundreds of thousands or millions of characters of main memory). Main memory is slower than the buffer; it might consist of core storage with a 1-microsecond cycle time. All computations are carried in buffer memory; the hardware automatically moves programs and data from main memory to the buffer cache memory when needed. Core memory is assigned to a certain sector of cache memory. When a program or data is needed for main memory, hardware logic checks to see if it is in its buffer segment. If it is, computation proceeds; if not, the present contents of the buffer are written back to core memory (if the data in the sector has changed since it was loaded). The part of core required is now copied into the buffer sector. If there are few access references to main memory, execution will proceed at a speed near the cycle time of the high-speed buffer memory.

We shall postpone the discussion of another innovation, virtual memory, until the next chapter, since it involves software. All the features described here are invisible to a programmer; they are accomplished by hardware without explicit instructions from a program.

These approaches to hardware architecture have been designed to improve operations, that is, to gain speed at a reasonable cost. Many different approaches to the design of computers have been implemented, and the concepts discussed in this chapter should provide a good background for understanding specific design decisions.

## **MINICOMPUTERS**

One of the fastest-growing segments of the computer industry is the manufacture, sale, and support of minicomputers. A precise definition of minicomputers is hard to find: basically they are low-cost computers featuring a small word size, say, less than or equal to 18 bits. In their early days, minis tended to be highly

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 7.3

A major bank, Eastern National, centralized all of its information-processing activities when third-generation computers were first installed. The prevailing argument in the industry at that time was that "economies of scale" justified centralization. Each larger member of a computer manufacturer's family provided more processing power per dollar. That is, moving from one machine to the next most powerful in the line might increase costs by 30 percent while processing power increased by 1 1/2 times. Thus, it made economic sense from the standpoint of hardware rentals to have a few large machines rather than many small machines located in different areas.

What do you think the disadvantages of centralization might be for Eastern National Bank? Are there other considerations beyond hardware cost which might enter into an analysis of centralization?

Currently, Eastern has reversed its trend toward centralization. A computer department spokesman said, "Now, with the availability of cheap minicomputers, the arguments for centralization are no longer valid. It is better to have each user develop applications for a dedicated minicomputer. Some day we will tie all the different minicomputers together. Right now, we can be more responsive to the user this way."

Are there management considerations which should be explored in Eastern's new approach, known in the industry as "distributed processing"? What technical problems might the proliferation of minicomputers in the bank create?

specialized; they had limited software and I/O devices. However, the power of the minicomputer has expanded to the point where now these machines overlap some models of general-purpose computer lines, both in price and capabilities. Also, some minicomputers have become more general purpose in nature. As a result, the line separating minicomputers from conventional general-purpose computers is indistinct.

Advances in the fabrication of circuit components have drastically reduced the cost of logic and memory. A fabrication process known as large-scale integration (LSI) has resulted in small circuit chips containing over a thousand components. Such processes have reduced the cost per computer logic function from the \$10 range of early circuits to the \$0.01 range of large-scale integration, allowing the development of very fast minicomputers.

Historically, minis were applied to a single task; often they were used in process-control applications. Minicomputers often feature programming packages; their low cost extends computers to many users who are too small to afford a large, general-purpose system. A large market for minicomputer peripherals, such as printers, input devices, and disks, has developed. Minicomputers have been applied so widely that it is impossible to describe all their uses. Some have been used to build small, dedicated time-sharing systems. Others form the basis for intelligent terminals; for example, one dynamic CRT is a TV set controlled by a minicomputer. Minis have been applied to all types of process control from oil refineries to shipboard processing for yacht racing. Minicomputers are also

programmed as concentrators for on-line systems and as communications processors. Some advocates of minicomputers suggest the development of distributed networks where a number of minicomputers are connected, each dedicated to a particular task.

Microcomputers represent a further advance in technology. Very inexpensive logic is fabricated into a small processor. A minicomputer can be manufactured by tying several microcomputers together. Microcomputers also can be applied directly, for example, to automobile fuel injection systems, or the circuits of a calculator.

## **FUTURE TRENDS**

During the three-plus generations of computer hardware, we have seen many advances. The cost per computation has dropped tremendously over the last 20 years. Desk-top computers are available with more power than large machines of less than two decades ago. What do we expect from technology in the future? (See Turn, 1974.)

First, further dramatic improvements in raw speed are going to be hard to achieve. The speed of electronic signals is limited by the speed of light and we are beginning to approach this barrier. However, we can expect further reductions in cost as fabrication techniques improve.

In the area of storage, larger direct-access devices will become available at reduced costs per character. As logic circuits are fabricated less expensively, more intelligence will be added to devices outside of the central processing unit.

## **MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 7.4**

Judy Sullivan was irate. Her bills from the information services department seemed to increase by 10 percent a month. Judy is the manager of accounting for Good Foods, a large chain of retail grocery stores. The firm operates a number of computer systems and many applications are in the accounting area. The company charges users for all computer applications based on standard rates set at the beginning of the year. The rates are estimated so that the computer center will be a zero cost center at the end of the year. Judy's increased bills, she was told, represented greater computer usage.

However, Judy was not mollified by the explanation. She said, "we have added one new computer after another. Everything I read says that computer power is getting cheaper. If this is true, why are my computer costs becoming more expensive all the time?"

The information services department indicated that there were offsetting trends which raised costs. "Of course," they said, "we justify new equipment on the grounds that it will save money. However, there is just more work and there are other costs besides equipment."

What are the costs of a computer operation? Why could Judy Sullivan's costs increase while the cost per computation for computers in general is dropping?

We can expect "intelligent" controllers, possibly including data-base management systems resident in a peripheral device instead of in a main memory. Better communications processors will be developed in response to the growth of on-line systems. As standard functions are developed they will be placed in firmware; that is, computers will use microprogramming to gain speed over software programming. Processors using microprogramming will be developed to execute higher-level languages directly, without requiring translation into machine language (see Chapter 8).

There will also be advances in machine architecture. We may find content-addressable memories in which we can retrieve and store data on the basis of the nature of the data instead of assigning memory addresses. There will also be a trend toward more connection among computers to form networks. Newer machines will be more fault-tolerant; they will be able to reorganize themselves to isolate a malfunctioning component and continue processing. In summary, we expect to see continued advances which reduce the hardware cost per computation.

## KEY WORDS

|                     |                          |                            |
|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Analog              | Fetch cycle              | Modem                      |
| Asynchronous        | Firmware                 | Multiplexer                |
| Audio response      | Frequency modulation     | Multiprogramming           |
| Base                | Full duplex              | Nanosecond                 |
| Baud                | Generations of computers | Nonimpact                  |
| Binary              | Graphics                 | Nondestructive readout     |
| Buffer              | Half duplex              | OCR                        |
| Cache               | Hardware                 | Parallel                   |
| CPU                 | Impact printer           | Primary memory             |
| CRT                 | Index register           | Register                   |
| Concentrator        | Indirect address         | Secondary storage          |
| Content addressable | Input/output             | Semiconductor memory       |
| Core                | Intelligence             | Simplex                    |
| Controller          | Instruction set          | Software                   |
| Cycle time          | Location counter         | Storage-address register   |
| Data channel        | Look-ahead               | Storage-buffer register    |
| Data set            | MICR                     | Synchronous                |
| Digital             | Mark sense               | Tape                       |
| Disk                | Microfilm                | Time-division multiplexing |
| Direct access       | Microprogram             | Volatile storage           |
| Drum                | Microsecond              |                            |
| Execution cycle     | Minicomputer             |                            |

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

Bartee, T. C.: *Digital Computer Fundamentals*, 4th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977.  
(Contains a great deal of information about computer hardware, especially input/output devices.)

- Cardenas, A., L. Presser, and N. Marin (eds.): *Computer Science*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1972. (See especially the chapter by D. F. Calhoun on memory technology.)
- Hellerman, H.: *Digital Computer Systems Principles*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967. (This book, while somewhat technical, contains an excellent description of computer processing.)
- Martin, J.: *Telecommunications and the Computer*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1969. (A thorough explanation of the technology and problems of telecommunications.)
- Rosin, R.: "Contemporary Concepts of Microprogramming and Emulation," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 1, no. 4, December 1969, pp. 197-212. (This somewhat difficult article describes microprogramming through the development of a hypothetical computer and instruction set. It is a very clear explanation of the concepts behind microprogramming and emulation.)
- Watson, R. W.: *Timesharing System Design Concepts*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970. (About the development of a time-sharing system, with one particular computer as an illustrative example.)
- Yourdon, E.: *Design of On-Line Computer Systems*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1972. (A complete description of the design considerations for on-line systems.)

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 Why have electronic computers replaced electronic accounting machinery? What are the advantages of electronic computers?
- 2 Distinguish between computer hardware and software. With which is a manager most concerned?
- 3 What is the function of primary memory in the computer? How does it interact with the CPU?
- 4 Many data channels steal cycles from the central processing unit to access primary memory. For what purpose does the data channel access memory?
- 5 What is the purpose of a control unit? Could the same control unit control more than one type of device?
- 6 Why was conversion from first- to second-generation computers not much of a problem?
- 7 Why is it difficult to convert machine language for one computer to machine language for another?
- 8 What is the advantage of a data channel? How much logic must it contain?
- 9 Buffers are locations in memory reserved for storing data, for example, a group of data being entered from a card reader. How could multiple buffers be used to speed input/output operations?
- 10 What is the advantage of semiconductor over core memory? What are its disadvantages?
- 11 There are some very large storage devices using lasers to burn holes in a special tape, the holes representing 0s or 1s. What are the disadvantages of such a device? For what applications is it best suited?
- 12 Why is the binary system suitable to computer operations?
- 13 Develop an addition table for binary arithmetic.
- 14 What are the differences between a character orientation versus a word orientation?

for a computer? Which would you expect to be the faster? For which types of processing is each better suited?

- 15 Why did the distinction between business and scientific computers tend to disappear with third-generation machines?
- 16 Write a simple program in a hypothetical machine language to compute the average of a 100 numbers. What is the advantage of an index register in this assignment?
- 17 What two major items are stored in main memory? How can we distinguish between them?
- 18 What is the advantage of having more than one address in an instruction?
- 19 Why is reading from core memory destructive? What are the problems in rewriting the data?
- 20 What are the functions of the sense and inhibit lines in core memory?
- 21 Take an instruction from the 1130 in Table 7-3 and explain how it is executed in detail by the CPU.
- 22 What is the advantage of having floating-point arithmetic registers? How do we provide the capability for floating-point arithmetic operations without hardware? What fundamental tradeoffs does this problem suggest?
- 23 What is the purpose of address modification?
- 24 Some computers have many register-to-register operations. What is the advantage of this capability?
- 25 For what are program loops used?
- 26 How do you suppose indirect addressing is used to link program modules together?
- 27 The presence of floating-point hardware increases the cost of the machine because of the additional circuitry and registers. What else has to be added?
- 28 How does multiprogramming help provide flexibility in the design of computer systems?
- 29 Why would we use microprogramming to make one computer look and act like another one?
- 30 Compare and contrast translators, simulators, and emulators as means for conversion from one type of machine to another.
- 31 Why is a computer manufacturer interested in compatibility within its own line of machines? Does a manufacturer want to be compatible with the computers of other manufacturers? What are the advantages and disadvantages of such a strategy?
- 32 What is a purpose of the location counter in the CPU? How do you suppose a branch instruction (GO TO) in the program is executed?
- 33 What is firmware? What are its advantages and disadvantages compared with software?
- 34 Why is an interrupt facility preferable to testing for a channel being busy?
- 35 What are the reasons for having secondary storage? Why not just add more primary memory?
- 36 How would the development of direct-access memory technology like core, but as inexpensive as tape, change information system processing?
- 37 What are the disadvantages of secondary storage?
- 38 Why is there such a mismatch between input/output and internal computer speeds? How can this mismatch be reduced? What I/O units are helping to solve this problem?
- 39 Why should we not necessarily be overjoyed with the development of ultra-high-speed printers?
- 40 What are the advantages and disadvantages of punched card input?
- 41 Why has paper tape been used frequently as an input medium for minicomputers?

- 42 What is the advantage of OCR over mark sensing? What are its disadvantages?
- 43 What is the advantage of a nonimpact CRT terminal from a user's standpoint?
- 44 What factors underlie the trend toward on-line systems?
- 45 What would be the major use for voice input to computers if it were perfected?
- 46 What are the advantages and disadvantages of storage-tube terminals versus dynamic graphics terminals?
- 47 Why does high-speed data transmission require special "conditioned" lines?
- 48 What is the advantage of full-duplex transmission?
- 49 Several communications carriers offer digital transmission circuits. What are the advantages of these circuits?
- 50 Why have special design features been added to CPUs?
- 51 What is the advantage of a buffer cache memory? Under what conditions would its performance be best? Worst?
- 52 How are minicomputers extending computer power to small users? What problems do they create?
- 53 What are the advantages of connecting different computers to form a network? In what situations would this be most helpful?

## PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

- A Simple Computer

- Machine-Language Programming

- Assembly Language

- High-Level Languages

- Special-Purpose Languages

## PACKAGE PROGRAMS

- Background

- Examples

## OPERATING SYSTEMS

- Early Systems

- The Third Generation

- Evolutionary Advances

## TRENDS

## KEY WORDS

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# Computer Software

Why do managers need to know anything about computer programs and software? Because users help design systems, it is important for them to understand which programming tasks are easy and which are difficult. Should a packaged program be used for a particular application? There has been considerable difficulty in turning system specifications into working programs, and we have encountered serious problems in writing and managing program development. A basic understanding of software helps a user make intelligent management decisions about programming and project management. Finally, a manager may actually write simple programs or supervise a subordinate developing a small decision-support system, as we discuss in Chapter 16.

In this chapter, we explore computer programs and languages along with different types of operating systems and packaged programs. Table 8-1 shows that the different hardware generations discussed in the last chapter can also be characterized by differences in computer software. (Remember we defined software as the instructions that tell a computer what actions to take.) In this chapter, we shall discuss these different types of software.

**Table 8-1 Software Generations**

| <b>Generation</b>  | <b>Software</b>   |
|--------------------|---|
| First              | Machine language<br>Assembly language   |
| Second             | Assembly language<br>Higher-level languages<br>Batch operating systems<br>Dedicated on-line systems<br>Experimental time sharing  |
| Third              | Preponderance of higher-level languages<br>Expansion of packaged systems<br>Operating system mandatory<br>Mixed on-line and batch applications<br>Virtual-memory time-sharing systems |
| Third-and-one-half | Expanded operating systems<br>Virtual-memory batch systems<br>Batch, on-line, and time sharing mixed<br>Data-base and communication packages  |

## **PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES**

### **A Simple Computer**

In the last chapter, we saw that binary representation is the fundamental language of computers; however, binary is difficult for people to use. Since the number base is not relevant to the concepts discussed below, we shall design a simple computer using base-10, or decimal, numbers.

In designing our computer, we must:

- 1 Select a character or word organization.
- 2 Define a character set.
- 3 Determine memory size.
- 4 Decide on the instruction set and data format.
- 5 Determine the type and number of arithmetic and other registers.
- 6 Define the instruction set.
- 7 Choose a machine base (we have already chosen decimal).

We shall design a fixed-word-length computer with six digits per word to simplify some of our other tasks. Since we are developing a very simple machine, we use only decimal numbers for our character set; there will be no alphabetic characters. Furthermore, the computer will perform arithmetic on numbers in the same code as the input/output representation of these numbers.

Remember that memory contains both data and instructions so we must also define the format for each. The numbers in our computer will be signed integers with up to six digits, for example +173426 or -421376. Again because our computer is very simple, we shall limit the size of primary memory to 99

locations, numbered 1 through 99. (For a word size of six digits, normally the computer would have a much larger memory; to maintain simplicity our machine will be limited to 99 locations.)

An instruction consists of four digits; the first two are an operation code and the last two are the operand. The format is XXYY where XX is the two-digit instruction and YY the address of the operand. Since we are limiting the size of memory to 99 locations, we need only two decimal locations to address all of memory. Note also that we have designed a single-address machine.

Since the machine is very simple we shall have only one register which can be accessed by a program. Of course, there is an instruction register, a location counter, and so forth, but these registers are a part of the CPU and do not concern a programmer. The accessible register is for arithmetic, and we shall call it the A register. The A register will be capable of addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division, making it a general-purpose arithmetic register.

Instructions which call for arithmetic operations such as add and subtract have one address for an operand in memory. The other number needed for the computation is assumed to be in the A register; that is, the A register is the implied address for these instructions. This assumption and method of performing computations is necessary since we are designing a single-address machine. We also have a condition indicator which can be tested by several instructions to make a decision about transferring to some other part of a program.

The instruction set for this simple computer is shown in Table 8-2. There are instructions to perform arithmetic and to test for various conditions and then transfer to another part of the program, as well as simple input/output instructions.

### Machine-Language Programming

Table 8-3 contains a short program written for our simple computer in machine language. The program is designed to add a series of numbers and print their sum. The bottom half of Table 8-3 is a map of memory. Because our computer is so simple we have no provisions in the instruction set for writing a constant in the program. Every number has to be input to the program during execution. In location 99 we input and store the constant which will be used as a counter to see how many numbers we have added.

Location 98 contains another input from the user—the number of items to be added. Location 97 is a counter; that is, the program will use this location to total the number of items added so far, such as a 2 for two numbers, a 3 for three numbers, and so forth. In location 96 we keep the sum as it is accumulated. Finally, the next number to be added is stored in location 95.

The program begins in location 1 and requires 16 memory locations for all its instructions. The program first reads and stores the number 1. It then subtracts 1 from itself, giving zero, which is stored to initialize the counter. Next, the program reads the number of items to be added and stores it in location 98. The user of the program supplies this input.

Our strategy in the program is to add each number to the sum that has been

**Table 8-2 Instruction Set for a Simple Computer**

| Operation code         | Instruction  |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
|------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| 01                     | Subtract the contents of the memory location addressed from the contents of the A register.  |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 02                     | Add the contents of the memory location addressed to the contents of the A register.   |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 03                     | Multiply the contents of the A register by the contents of the memory location addressed. The product is in the A register.  |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 04                     | Divide the contents of the A register by the contents of the memory location addressed. The quotient is in the A register.   |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 05                     | Store the contents of the A register in the memory location addressed. (The contents of the memory location are replaced by the contents of the A register.)   |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 06                     | Load the A register with the contents of the memory location addressed. (The contents of the A register are replaced by the contents of the memory location addressed.)  |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 07                     | Print on the teletypewriter the contents of the memory location addressed.   |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 08                     | Read a number entered from the teletypewriter and place it in the memory location addressed. (The user is prompted with the word "INPUT" before entering the data.)  |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 09                     | The contents of the A register are compared with the contents of the memory location addressed, and a condition code indicator is set as follows:  |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
|                        | <table><tr><th>Contents of A register</th><th>Contents of memory location</th><th>Condition code</th></tr><tr><td>&gt;</td><td></td><td>+</td></tr><tr><td>=</td><td></td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>&lt;</td><td></td><td>-</td></tr></table> | Contents of A register | Contents of memory location | Condition code | > |  | + | = |  | 0 | < |  | - |
| Contents of A register | Contents of memory location  | Condition code         |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| >                      |  | +                      |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| =                      |  | 0                      |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| <                      |  | -                      |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 10                     | Jump to the memory location addressed and execute that instruction next if the condition code is negative. If not, continue to execute the next instruction in sequence.   |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 11                     | Jump to the memory location addressed and execute that instruction next if the condition code is zero. If not, continue to execute the next instruction in sequence.   |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 12                     | Jump to the memory location addressed and execute that instruction next if the condition code is positive. If not, continue to execute the next instruction in sequence.   |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 13                     | Jump to the memory location addressed and execute that instruction next unconditionally.   |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 14                     | Stop executing the program.  |                        |                             |                |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |

**Table 8-3    A Program to Add a Series of Numbers**

| Instruction address | Operation code | Memory location | Comment  |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| 1                   | 08             | 99              | Read a number (must be 1) into memory location 99                                  |
| 2                   | 08             | 98              | Read the number of items to be added into location 98                              |
| 3                   | 06             | 99              | Load the A register with the 1 in location 99                                      |
| 4                   | 01             | 99              | Subtract the 1 from itself to get 0  |
| 5                   | 05             | 97              | Store the 0 in location 97 to be a counter   |
| 6                   | 08             | 95              | Read a number to be added into location 95   |
| 7                   | 02             | 95              | Add the new number to the A register   |
| 8                   | 05             | 96              | Store the sum in location 96   |
| 9                   | 06             | 97              | Load the counter into the A register   |
| 10                  | 02             | 99              | Add 1 to the counter   |
| 11                  | 05             | 97              | Store the incremented counter back in location 97                                  |
| 12                  | 09             | 98              | Compare the A register with location 98  |
| 13                  | 06             | 96              | Load the A register with the sum so far from location 96                           |
| 14                  | 10             | 06              | Jump to location 06 if the A register is less than the number of items to be added |
| 15                  | 07             | 96              | Write the sum from location 96   |
| 16                  | 14             | 99              | Halt   |

**Memory map**

| Location | Contents                        |
|----------|---------------------------------|
| C 99     | 1                               |
| N 98     | Number of items to be added     |
| I 97     | Counter of numbers added so far |
| S 96     | Sum of numbers so far           |
| A 95     | The next number to be added     |

accumulated so far, increase a counter by 1, and compare it with the number of items to be added. When the program has added this many numbers, it prints the results and stops. Until it has reached this total, the program loops back and picks up the next input item to be added. This loop is between the instructions stored at locations 6 and 14.

Each number to be added is read into location 95 and added to the A register. (The first time through, the A register is at zero because it has just been initialized. On subsequent passes through the program, the A register contains

Table 8-4 Execution of the Program, that is, Table 8-3 on a Simulated Version of the Simple Decimal Computer

```
GET--SIMCOM
RUN
SIMCOM

GSB SIMULATED DECIMAL COMPUTER. DO YOU WANT INSTRUCTIONS?
PLEASE RESPOND Y FOR YES, N FOR NO FOLLOWED BY A CARRIAGE RETURN.
?N
ENTER EACH INSTRUCTION IN YOUR PROGRAM ON A LINE FOLLOWED
BY A CARRIAGE RETURN.
?899
?898
?699
?199
?597
?895
?295
?596
?697
?299
?597
?998
?696
?1006
?796
?1499
?1500
THE PROGRAM IS
899
898
699
199
597
895
295
596
697
299
597
998
696
1006
796
1499
DO YOU WANT A BEFORE (B) AND AFTER (A) PROGRAM TRACE?(Y OR N)
?Y
FORMAT OF TRACE IS: INSTRUCTION ADDRESS, INSTRUCTION, DATA ADDRESS,
MEMORY CONTENTS, A REGISTER CONTENTS.

      I ADD  INST DT ADD MEMRY AREG

B      1      8      99      0      0
INPUT
?1
A              1      0

B      2      8      98      0      0
INPUT
?2
A              2      0

B      3      6      99      1      0
A              1      1

B      4      1      99      1      1
A              1      0

B      5      5      97      0      0
A              0      0
```

|  |    |    |    |     |     |
|--|----|----|----|-----|-----|
| B  | 6  | 8  | 95 | 0   | 0   |
| INPUT ?250                                     |    |    |    |     |     |
| A  |    |    |    | 250 | 0   |
| B  | 7  | 2  | 95 | 250 | 0   |
| A  |    |    |    | 250 | 250 |
| B  | 8  | 5  | 96 | 0   | 250 |
| A  |    |    |    | 250 | 250 |
| B  | 9  | 6  | 97 | 0   | 250 |
| A  |    |    |    | 0   | 0   |
| B  | 10 | 2  | 99 | 1   | 0   |
| A  |    |    |    | 1   | 1   |
| B  | 11 | 5  | 97 | 0   | 1   |
| A  |    |    |    | 1   | 1   |
| B  | 12 | 9  | 98 | 2   | 1   |
| A  |    |    |    | 2   | 1   |
| B  | 13 | 6  | 96 | 250 | 1   |
| A  |    |    |    | 250 | 250 |
| B  | 14 | 10 | 6  | 895 | 250 |
| A  |    |    |    | 895 | 250 |
| INPUT ?150                                     |    |    |    |     |     |
| A  |    |    |    | 150 | 250 |
| B  | 7  | 2  | 95 | 150 | 250 |
| A  |    |    |    | 150 | 400 |
| B  | 8  | 5  | 96 | 250 | 400 |
| A  |    |    |    | 400 | 400 |
| B  | 9  | 6  | 97 | 1   | 400 |
| A  |    |    |    | 1   | 1   |
| B  | 10 | 2  | 99 | 1   | 1   |
| A  |    |    |    | 1   | 2   |
| B  | 11 | 5  | 97 | 1   | 2   |
| A  |    |    |    | 2   | 2   |
| B  | 12 | 9  | 98 | 2   | 2   |
| A  |    |    |    | 2   | 2   |
| B  | 13 | 6  | 96 | 400 | 2   |
| A  |    |    |    | 400 | 400 |
| B  | 14 | 10 | 6  | 895 | 400 |
| A  |    |    |    | 895 | 400 |
| B  | 15 | 7  | 96 | 400 | 400 |
| 400<br>A                                       |    |    |    | 400 | 400 |
| B  | 16 | 14 | 99 | 1   | 400 |
| DO YOU WANT TO EXECUTE PROGRAM AGAIN (Y OR N)? |    |    |    |     |     |
| ?N   |    |    |    |     |     |
| DONE   |    |    |    |     |     |

the total sum accumulated so far.) The counter is then incremented, stored, and compared with the number of items to be added from location 98. The comparison instruction is located at address 12, and this sets the condition code. Before testing the condition code, we use the instruction at location 13 to load the sum accumulated so far into the A register. The instruction in location 14 transfers control to the beginning of the loop, that is, the instruction located at location 6, if the counter does not equal the number of items to be added yet. If we loop back the sum so far is ready in the A register for the next number. If we are done, the final sum is in the A register to be printed by the next-to-last instruction. Finally, the last instruction halts the program.

Table 8-4 shows the execution of this program by a time-sharing system which simulates our simple computer. The program prints before and after traces as each instruction is executed, showing the contents of the A register and the memory location referenced (B = before and A = after in Table 8-4).<sup>1</sup> Remember that each instruction is executed in sequence unless we explicitly tell the computer to begin taking instructions from another location, as we do in the jump at location 14. Study the execution of this program carefully.

### Assembly Language

One problem with machine language is remembering the operation codes and their numbers. For example, an add instruction is the number 2 and a subtract the number 1 in our simple computer. The first improvement to be made in this machine language is to substitute mnemonics for the operation codes. We would like to be able to write instructions of the form ADD, SUBTRACT, etc. Table 8-5 contains three-letter mnemonics for our simple decimal computer machine language.

The next aid in writing programs is to use symbols instead of address locations for data. In other words, we would like to introduce algebraic variables such as X, Y, and PAY. This enhancement involves more than just replacing a number with a group of alphabetic characters as we did with the operation code. We also want to give responsibility for memory management to the programming language. We would like to refer to a variable such as X without being concerned over where X is actually stored in memory. It is also desirable to have something called a statement label, a variable that labels the statement so that control can be transferred to the labeled instruction from some other place in a program.

The simple program of Table 8-3 is written in this new assembly language in Table 8-6. It is certainly much easier to write and understand the program in Table 8-6. What must be done to enable the computer to understand the assembly language? The computer is able to process machine language; it can execute the program of Table 8-3 directly. Unfortunately, the computer does not understand the program of Table 8-6.

The answer to our problem is to write a program in machine language, the language of Table 8-2, to translate the assembly language in Table 8-6 into

<sup>1</sup>A real computer would not type the trace or "input" without additional system software. Our simple computer has been designed with extra features for pedagogical purposes.


**Table 8-5 Mnemonic Instructions for Simple Computer Example**

| Operation code | Mnemonic |
|----------------|----------|
| 01             | SUB      |
| 02             | ADD      |
| 03             | MLT      |
| 04             | DIV      |
| 05             | STA      |
| 06             | LDA      |
| 07             | WRT      |
| 08             | RDD      |
| 09             | CMP      |
| 10             | JLT      |
| 11             | JEQ      |
| 12             | JGT      |
| 13             | JMP      |
| 14             | HLT      |

machine language. The language of Table 8-6 is called assembly language, and the program to translate it into machine language is called an “assembler.” In general, an assembler produces one machine language instruction for each assembly language instruction in the program. Figure 8-1 illustrates the assembly process. The input to the translator is known as the “source language,” and the output is the “object program.”

**Table 8-6 The Program of Table 8-3 in Simple Assembly Language**

| Label | Operation code | Operand | Comment  |
|-------|----------------|---------|--|
|       | RDD            | C       | Read a number (must be 1) as variable C  |
|       | RDD            | N       | Read the number of items to be added into variable N   |
|       | LDA            | C       | Load the A register with the 1 in variable C   |
|       | SUB            | C       | Subtract the 1 from itself to get 0  |
|       | STA            | I       | Store the 0 in I, a counter  |
|       | RDD            | A       | Read a number to be added into A   |
|       | ADD            | A       | Add the new number to the A register   |
|       | STA            | S       | Store the sum in S   |
|       | LDA            | I       | Load the counter I into the A register   |
|       | ADD            | C       | Add 1 to the counter   |
|       | STA            | I       | Store the incremented counter back in I  |
|       | CMP            | N       | Compare the A register with the number of items to be added, N                                       |
|       | LDA            | S       | Load the A register with the sum so far, S   |
|       | JLT            | B       | Go to instruction labeled B if the A register contents are less than the number of items to be added |
|       | WRT            | S       | Write the sum, S   |
|       | HLT            | C       | Halt   |



We write the assembler in machine language. The plan is to scan the source program in assembly language twice from beginning to end; that is, we shall write a “two-pass” assembler. For example, the input might be on tape which is read once, rewound, and read again. On the first pass, the assembler constructs a symbol table in memory of the symbols used, for example, X and Y. The assembler also places the location of statement labels in this table. The assembler looks up in a table in memory similar to Table 8-5 the mnemonics for subtract, add, etc., and substitutes the decimal operation codes of 1, 2, etc.

Before the second pass through the input, the assembler processes the symbol table and assigns memory locations to each symbol. It might place the symbols in order alphabetically or in the order encountered in the program, for example, C at location 99, N at location 98, etc. On the second pass through the input, the assembler substitutes the assigned locations for the symbols. It also places the address of the statement label wherever it encounters the label in the address field of the instruction.

The object program is complete at the end of the second pass of the assembler. During the assembly it is written on an output device, such as a tape. When ready to be run, the object program is loaded from the tape, and execution begins.

Unfortunately, we cannot actually write an assembler for our simple decimal computer because we have a limited character set: there are no alphabetic characters. However, we can add this capability with a slight redesign in the computer. (What changes would be needed?)

Assemblers have been developed with more features than the one in the example above. One of the most useful extensions is a macro capability, with which the programmer defines a series of steps called a “macro definition.” For example, a program might define a macro to print a particular legend on a report. Every program wishing to use this macro issues a macro call. When the assembler encounters the macro call, it inserts the statements from the macro definition directly into the program. This feature makes it possible to avoid the manual repetition of duplicate sections of codes. An installation might write and make available a series of macros or provide macros written by the vendor for general use by all its programmers. Examples of these macros are routines for input and output or to compute dates.

A basic macro capability can be expanded to include parameters; that is, the program can pass variables to the macro through dummy arguments (the same principle behind the subroutine in a higher-level language). More extensive macro packages include the ability to nest macro calls, that is, to have a macro call within another macro call.

An assembler saves programming effort by assuming some of the more tedious programming tasks. The addition of macro capabilities greatly extends assembly language and further eases programming. However, with assembly language we are still very close to machine-language programming, and, with the exception of macros, it is still necessary to write one assembly language instruction for each machine-language instruction generated.

## Higher-Level Languages

Higher-level languages make the computer easier to program and extend the use of computers to more individuals. The most significant of these languages appeared around 1957 and is called FORTRAN for FORMula TRANslation. This language is designed to facilitate the use of computers by scientists and engineers and is well suited to solving mathematically oriented problems on the computer. With FORTRAN we can write a complex formula in one statement, for example,  $X = (A+B)*(C-D)/E$ .

An assembly-language program to accomplish this computation is shown in Table 8-7. The assembly-language version requires eight instructions compared with a single line for the FORTRAN statement. For many problem solvers, particularly nonprofessional programmers, a higher-level language eases the conceptualization of program structure. A complete FORTRAN program is given in Table 8-8.

A number of other higher-level languages has been developed. Sammet describes about 120 of these basic languages, though only a few are in heavy use (Sammet, 1969). BASIC is a language very similar to FORTRAN except that it was designed for time sharing. APL is a very powerful time-sharing language which closely resembles mathematical notation; see Table 8-9 for an example of an APL program and its execution at a terminal. COBOL (COMmon Business-Oriented Language) was developed to facilitate programming for business applications. An example of a COBOL program may be found in Table 8-10. This language features good data editing and input/output instructions, which are important in business programming. FORTRAN, in contrast, has rather limited character-handling and I/O capabilities. Most commercial programs in the United States are written in COBOL, whereas most scientific computing employs FORTRAN.

**Table 8-7 An Assembly-Language Program for the FORTRAN Statement  $X = (A + B)*(C - D)/E$**

| Program | Comment                               |
|---------|---------------------------------------|
| LDA A   | Load A into A register                |
| ADD B   | Add B to A register                   |
| STA T   | Store the sum in a temporary location |
| LDA C   | Load C into the A register            |
| SUB D   | Subtract D from the A register        |
| DIV E   | Divide the results by E               |
| MLT T   | Multiply the results by T             |
| STA X   | Store the final result in X           |

```

C*****
C* RATE OF RETURN PROGRAM
C* CALCULATES THE RATE OF RETURN WHEN INITIAL INVESTMENT AND CASH
C* FLOWS ARE KNOWN. ASSUMPTIONS ARE:
C* -THE ENTIRE INVESTMENT IS MADE AT ONE TIME AT BEGINNING
C* -CASH FLOW IS NET CASH SAVINGS FOR A PERIOD(DAY, WEEK, MONTH, OR YEAR)
C* -CASH FLOW FOR EACH PERIOD IS INPUT WITH LIMIT OF 100 CASH FLOWS
C* -ERROR IF RATE OF RETURN NEGATIVE OR GREATER THAN 80 PERCENT
C* CARD INPUT-
C* -FIRST CARD--PROBLEM NO IN COLS 1-10 RIGHT JUSTIFIED
C*          --NUMBER OF CASH FLOWS IN COLS 18-20 RIGHT JUSTIFIED
C*          --SUM INVESTED IN COLS 21-30 INPUT AS F10.2
C* -SUCEEDING CARDS--CASH FLOWS EIGHT PER CARD IN FIELDS OF F9.2
C* AUTHOR-ALISON DAVIS
C* DATE WRITTEN-2/17/76
C*****
C
C*****
C* DESCRIPTION OF VARIABLE NAMES
C* BRATE-LOWER VALUE IN TRIAL AND ERROR CALCULATIONS, INITIAL VALUE 0
C* CASH-CASH FLOWS AS AN ARRAY
C* NFLOWS-NUMBER OF PERIODS OF CASH FLOWS.
C* NTRIAL NUMBER OF TRIALS BEFORE CLOSE-ENOUGH RESULT OBTAINED
C* NUMPRO-PROBLEM NUMBER
C* PVC-PRESENT VALUE OF CASH FLOWS USING TRIAL RATE
C* RATE-RATE OF RETURN
C* URATE-UPPER RATE FOR TRIAL AND ERROR CALCULATIONS, INITIAL VALUE 80
C* VESTMT-INVESTMENT
C* VSUM-CASH FLOW ACCUMULATOR FOR DATA VALIDATION
C*****
C
C*****
C* DIMENSION-AND-INITIALIZE FOR INTIAL TRIAL
C*****
      DIMENSION CASH (100)
      URATE = .80
      BRATE = .0
      RATE = .40
C
C*****
C* 100 INPUT AND INPUT VALIDATION. ERROR OUTPUT FOR NEGATIVE RETURN
C* OR RETURN GREATER THAN 80 PERCENT.
C*****
      100 READ(1, 900) NUMPRO, NFLOWS, VESTMT
      900 FORMAT (2I10, F10.2)
      READ (1, 910) (CASH(I), I=1, NFLOWS)
      910 FORMAT(8F9.2)
C
C          *TEST FOR CASH FLOWS GR THAN INVESTMENT
      VSUM = 0
      DO 110 I=1, NFLOWS
        VSUM = VSUM + CASH(I)
      110 CONTINUE
      IF (VSUM .LE. VESTMT) WRITE (3, 915) VSUM, VESTMT
      915 FORMAT (14H CASH FLOWS OF ,F10.2, 18H AND INVESTMENT OF ,F10.2,
        .- 21H MAKE NEGATIVE RETURN )
      IF (VSUM .LE. VESTMT) STOP
C
C          *TEST FOR RETURN GREATER THAN 80 PERCENT
      PVC = 0
      DO 120 I=1, NFLOWS
        PVC = PVC + CASH(I)/(1.80**I)

```

```

120 CONTINUE
    IF(PVC.GT. VESTMT) WRITE (3,920)
920 FORMAT (39H RATE OF RETURN GREATER THAN 80 PERCENT)
    IF (PVC .GT. VESTMT) STOP
                                *ELSE CONTINUE BECAUSE DATA IN VALID
C
C
C*****
C* 200 PERFORM COMPUTATION TO OBTAIN RATE OF RETURN USING TRIAL RATE. **
C* RATE ADJUSTED AND COMPUTATION REPEATED UNTIL RATE CLOSE ENOUGH. **
C* CLOSE ENOUGH IS ABSOLUTE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN INVESTMENT AND PRESENT **
C* VALUE OF CASH FLOWS NOT MORE THAN .001 AS FRACTION OF INVESTMENT. **
C* IF CLOSE ENOUGH NOT OBTAINED BY 100 TRIALS, STOP PROCESSING AND **
C* GIVE MESSAGE **
C*****
200 DO 220 ITRIAL=1,100
    NTRIAL = ITRIAL
    PVC = 0
    DO 210 I=1,NFLOWS
        PVC=PVC+CASH(I)/((1.0+RATE)**I)
210    CONTINUE
    DIFFR = VESTMT - PVC
    IF((ABS(DIFFR/VESTMT).LE..001).OR.(DIFFR.EQ. 0)) GO TO 300
    IF (DIFFR.LT.0)BRATE = RATE
    IF (DIFFR.GT.0)URATE = RATE
    RATE = (URATE + BRATE)/ 2.0
220 CONTINUE
                                *NORMAL LOOP EXIT MEANS RATE NOT CLOSE
                                *ENOUGH BY 100 TRIALS. WRITE MESSAGE
C
C    WRITE (3,925) DIFFR
925 FORMAT (41H TERMINATION AT 100 TRIALS. DIFFERENCE OF F10.3)
C
C*****
C* 300 PRINT RESULTS AND STOP RUN **
C*****
300 RATE = RATE * 100.0
    WRITE (3,930) NUMPRO,VESTMT,NFLOWS,NTRIAL,RATE
930 FORMAT(15H PROBLEM NUMBER I16,/ 14H INVESTMENT OF F20.2,/
-21H PERIODS OF CASH FLOW I10 / 14H NUMBER TRIALS I17 /
-15H RATE OF RETURN F19.2, 8H PERCENT //)
    WRITE(3,935)(CASH(I),I=1,NFLOWS)
935 FORMAT (11H CASH FLOWS /, (6F10.2))
    STOP
    END

```

The programming language ALGOL (ALGO<sup>r</sup>ithmic Language) is used frequently in Europe and has formed the basis for the design of one line of computers in the United States. PL/1 (Program<sup>m</sup>ing Language 1) is a language which combines the features of both COBOL and FORTRAN. This language has very rich capabilities but is also quite complex: it is not a language for the novice programmer. An example of a PL/1 program is given in Table 8-11.

How is a higher-level language translated into a machine language? Just as we might expect, the early translators for higher-level languages—called compilers—first translated a source program into assembly language and then called on an existing assembler to produce machine language. Clearly this two-stage process is time-consuming. One of the major contributions of computer science is the development of a mathematical theory of languages and a structured approach to writing compilers.

The components of a modern compiler may be broken down into a series of

\*This section can be omitted by the reader primarily interested in an overview of software.

**Table 8-9 An APL Statistical Program**

```
Beginning      ▽ STAT
of [1] 'ENTER DATA X'
Program [2] X←,[]
        [3] N←pX
        [4] MIN←L/X
        [5] MAX←[ /X
        [6] M←(+/X)÷N
        [7] SD←((+/(X-M)*2)÷N)*0.5
        [8] MED←0.5×+/(X[⍋X])[ (N+0,1)÷2]
        [9] 'NO. NUMBERS= ' ;N; ' MIN= ' ;MIN; ' MAX= ' ;MAX
        [10] 'MEAN= ' ;M; ' STD.DEV.= ' ;SD; ' COEF.OF VAR.= ' ;SD÷M
        [11] 'MEDIAN= ' ;MED
        ▽
```

```
Beginning      STAT
of ENTER DATA X
Execution □:

        1
NO. NUMBERS= 1 MIN= 1 MAX= 1
MEAN= 1 STD.DEV.= 0 COEF.OF VAR.= 0
MEDIAN= 1

        STAT
ENTER DATA X
□:

        1 2
NO. NUMBERS= 2 MIN= 1 MAX= 2
MEAN= 1.5 STD.DEV.= 0.5 COEF.OF VAR.= 0.3333333333
MEDIAN= 1.5

        STAT
ENTER DATA X
□:

        3000 6000 5000 35000 8000 60000 7000
NO. NUMBERS= 7 MIN= 3000 MAX= 60000
MEAN= 17714.28571 STD.DEV.= 20040.77476 COEF.OF VAR.= 1.131334059
MEDIAN= 7000
```

Source: H. Hellerman and I. Smith, *APL/360: Programming and Applications*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1976.

modules or stages, although some of the stages interact with each other rather than follow each other in strict sequence.

The lexical analysis component of the compiler analyzes symbols in the source language statement and identifies them. For example, the FORTRAN statement  $X = (A+B) \cdot (C-D) / E$  contains three types of symbols. First, there are the variables X, A, B, C, D, and E, and in some languages these variables could be composed of from six to thirty characters each. There are also operators, the +, \*, -, and /. Finally, there are parentheses which indicate the proper order of computations (some compilers classify parentheses with operators). Most languages have many other kinds of statements besides the computational one shown above, such as those for I/O, conditional tests (if a certain condition exists take the following action), looping, and others.

Usually lexical routines build tables and substitute codes for the symbols in the program. The mathematical field of automata theory provides an excellent

```

00001 IDENTIFICATION DIVISION.
00002 PROGRAM-ID. PAY.
00003 AUTHOR. GORDON DAVIS.
00004 REMARKS. PROGRAM TO READ HOURS-WORKED AND RATE-OF-PAY AND COMPUTE
00005 GROSS-PAY.
00006 ENVIRONMENT DIVISION.
00007 CONFIGURATION SECTION.
00008 SOURCE-COMPUTER. IBM-360.
00009 OBJECT-COMPUTER. IBM-360.
00010 INPUT-OUTPUT SECTION.
00011 FILE-CONTROL.
00012 SELECT PAYROLL-CARD-FILE ASSIGN TO SYS011-UR-2540R-S.
00013 SELECT PRINT-FILE ASSIGN TO SYS013-UR-1403-S.
00014 DATA DIVISION.
00015 FILE SECTION.
00016 FD PAYROLL-CARD-FILE LABEL RECORD IS OMITTED
00017 DATA RECORD IS PAYROLL-CARD.
00018 01 PAYROLL-CARD.
00019 02 HOURS-WORKED PICTURE IS 99.
00020 02 RATE-OF-PAY PICTURE IS 99999.
00021 02 FILLER PICTURE X(74).
00022 FD PRINT-FILE LABEL RECORD IS OMITTED DATA RECORD IS PRINT-LINE.
00023 01 PRINT-LINE.
00024 02 HOURS-PRINT PICTURE IS Z99.
00025 02 RATE-PRINT PICTURE IS Z9.999.
00026 02 GROSS-PRINT PICTURE IS $$$$.99.
00027 02 FILLER PICTURE IS X(111).
00028 WORKING-STORAGE SECTION.
00029 77 GROSS-PAY PICTURE IS 999999.
00030 77 EXCESS PICTURE IS 9999.
00031 PROCEDURE DIVISION.
00032 OPEN INPUT PAYROLL-CARD-FILE OUTPUT PRINT-FILE.
00033 PROCESS-IT. READ PAYROLL-CARD-FILE RECORD AT END GO TO FINISH.
00034 IF HOURS-WORKED IS GREATER THAN 40 GO TO OVERTIME. MULTIPLY
00035 RATE-OF-PAY BY HOURS-WORKED GIVING GROSS-PAY ROUNDED. GO TO
00036 PRINT-OUT.
00037 OVERTIME. COMPUTE EXCESS = (HOURS-WORKED - 40) * 1.5. ADD 40 TO
00038 EXCESS. MULTIPLY RATE-OF-PAY BY EXCESS GIVING GROSS-PAY
00039 ROUNDED.
00040 PRINT-OUT. MOVE SPACES TO PRINT-LINE. MOVE HOURS-WORKED TO
00041 HOURS-PRINT. MOVE RATE-OF-PAY TO RATE-PRINT. MOVE GROSS-PAY TO
00042 GROSS-PRINT. WRITE PRINT-LINE. GO TO PROCESS-IT.
00043 FINISH. CLOSE PAYROLL-CARD-FILE, PRINT-FILE. STOP RUN.

```

Source: G. Davis, *Computer Data Processing* (2d. ed.), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.

basis for developing lexical analysis routines. Automata theory provides an approach in which the analysis routine examines input, one symbol at a time, and advances to different states until a final accepting state is reached (Gries, 1971). At this stage, we know the input symbol is identified and is accepted or rejected as an error.

The next stage of the compiler is syntactic analysis, which examines the input program statements and tries to develop a syntactic representation of each statement (Cardenas et al., 1972). The set of rules specifying legal statements (symbol combinations) in a language is called the “syntax” of the language. Here again computer science helped develop syntactic descriptions of programming languages to facilitate their analysis. These formalisms are called “metalanguages” because they are languages for describing computer languages.

A typical compiler in the syntactic analysis stage uses a formal representation of the language to screen input classified by lexical analysis routines. The input language is matched against permissible language structures, much as we might diagram an English sentence to see if it exhibits acceptable grammar. The matching process frequently involves the construction of a tree which classifies the various parts of the source statement according to their type. For example, in an English language statement such a tree would identify the verbs, prepositions, adjectives, and so on. Figure 8-2 is a possible parse tree for the FORTRAN

**Table 8-11 A PL/1 Sort Program**


---

```
PROCEDURE OPTIONS(MAIN) ;
```

```
/*          SORT A STRING ARRAY */
DECLARE (RECORD(0:1000), TEMP) CHARACTER(80) VARYING,
        BOOL BIT(1) INITIAL('1'B) ;
RECORD(0) = '';
```

```
DO I = 1 TO 1001 WHILE(RECORD(I-1) ≠ 'END DATA');
    GET LIST(RECORD(I)) ; END ;
N = I-2;
```

```
OUTER:  DO J = 1 TO N-1 WHILE(BOOL);
        BOOL = '0';
        INNER: DO I = 1 TO N-J;
                IF RECORD(I) > RECORD(I+1) THEN DO;
                    TEMP = RECORD(I+1);
                    RECORD(I+1) = RECORD(I);
                    RECORD(I) = TEMP;
                    BOOL = '1';                      END;
            END INNER;
        END OUTER;
```

```
PUT DATA(J) PAGE;
PUT LIST((RECORD(I) DO I = 1 TO N)) SKIP(2);
END;
```

(INPUT DATA)

---

Source: W. Cole, *Introduction to Computing*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.

expression discussed earlier. The syntactic analyzer routines call on the lexical analysis routine to classify each symbol (variable, operator, etc.) as the syntactic analyzer builds the tree. The entire process of lexical and syntactic analysis is referred to as “parsing” the input program.

Given a representation of the input in the form of a parse tree, the next step of the compiler is to interpret the parse tree. At this point we can produce a one-dimensional representation of the two-dimensional tree structure in machine language which the computer can execute directly. That is, the parse tree is used to generate a sequence of machine-language instructions: Figure 8-2 has to be converted into the machine language of Table 8-7. However, before machine-language instructions are generated, an intermediate linear language is produced called “pseudocode.” Why bother with this step? Does it not just add time and complexity to compilation?


By accepting some inefficiency here we can produce a better program. Frequently, in interpreting the parse tree, the compiler generates a number of redundant instructions. For example, the same value might be moved from a register to memory in one instruction and in the next instruction be loaded back into the same register. To avoid such unnecessary steps, the optimization phase examines the pseudocode and attempts to recognize and eliminate redundant operations, such as inefficiencies in register allocation, excessive use of temporary locations, and removal of invariant calculations in loops.

After optimization, the pseudocode is used to generate machine language, or object code. However, before the computer executes this code, one additional step is necessary. In many languages it is possible to employ subroutines. A subroutine is like a macro (they are called "closed macros") and is used to avoid repeating coding steps or to modularize a program. Subroutines may also be written by one programmer and saved in a library for use by others. Also, some languages are procedural; that is, a program consists of a series of modules called procedures. All these procedures and subroutines must be combined and the complete program loaded into memory for execution.

We would like to have flexibility in loading programs. As we shall see with different operating systems, a program may not be located in the same place in memory each time it is executed. Programs, therefore, are usually relocatable. A program called a "linking loader" links the various components of the program together and loads them into memory before execution.

There may be various types of compilers for the same language, each compiler with a different design objective. There is a tradeoff between the amount of time for compilation and the execution speed of the resulting output code. To produce fast-executing object code, we have to spend more time during compilation. For a job to be run many times we may compile the program once and save the object code. Here it makes sense to use an "optimizing compiler" which spends a great deal of time in the optimization phase.

For testing purposes, typically we compile a program many times and execute it for a short duration on limited test data. For this purpose we use an "in-core" compiler that translates the program and loads it into memory for execution during the translation process. Programs have to be a little smaller for



**Figure 8-2** An example of a parse tree.

this compiler because the compiler is in memory too. The output code is less efficient than for an optimizing compiler, but we do not plan to execute the program for a long period of time when we are just finding errors (debugging).

### Special-Purpose Languages

Special-purpose languages are designed with the same philosophy as higher-level languages: to extend the capabilities of the computer to end users. Most frequently, special-purpose languages are translated into a higher-level language which is compiled to produce machine language.

An excellent example of a special-purpose language is the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (Nie et al., 1975). This very complete statistical system is written in FORTRAN. It makes it possible to name variables for a particular study, save the variable names and data on a file, and create new variables from logical relationships among existing variables. The package features extensive data-management facilities which are complemented by a number of statistical tests, including the preparation of frequency distributions, testing for differences among populations, calculating measures of association, performing analysis of variance, and performing a series of multivariate procedures such as regression analysis and factor analysis.

Table 8-12 contains an example of an SPSS program, while Table 8-13 presents the results of executing the program. The input program is for a multiple-regression run. The run name provides a title while the file name gives

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 8.1

The manager of production for Homeware, Inc., had just finished reading an article about how a time-sharing system was used by a manufacturing company to simulate different production schedules. The company in the article resembled Homeware; both firms operate more of a job shop than an assembly line.

The manager wondered if a similar system could not be developed for Homeware to solve some of its production problems. He approached the information services department with a proposal for a system. The response from the computer staff was fairly positive.

The manager worked with the computer staff to define the input language he would like to use and the logic of the simulation. The input language must be unique for each company, and the computer staff indicated that they would have to write an input parser especially for this application.

The manager did not really know what an input parser was, but it sounded rather formidable. The computer department also said they would have to hire a systems programmer (a programmer who specializes in software systems as opposed to applications programs) to write this routine. "It is a lot like writing part of a compiler," said the main representative from the computer staff. "We will have to use assembly language for a task like this," he added.

The manager really did not understand much of the conversation, but he was asked to authorize the new addition to the staff and to give his approval for developing the system. The investment for development would come from his budget. What should the manager do?

**Table 8-12 SPSS Regression Run**

```

1          16
RUN NAME   MULTIPLE REGRESSION RUN USING CARD INPUT AND RAW DATA
FILE NAME  STOCKP DATA FOR PREDICTION OF INVESTORS INDEX
VARIABLE LIST ININDEX,GNP,CORPPROF,CORPDIV,YEAR
VAR LABELS ININDEX INVESTORS INDEX 1949=0/
              GNP      GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT/
              CORPPROF CORPORATE PROFITS BEFORE TAXES/
              CORPDIV CORPORATE DIVIDENDS PAID

INPUT FORMAT FIXED (F6.1,4F6.0)
PRINT FORMATS ININDEX(1) GNP TO CORPDIV(0)
N OF CASES   32
REGRESSION   VARIABLES =ININDEX,GNP,CORPPROF,CORPDIV/
              REGRESSION=ININDEX WITH GNP TO CORPDIV(1)

READ INPUT DATA
 76.4  7678  269  216  1935
 99.5  8022  351  251  1936
105.9  8820  403  250  1937
 66.7  8871  362  290  1938
 83.7  9536  541  304  1939
 70.7 10911  619  317  1940
 61.7 12486  801  273  1941
 58.7 14816  917  243  1942
 76.3 15357  882  233  1943
 76.6 15927  858  211  1944
 91.0 15552  852  195  1945
105.8 15251  966  230  1946
 96.8 15446 1008  286  1947
102.8 15735  908  240  1948
100.0 16343  851  278  1949
120.3 17471 1065  361  1950
153.8 18547 1034  300  1951
158.2 20027 1081  296  1952
146.5 20794 1089  287  1953
165.6 20186  953  292  1954
212.7 21920 1206  321  1955
245.9 23811 1313  340  1956
236.0 24117 1202  364  1957
218.8 24397 1242  371  1958
242.6 25242 1378  388  1959
256.9 15849 1295  397  1960
326.1 25615 1314  436  1961
314.4 28287 1422  470  1962
336.0 29740 1525  511  1963
394.0 31650 1718  583  1964
433.1 33814 1836  629  1965
408.5 35822 1762  655  1966
FINISH

```

Source: N. Nieu et al., *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences* (2d ed.), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975.

the data file a unique name. Data are envisioned as forming a matrix. The columns of the matrix represent variables such as GNP while each row is one observation of the variables, for example, GNP for a given year. The variable list labels the different columns of data and the variable labels statement is optional input that improves the readability of the output. The input format describes how the data are punched on cards while the print format indicates the desired output.

There are 32 cases or observations. The regression statement invokes the procedure to compute a least squares equation using a stepwise algorithm. This very powerful language allows the user to perform complete statistical analyses using concise statements. Consider the number of program statements required in a language like FORTRAN to accomplish what SPSS does with a dozen statements.

Our second example of a special-purpose language operates on-line and is designed to assist corporate planners (Boulden and Buffa, 1970). This particular

Table 8-13 Output from REGRESSION

|   |  |            |                        |                          |                                   |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
|---|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| MULTIPLE REGRESSION RUN USING CARD INPUT AND RAW DATA                         |  |            |                        |                          |                                   |                |         |              |           | 04/ 2/74                             |       |
| FILE STOCKP (CREATION DATE = 04/12/74) DATA FOR PREDICTION OF INVESTORS INDEX |  |            |                        |                          |                                   |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
| ***** MULTIPLE REGRESSION *****   |  |            |                        |                          |                                   |                |         |              |           | VARIABLE LIST 1<br>REGRESSION LIST 1 |       |
| DEPENDENT VARIABLE..  |  | INVINDEX   | INVESTORS INDEX 1949=0 |                          |                                   |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
| VARIABLE(S) ENTERED ON STEP NUMBER  |  | 1..        | CORPDIV                | CORPORATE DIVIDENDS PAID |                                   |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
| MULTIPLE R  |  | 0.93667    | ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE   |                          | DF                                | SUM OF SQUARES |         | MEAN SQUARE  |           | F                                    |       |
| R SQUARE  |  | 0.87735    | REGRESSION             |                          | 1.                                | 339486.57326   |         | 339486.57326 |           | 214.60000                            |       |
| ADJUSTED R SQUARE   |  | 0.87735    | RESIDUAL               |                          | 30.                               | 47458.51460    |         | 1581.95049   |           |                                      |       |
| STANDARD ERROR  |  | 39.77374   |                        |                          |                                   |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
| - VARIABLES IN THE EQUATION   |  |            |                        |                          | - VARIABLES NOT IN THE EQUATION - |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
| B   |  | BETA       | STD ERROR B            | F                        | VARIABLE                          |                | BETA IN | PARTIAL      | TOLERANCE |                                      |       |
|   |  |            | 0.05981                | 214.600                  | GNP                               |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
| VARIABLE(S) ENTERED ON STEP NUMBER 2.. GNP GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT             |  |            |                        |                          |                                   |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
| MULTIPLE R  |  | 0.96262    | ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE   |                          | DF                                | SUM OF SQUARES |         | MEAN SQUARE  |           |                                      |       |
| R SQUARE  |  | 0.92664    | REGRESSION             |                          | 2.                                | 358956.96740   |         | 179278.49370 |           |                                      |       |
| ADJUSTED R SQUARE   |  | 0.92419    | RESIDUAL               |                          | 29.                               | 28388.10046    |         | 978.90002    |           |                                      |       |
| STANDARD ERROR  |  | 31.28738   |                        |                          |                                   |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
| - VARIABLES IN THE EQUATION   |  |            |                        |                          | - VARIABLES NOT IN THE EQUATION - |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
| VARIABLE  |  | B          | BETA                   | STD ERROR B              | F                                 | VARIABLE       |         | BETA IN      | PARTIAL   | TOLERANCE                            | F     |
| CORPDIV   |  | 0.53943    | 0.57665                | 0.08946                  | 36.211                            | CORPPROF       |         | -0.00946     | -0.01000  | 0.08193                              | 0.003 |
| GNP   |  | 0.00620    | 0.42296                | 0.00140                  | 19.481                            |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
| (CONSTANT)  |  | -123.06406 |                        |                          |                                   |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |
| F-LEVEL OR TOLERANCE-LEVEL INSUFFICIENT FOR FURTHER COMPUTATION               |  |            |                        |                          |                                   |                |         |              |           |                                      |       |

|   |  |                          |                        |          |                                      |          |            |  |         |          |  |        |  |
|---|--|--------------------------|------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|------------|--|---------|----------|--|--------|--|
| MULTIPLE REGRESSION RUN USING CARD INPUT AND RAW DATA                         |  |                          |                        |          |                                      |          |            |  |         | 04/12/74 |  | PAGE 3 |  |
| FILE STOCKP (CREATION DATE = 04/12/74) DATA FOR PREDICTION OF INVESTORS INDEX |  |                          |                        |          |                                      |          |            |  |         |          |  |        |  |
| ***** MULTIPLE REGRESSION *****   |  |                          |                        |          |                                      |          |            |  |         |          |  |        |  |
| DEPENDENT VARIABLE..  |  | INVINDEX                 | INVESTORS INDEX 1949=0 |          | VARIABLE LIST 1<br>REGRESSION LIST 1 |          |            |  |         |          |  |        |  |
| SUMMARY TABLE   |  |                          |                        |          |                                      |          |            |  |         |          |  |        |  |
| VARIABLE  |  |                          | MULTIPLE R             | R SQUARE | RSQ CHANGE                           | SIMPLE R | B          |  | BETA    |          |  |        |  |
| CORPDIV   |  | CORPORATE DIVIDENDS PAID | 0.93667                | 0.87735  | 0.87735                              | 0.93667  | 0.53943    |  | 0.57665 |          |  |        |  |
| GNP   |  | GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT   | 0.96262                | 0.92664  | 0.04928                              | 0.91380  | 0.00620    |  | 0.42296 |          |  |        |  |
| (CONSTANT)  |  |                          |                        |          |                                      |          | -123.06406 |  |         |          |  |        |  |

Source: N. Nie et al., *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences* (2d ed.), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975.

language has four components: a planning system supervisory program, the model logic, a statistical series data file, and storage files.

The planning system supervisor is a program common to all users. It executes models written by the user and provides statistical routines, runs simulations, links data with the model, controls interaction between the model builder and user, and prepares all output reports. The supervisor can also access external files and data bases.

The supervisor controls input and output, a particularly necessary function if models are stored on files. It is helpful if users can build a series of command strings and save them to create an English-language-like input. A good supervisor provides the capability to create command files and name generalized commands. The planning system supervisor may also help the model builder link the planning model to other models such as a linear program. The user can specify variables and a range for parametric analysis; relative movements of

input and output variables allow a sensitivity analysis. Some planning systems let a user designate an output value and search for the input value which results in this output.

The statistical series data files provide data on the economy as a whole, such as the gross national product, housing starts, and others. This file is available to all users.

The model builder provides two components, a company data file and the model logic. The company data file contains proprietary data on the company, such as production data, sales, and financial information. The corporate model logic is expressed in the statements of the planning language. This language is more closely related to planning activities than are general-purpose languages like FORTRAN.

One planning language has parameters labeled P and data arrays labeled V. For example, PX might be the cost of product X and PY the cost of product Y. VX could represent the quantity of X needed each month and VY the quantity of Y required monthly. The model builder could define a new variable such as the total production,  $EM = VX * PX$ .

Variables such as the ones above are combined to form equations which constitute the planning model. The model, after validation, is used in a series of simulation runs to predict the impact of different plans.

The advantages of special-purpose languages should be clear from these examples. These languages are closer to the vocabulary of the user, making it more natural for a decision maker who is not a computer professional to interact with a computer. Special-purpose languages are extremely valuable to users of information systems because they provide the option of working directly with the computer without necessarily relying on a computer professional. We expect to see more of these languages as they are accepted and used increasingly in the future.

## PACKAGE PROGRAMS

### Background

A package program is a program written by a vendor for sale or lease. The software vendor tries to develop a computer application that can be used by a number of different organizations. Since it is not necessary for each organization to program its own system, costs can be reduced. This idea seems appealing; what are its advantages and disadvantages? We shall examine this question from the viewpoints of the vendor and the customer.

The vendor wants to produce a package which is very general to increase market potential and reduce the need to modify the package. To accomplish this purpose, two strategies can be followed. A package can be produced which features a number of input parameters or tables. The customer provides many of these parameters only once to initialize the package. Another approach is to develop a package with various modules; the client configures a package containing only the modules needed. Many times a vendor employs a combination of these two approaches.

From the customer's viewpoint, why use a package? First, the costs of developing information systems are steadily increasing. Designing and programming a computer-based information system is a labor-intensive task; packages offer one way to reduce costs and shorten development time. In addition, many small organizations do not wish to establish their own computer departments. With a package, the design and operation of computer systems can be left to an outside firm.

The customer, however, often does not want a package as general as the vendor's product. The client wants the package to do a particular job. From a user's standpoint generality beyond what is needed is detrimental because it results in less efficient programs and more complex input and initialization efforts. We shall discuss package evaluation criteria in Chapter 10.

### Examples

There are numerous examples of package programs. One vendor offers a batch data-management retrieval and report-generator package which has been highly successful. On the basis of input requests, the program reads data from several files and extracts the requested information by using logical combinations of variables specified by the user. It is possible to perform computations on the data and print totals and subtotals.

Output can be sorted and sequenced in a variety of ways. The package can also update the files (see Chapter 9), although many clients run the package after their own update program has executed.

The user employs structured forms to describe the information to be retrieved and the format of output reports. There is a heavy use of default options, that is, a standard value for an input. If the standard is acceptable, it is used by default and the parameter does not have to be entered. As an example, a package might automatically print the date and page number on the upper right-hand side of a report unless specifically requested otherwise. Default options reduce the input requirements for the average user.

The retrieval package above provides reporting flexibility, since each individual requesting a report can custom tailor it to his or her needs. Some information services departments have succeeded in having user departments prepare input directly. A user rather than a computer professional is responsible for the use of the system in each department.

The SPSS package discussed earlier as an example of a special-purpose language can also be classified as a package. (The line between some very high level languages and package programs is indistinct.) Clearly, this type of package extends the use of the computer to nonprofessional programmers. While every user must learn a small subset of the language for data definition and manipulation, only the actual statistical routines needed must be coded for any particular run. Thus, the user does not have to learn everything about the package; only features of immediate interest and relevance must be understood.

To illustrate the diversity of packages, our last example is a dedicated package, that is, a package to which an entire computer system is dedicated. One computer vendor developed a special operating system (we shall discuss operat-

---

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 8.2

Martha Nixon recently received her M.B.A. and accepted a staff position with the planning department at H&M Foundries. H&M is a large, diversified metals concern that experiences much fluctuation in demand, depending on the economy. The planning department was formed last year as an attempt by management to consider the future in making current decisions.

Martha majored in finance in her M.B.A. program, and the idea of applying financial concepts to planning problems seemed very challenging. The head of the planning department has just asked Martha to take the responsibility for developing a model of the firm for simulating the impact of decisions.

Clearly, such a model will require computer processing and Martha wonders how to proceed. Should the planning department approach the information services department for help in developing a computer model? Is a computer expert needed to help program and implement the model? If so, should this individual come from the information services department, a consulting firm, or should the planning department hire its own computing staff?

Martha has also considered contacting several firms that offer proprietary computer languages designed expressly for planners. These vendors claim that even a planner who does not have computer experience can quickly learn to write models for a computer in these simple languages.

What factors should Martha consider in making this decision? Describe the ramifications of each alternative.

---

ing systems in general in the next section) and series of applications programs for an airline passenger reservations system.

The development of such a system is a very complex undertaking; the vendor tries to reduce the costs and effort involved [the vendor expended an estimated 400 worker-years or more of effort in developing this package (Minini, 1969)]. However, the client airline still has to provide much data and will probably modify the package. It is necessary for the user to supply data that differs among airlines, such as routes and schedules.

The airline must also train agents and prepare for a massive implementation effort. Continued modification and maintenance are required after the installation of the system. To change and correct errors, the vendor continually updates the system, and these changes must be made in the user's version of the system.

These three examples should illustrate the broad range of applications where packages have proven successful. While there are many advantages and disadvantages to these packages, on balance we expect to see more use of packages in the future because of the high cost of developing special-purpose programs. We can afford to use computer hardware less efficiently as technology reduces the cost per computation, particularly as the cost of human resources increases.

## OPERATING SYSTEMS

In the first generation of computers and for many second-generation installations, the operator of the computer system had a central role in controlling its use. The operator placed each new program in the card reader and loaded an

assembler on tape. The assembler translated the object program and wrote it on tape, then a loading program loaded it and began execution. For production jobs to be run repeatedly, the object program would be saved on tape or on cards and loaded before execution; it would not be assembled each time it was used.

A good operator balanced jobs that needed many tape drives with jobs that needed few or no drives, so that the large tape job could be set up while the other job computed. In the case of a poor operator, the computer might be idle for a large part of the day while tapes were loaded and unloaded.

Programmers working on program development were given the entire computer for debugging, that is, for correcting the errors in their programs. The programmer operated the machine and when the program halted because of a bug, the programmer displayed memory locations on a computer-console typewriter. Because the program was written in assembly or machine language the programmer might "patch" (change) part of the object program in memory and run it again.

As the above scenario indicates, operations were very inefficient. It became clear that we could use the computer itself to help make operations proceed more smoothly. The first operating systems came into widespread use during the second generation of computers and most often customers, not computer vendors, wrote the first operating systems.

## Early Systems

**Batch Monitor** The earliest operating systems were simple batch monitors (monitor, executive program, and operating system are synonymous for our purposes) which read special control cards. These cards might include a job card containing information about the programmer and the job, for example, run-time estimate, lines to be printed, and cards to be punched. Some systems also included information for accounting, such as an account or project number. Control cards were provided to tell the operator to set up tapes or to prepare any special paper required for the printer.

The next input card for the operating system might indicate what services the user desired, such as FORTRAN compile, load, and execution. The operating system examined this card and called the FORTRAN compiler to compile the program and put it on a secondary storage device. At the end of compilation the compiler returned control to the operating system. Next the loader was called to load the program and begin its execution. At the end of the program, control again was returned to the operating system, which read the next job and continued as above.

This monitor, though simple, sequenced jobs so that an entire stack or job stream of multiple jobs could be loaded at once. As disks became more common, compilers and work space were assigned to disks so the operator did not have to mount the compiler, loader, and program object tapes. Operating systems and disk storage have drastically improved the efficiency of computer operations.

**Multiprocessing** During the second generation, at least one manufacturer offered a multiprocessing system, a computer system featuring more than one central processing unit. In reality, this system consisted of two complete com-

puters; the smaller computer had an operating system and controlled both machines. The larger computer was a slave to the smaller machine. The small computer processed all input, and scheduled and printed all output using disks as a temporary storage area. An operating system in the large computer indicated to the control machine that it needed service—for example, when it needed a new program to process—and the control computer answered its request. This approach freed the more powerful slave computer from I/O and allowed it to concentrate on computations.

**On-Line Systems** During the second generation of computers, the need for on-line computer access for applications such as inventory control and reservations became evident. The first on-line systems featured custom-designed operating system programs to control the computer resources. Applications programs in an on-line system express the logic of the application and are called by systems programs.

The supervisor in an on-line system establishes a series of queues and schedules service for them. First, an incoming message is assembled in a communications buffer; this message may have to be converted into a different code and moved to an input queue in memory by an applications program. The operating system notes the addition of this message to the messages-to-be-processed queue.

When the central processing unit is available, the supervisor assigns it to process a queue, say, the one with our input message. An applications program called by the operating system might verify the correctness of the message (correct format, etc.), after which the message is placed in a working queue.

The supervisor calls an applications program to parse and interpret the message during which time the message may be moved along several different working queues. The supervisor calls different applications programs to process the message further and determine a response. Finally, an output message is assembled in another queue for transmission to the terminal. The supervisor schedules the CPU to send the output message.

The demands of such an on-line system are extensive. There is a great deal of bookkeeping required to enforce and monitor queue disciplines. I/O operations also involve telecommunications activities. There must be adequate fall-back and recovery facilities to prevent and handle system failures; for example, messages may be in process in one of a number of queues when the system fails. Recovery in these systems is complex, usually all input is logged on tape and periodically all data files are dumped to tape for backup.

A typical dedicated on-line system supervisor has the following responsibilities (Martin, 1967; Yourdon, 1972):

- 1 Scheduling all I/O operations, error checking and corrections, etc.
- 2 Assembling bits from communications lines into characters, and terminal control
- 3 Providing an edited, checked message to applications programs
- 4 Controlling displays and setting up output messages
- 5 Scheduling all message processing

- 6 Allocating machine resources
- 7 Building and processing queues, scheduling and queuing requests for service
- 8 Linking all programs and subroutines together and calling various applications programs for execution, loading and relocating applications programs in memory
- 9 Processing all interrupts
- 10 Controlling the file system
- 11 Initiating reliability and fault checks, running diagnostic programs, possibly reconfiguring the system to isolate malfunctioning components
- 12 Switching over to a backup computer when failure is diagnosed
- 13 Recovering from errors which caused operations to cease

**The Birth of Time Sharing** As computer systems became more heavily loaded during the first and second generation, the debugging of programs became a frustrating and time-consuming process. A programmer might be allowed only one test run a day or one run every several days. Programmers found their schedules and lives controlled by machine availability.

A group of researchers in Project MAC at MIT began to work on a solution of this problem, a solution that developed a new industry! There is a clear mismatch between the speed with which humans think and mechanically enter input or review output and the internal speeds of computers. Could we make

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 8.3

Ted Armstrong is president of Advanced Airlines, a small regional carrier in southwestern United States. Ted and several fellow pilots founded the airline in the early 1950s. While operations were precarious at first, the firm is now in the position of making a small profit on its freight and passenger operations. In addition, the line has been slowly entering the charter market through contracts for private service with oil and utility companies.

Advanced Airlines has grown to the point where it now needs an automated reservation system for passengers and freight. Since the management of the firm generally consists of pilots with little exposure to computer systems, Ted has been exploring different possibilities himself.

There are two options which appear feasible. First, Advanced can obtain a packaged system from a major computer manufacturer. There would be substantial effort involved in initializing the package and installing it. Advanced would also have to lease or purchase its own computer. While the economics are in question, another regional airline has indicated an interest in joining Advanced so that the two lines would be able to share the cost of the system.

The alternative is to purchase reservations services from one of the large trunk carriers that operates its own extensive reservations system. Ted has discussed this possibility and at least two trunk carriers with excess computer capacity are interested.


Which option do you recommend?

computer users feel that they have exclusive use of their own machine by rapidly switching the computer from one user to another? One programmer's "think time" would be used by the computer for serving other programmers. Each user would share the time of the computer, especially the CPU and memory. This special case of an on-line system provides the user with a computational capability and the ability to write and execute programs.

Early time-sharing systems required specially designed operating systems; Project MAC involved a few hardware modifications to a standard computer as well (primarily to add extra core memory).

The operation of early time-sharing systems is illustrated in Figure 8-3. In this representation only one program is executing at a time because there is only one central processing unit. A program executes for a short period of time until it is interrupted and "swapped" out of memory onto a secondary storage device (usually a drum).

Another user's program is swapped into primary memory and execution begins where it stopped when the program was previously swapped out of primary memory. In a simple round robin scheme, each user is given a maximum time slice in sequence. A program may be swapped out of primary memory even though it has used less than its time slice if it needs to send output or receive input, since these activities are handled by a data channel. The Project MAC



**Figure 8-3** Early time-sharing processing.

computer could also run regular batch jobs simultaneously with time sharing; the CPU executed these tasks when not busy with time-sharing work (this is referred to as “background” processing).

### The Third Generation

By the end of the second generation, most university and job-shop computer centers were using batch monitors, and the commercial time-sharing industry was becoming established. Many business users were also using operating systems for their second-generation equipment. When the third generation of computers was announced, manufacturers had clearly embraced the idea of an operating system. The IBM 360 line could not function without such a system; the operating system handles all input/output through interrupts. In fact, there are special instructions which can be performed by the computer only when it is in “supervisory state” under the control of the operating systems. These privileged instructions are unavailable to programmers, whose jobs run in the “problem state.” The operating systems also require a certain amount of core for permanently resident routines. Other parts of the operating systems are stored on disk and brought into memory as needed.


**Multisystems** In our discussion of hardware we mentioned the development of data channels to take some of the I/O burden from the CPU. However, there was still an imbalance between CPU and I/O, even with channels. In most commercial systems with intensive input/output activities, we expect to find the central processing unit idle more than 50 percent of the time, primarily because it is waiting for input/output operations.

Third-generation batch operating systems introduced the concept of multiprogramming, a process very similar to the program-swapping techniques developed for time sharing. In multiprogramming, we have more than one program in a semiactive state in memory at one time; see Figure 8-4. Multiprogramming attempts to hide input/output latencies by switching the CPU to another program when it can no longer process the one on which it is working because of an I/O request. In the top half of Figure 8-4 we see a multiprogramming scheme with four programs active. Just before time *t*, program 2 was executing; however, it needed to print several lines on a report and the CPU assigned this activity to a data channel.

The CPU then saved the status of program 2 and looked for another program on which to work. In many multiprogramming schemes, each program has a priority and in this instance the highest priority idle program would be executed. In Figure 8-4, assume that this is program 4,

The status of program 4 is restored from its last interruption and execution begins. When the data channel has completed the present operation for program 2, it interrupts the CPU, which stops program 4, saves its status, notes the completion of I/O for program 2, and checks to see what program to start next.

Assume program 2 has high priority, and the CPU therefore restores its status and executes it. Another interrupt occurs for output, and program 4 is



**Figure 8-4** Multiprogramming.

resumed. Program 4 terminates and program 5 is loaded; execution begins but it is soon halted for input on a second data channel. The CPU now sees that it has only two candidates for work. Programs 2 and 5 are blocked; they are unready for execution because of I/O activities. The CPU has two programs ready, 1 and 3, and it chooses to execute program 3 according to preassigned priorities. The status of the system is shown now on the bottom half of Figure 8-4. Both data channels are active, one printing output for program 2 and the other reading input cards for program 5. In addition, program 3 is executing.

There are several multiprogramming schemes. One utilizes a fixed number of fixed-sized partitions of memory, and each job is assigned to its own partition. The size of the partition is defined by the operator at the beginning of operations. In other approaches the number of tasks simultaneously in memory varies, and thus the number and size of partitions vary too.

Multiprogramming has also provided spooling capabilities. Applications programs actually write their output to an output queue on a secondary storage device instead of directly to the printer. One system program schedules the printer and manages the output queue. Thus, a program is not held in memory because the printer is busy. Systems also spool input, for example, by putting cards in a disk input queue before beginning a job.

Multiprogramming has helped to increase throughput, the number of jobs processed per unit of time. However, it can take a single job longer to run under multiprogramming than under a unary processor (one job in the computer at a time) because of interruptions.

Multiprogramming should not be confused with multiprocessing. In a multiprogramming system, the central processing unit executes only one program at a time. Several programs are present in memory in a semiactive state; their

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 8.4

The president of Midwestern Bank is very concerned over the lack of progress on a new trust department computer system. This system is supposed to automate many of the clerical functions in the trust department. Instead of using a package, the bank decided to develop its own on-line system for use by clerks and trust officers.

However, the bank did acquire several packages to make the development of a custom-tailored on-line system easier. The bank has obtained a telecommunications control program, terminal input and output program, and data-base management program. However, it seems to require an inordinate amount of time to put all of the pieces together and construct the applications programs, as the computer staff calls them.

The president understands little about computer systems, but he does recognize that a tremendous investment has been made in the trust system. His computer staff complains that something known as the operating system is creating interface problems when attempts are made to install the packages. In addition, the applications programs do not work right, since the trust department keeps changing its specifications.

The president has asked you to help him understand what might be going wrong with the system. Are the excuses offered by the computer department reasonable? Is the trust department to blame for changing specifications? What action should the president take to put the project back on schedule?

execution has been suspended temporarily. Some third-generation systems also feature multiprocessing, the presence of more than one central processing unit. These processors are controlled by the operating system and, of course, are multiprogrammed since there is at least the potential for a program to be executing on each processor at the same time. In heavily compute-bound processing or for backup purposes, multiprocessor systems are often attractive. Several time-sharing systems, for example, employ multiprocessing.

**On-Line Systems** During the third generation, operating systems came with more modules to facilitate the development of on-line systems, especially for supporting terminals and telecommunications processing. Systems were designed to support mixed batch processing and on-line inquiry. For example, a partition in a multiprogramming system could be devoted to an inquiry application while other partitions were devoted to batch processing. In this situation we assign the on-line partition a high priority since inquiries need to be answered quickly. Inquiries are also input/output intensive which means they place a small burden on the CPU. Operating systems also facilitated the development of dedicated on-line systems during the third generation, for example, the airline on-line reservation package discussed earlier.

**Time Sharing** During the third generation, Project MAC at MIT also developed a new time-sharing system called MULTICS. This system features an important innovation that influenced future computer systems. One goal of


MULTICS was to provide the programmer with the appearance of a limitless memory, or a virtual memory several times larger than the actual memory. The Project MAC researchers also wanted to have pure procedures or reentrant programs. In such a program, several users execute the same program simultaneously. Each user does not need a separate copy of a reentrant compiler in memory, even though each is compiling separate programs.

Virtual memory can be produced by a combination of segmentation and paging, an approach which also facilitates the development of reentrant procedures. The idea behind this approach is shown in simplified form in Figure 8-5. Basically, a program and its data are broken into pages. Only the pages needed in primary memory at any one time are loaded; other pages are kept on secondary storage devices. In a demand paging scheme, a program executes in memory until it needs a page that is not in primary memory. A request for the page generates a page fault, and the supervisor locates and loads the needed page from secondary storage. In loading the page, the supervisor may replace an inactive page belonging to another program in primary memory. This entire process is transparent to the programmer, who sees a virtual memory as large as the total number of pages allowed, not the physical size of the computer's primary memory.


Paging also facilitates the sharing of programs. Figure 8-6 illustrates one possible scheme. Both users 1 and 2 are sharing the same program. A register loaded by the operating system points to the appropriate user data page (and a similar register points to the location of execution in the shared procedure). Data are accessed indirectly through this register. By switching user pointers in the register, user 1 can execute the same copy of the program; user 1 does not need a separate copy. Only the unique parts of user 1's and user 2's programs must be kept separate, for example, their data and place in executing the program. Shared code is also important in on-line applications and for the operating system itself. When multiple messages require the same applications program, only one copy needs to be in memory, thus precious primary memory space is saved,

**Evolutionary Advances**

The "third-and-a-half" generation of hardware brought improvements and modifications to operating systems. The major advance was to take virtual memory



**Figure 8-5** Paging.



**Figure 8-6** Sharing programs.

out of the exclusive domain of time sharing and include it in batch systems. To facilitate virtual memory schemes, one manufacturer added special hardware to help translate virtual addresses. Programs use addresses beyond the primary memory size of the computer in a virtual system and it is necessary to map these addresses into physical memory space as we saw in the discussion of time sharing. This mapping can be accomplished with software or hardware. (It should be noted that certain computer manufacturers offered this facility as early as the beginning of the 1960s. However, their machines were not widely used at the time.)

The mixing of systems continues with the third-and-a-half generation. Now we can have time-sharing, on-line, and batch applications all processing on the same computer system simultaneously. In addition, new packages are available to help reduce the problems of developing on-line systems. There are packages to handle inquiries and telecommunications tasks. These packages can be combined with data-base management systems to be discussed in the next chapter, to facilitate the development of tailored on-line systems.

We have discussed the development of operating systems from a historical perspective. Where do we stand now? Madnick and Donovan (1974) present an insightful view of an operating system as a resource manager. The operating system consists of a series of managers and each manager must accomplish the following: monitor resources; enforce policies on who, what, and how much of the resource is allocated; allocate the resource; and reclaim the resource. There are four major resource categories:

The *memory manager* keeps track of what parts of memory are in use and by whom, and what parts are free. In multiprogramming, this manager decides which process obtains what amount of memory at what point in time.

The *process manager* keeps track of the status of processes. It includes a job scheduler that chooses among jobs submitted and decides which one will be processed (it assigns resources like a CPU). The process manager must set up necessary hardware registers to allocate a CPU to a task and must reclaim the hardware at completion of the task.

The *device manager* monitors input/output resources, that is, anything

connected to the computer through a data channel. It tries to schedule and allocate these resources efficiently.

The *information manager* controls the file system and its directories. Information must be protected, and this manager therefore sees that it is secure. The manager allocates and reclaims resources, for example, by opening and closing files.

Operating systems have become an integral part of computer systems and will continue to play a major role in the efficient utilization of computers. We are beginning to develop a better understanding of how operating systems should be designed, but there are many unresolved problems and operating systems are a topic of continuing research in computer science.

TRENDS

We have discussed a number of important software topics in this chapter. What do we expect to see in the future? Because programming and software development are human, intellectual tasks, major technological breakthroughs such as those in hardware design, are rare. The most significant trend in the future will be the use of more packaged programs. We have observed this in systems software—for example, with telecommunications and data-base management packages and applications packages such as SPSS. We cannot afford to develop every new application from the beginning; packages provide building blocks to aid in software development. We are forced to use hardware less efficiently in order to conserve scarce human resources.

We also expect to see more very high level special-purpose languages. These languages extend the computer further to end users. If a user can work with a language, then a computer professional is not needed. Planners can use the on-line planning language (discussed earlier as an example of special-purpose languages) to develop a model without help from a computer specialist. We also expect this trend to be accentuated through time-sharing systems, which are very responsive to users. Users can easily develop small decision-support systems for their own needs through a combination of time sharing and special-purpose, higher-level-language packages.

KEY WORDS

|                       |                        |                          |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Assembler             | Mnemonic               | Queues                   |
| Assembly language     | Monitor                | Residency                |
| Batch                 | Multiprogramming       | Shared code              |
| COBOL                 | Multiprocessing        | Source code              |
| Compiler              | Object code            | Special-purpose language |
| FORTRAN               | Operating system       | Spooling                 |
| Higher-level language | On-line system         | Subroutines              |
| Interrupt             | Packages               | Supervisor               |
| Instruction set       | Paging                 | Translator               |
| Loader                | Partition              | Variables                |
| Machine language      | Privileged instruction | Virtual memory           |
| Macro                 | PL/1                   |                          |

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

- Boulden, J., and E. Buffa: "Corporate Models: On-line, Real Time Systems," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 48, no. 4, July–August 1970, pp. 65–83. (A paper presenting several examples of how a higher-level planning language can be used to construct models for different organizations.)
- Gries, D.: *Compiler Construction for Digital Computers*, Wiley, New York, 1971. (This advanced book gives a complete picture of modern compiler construction techniques.)
- Madnick, S., and J. Donovan: *Operating Systems*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974. (A discussion of the features of modern operating systems containing many examples; the book is somewhat advanced.)
- Nie, N., C. Hull, J. Jenkins, K. Steinbrenner, and D. H. Bent: *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences*, 2d ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975. (This book describing the use of SPSS is one of the finest examples of system documentation extant.)
- Sammet, J.: *Programming Languages: History and Fundamentals*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1969. (Catalogs and describes well over 100 higher-level languages.)

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 What changes are needed in the simple computer designed in this chapter so that an assembler can be written for it?
- 2 What are the advantages of machine language and assembly language?
- 3 Why is programming such a time-consuming task?
- 4 Under what circumstances, if any, should managers ever write programs?
- 5 What are the advantages of standardized subsets of languages such as FORTRAN and COBOL, that is, a set of statements which is compatible across all compilers?
- 6 Develop a checklist of the factors to consider in evaluating a packaged program.
- 7 What is the major appeal of packaged programs for user departments? What is the major disadvantage of these packages for the information services department?
- 8 Computer science researchers have developed compiler compilers, that is, programs to help generate a compiler for a language defined by the user. What potential uses of such programs exist for information systems applications?
- 9 What are the advantages to using subroutines or other approaches to breaking up programs into small pieces?
- 10 How could knowledge of computer functions aid in developing a time-sharing application to support management decision making?
- 11 What was the motivation behind the development of operating systems?
- 12 How have time-sharing techniques influenced the development of operating systems?
- 13 What characteristics would be desirable in a text editor for an input program on a time-sharing system? How do needs differ for a novice user and an expert? How can these conflicting needs be resolved?
- 14 How does virtual memory contribute to the development of programs?
- 15 Where can problems occur with virtual memory? Under what conditions should we expect performance of a virtual memory system to be best? Worst?
- 16 What is the advantage of a simple programming language such as BASIC?
- 17 What factors influence the choice of a programming language for an application? Why should an organization have standards for languages?

- 18 Documentation (flow charts, definitions of variables, etc.) describes a program. What is the benefit of documentation?
- 19 How should programs be tested? What types of data should be used and who should generate the data?
- 20 How has increased use of direct-access files enhanced the development of operating systems?
- 21 How has the widespread use of operating systems affected program testing?
- 22 How has time sharing aided program testing? To what extent can time sharing be used for testing programs? What limits its usefulness?
- 23 Remote batch processing systems often feature a text editor on-line (for example, a user can enter and edit a program from the terminal, submit the job for batch runs and examine the printed output). What advantages does this provide for program development and testing? How does it compare with time sharing for this purpose?
- 24 What are the major advantages of special-purpose languages? How do they extend computer usage to more individuals?
- 25 Operating systems usually provide utility programs, such as file copy programs and sorting programs. Under what conditions should computer installations write their own sort programs instead of using one provided by a vendor?
- 26 What hardware and software characteristics are responsible for the overall performance of a computer system?
- 27 How can the quality of software be evaluated? What standards or measures can you suggest?
- 28 Various goals for programs have been found to influence programmer performance—goals such as minimum number of statements, minimal use of main memory, maximum output clarity, maximum program clarity, minimum number of runs to debug, and minimum execution time. Which of these goals are incompatible? Which ones should be emphasized by management?
- 29 Why is conversion from second- to third-generation computers so difficult? Why was emulation offered? What is the long-range solution to this type of conversion problem?
- 30 Does the extensive use of packages make it more or less difficult to change computer manufacturers? Upon what factors does the answer to this question depend?
- 31 It has been suggested that through microprogramming we can develop machines with a machine language of FORTRAN or some other higher-level language. What would be the advantages and disadvantages of such a computer?
- 32 By a combination of hardware and software it is possible to create virtual machines; that is, one computer operating system sets up separate computers for each user. Each user then chooses an operating system and proceeds to program applications on a virtual computer. What are the uses of such a system? What are the major problems?
- 33 What are the disadvantages of mixed processing in which batch, time-sharing, and on-line applications run simultaneously on the same computer system?

## FILE ELEMENTS

- Data

- Storage Devices

- Record Types

## SEQUENTIAL FILES

- Storage Media

- Processing Sequential Files

## DIRECT-ACCESS FILES

- Storage Media

- Processing Direct-Access Files

- More Complex Structures

- Updating

- Data Structures

## ERROR CONTROL

## DATA-BASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

- Complete Data-Base Systems

- An Example

## FILE DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

- Record Structure

- Response Versus Cost

## KEY WORDS

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

## PROBLEMS

# Computer Files

Files are the heart of a modern computer-based information system and file design is the most technical topic we shall discuss in this text. Why should a manager care about files? First, in modifying an existing system, the structure of the files usually dictates the feasibility of a change. If we want added information on a report or a CRT screen, the cost of the change depends on whether the data we want are currently in a computer file or can be computed from data already in a file. If the data are not in a file, and cannot easily be retrieved, the modifications will be more difficult and costly. To understand the requirements for a requested change, the user has to have knowledge of the file structure of the system.

In the next section, we discuss systems analysis and design and the crucial role users have to play in these activities. One of the main requirements for users to participate meaningfully in the design process is to have a basic understanding of computer files. Files are generally the first constraint we encounter in developing information systems. Sometimes the amount of data to be stored is too great for the capacity of the file storage devices to permit the desired response time. In other instances, complex information retrieval requirements for accessing the file make the programs too difficult to write or perhaps too large for the computer system.

From the standpoint of technology, files are the most important topic discussed in the text. If a manager understands input/output and files, there should be no mystery to computer-based information systems. A user with this knowledge should be able to make intelligent decisions about technical problems in the management of information systems.

## FILE ELEMENTS

A file is a collection of data. A computer file is organized in some way; that is, there is some well-defined structure to the information in the file. A computer file consists of a collection of records, each of which is made up of fields. The various fields consist of groups of characters as described below.

### Data

The smallest unit of storage of interest is the character, for example, the number 9 or the letter A. We generally do not work directly with characters, but rather with groups of characters that have some intrinsic meaning, for example, Smith or 599. These groupings of characters are called “fields” and we identify them with a name; for example, Smith is an employee’s surname and 599 is Smith’s department number.

Groups of fields are combined to form a logical record such as the one shown in Figure 9-1. This logical record contains all the data of interest about some entity; in this example it has all the data in the file about an individual employee.

A key to a record is some field of interest. In many files, we organize the file in order on a key. Last name is the primary key for a telephone book; that is, the telephone book is arranged in alphabetical order based on telephone subscribers’ last names. We also can have secondary keys; in the case of the telephone book the secondary key is the first name or initial. The telephone book, then, is arranged in sequence on the primary key (last name) and within the primary key is arranged in order by the secondary key (first name). Fields designated as keys are also used as a basis for retrieving information from a file. For example, an inventory part number may be the key for retrieving information about the quantity of the part on hand from a computerized inventory file.

### Storage Devices

Files of any size are usually stored on secondary storage devices as discussed in Chapter 7. These devices are considerably cheaper than primary memory in the

|          |              |            |     |        |                 |               |  |
|----------|--------------|------------|-----|--------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Example: | Smith, D. J. | 599        | 42  | 250    | C               | G             |  |
| Field    | Name         | Department | Age | Salary | Occupation code | Last job code |  |

**Figure 9-1** A logical record.

computer and have much greater capacity. We cannot expect to hold all the data in a file in primary memory. If we could hold these data in memory when the application is first installed, the amount of data processed for the application probably would expand over time beyond the capacity of primary memory. Therefore, most applications are designed so that any number of transactions can be processed and the files can expand in size.

Another reason for using secondary storage is that we probably do not want the data for an application to be available for computer access all the time. Secondary storage devices make it possible to store these data off-line at a reasonable cost. The off-line storage units can be mounted on a secondary storage device when we are ready to use them.

The mechanics of reading or writing data on secondary storage devices requires physical gaps between groups of characters. The number of characters actually transmitted between main computer memory and the file is called the physical record size. Between each physical record there is an interrecord gap, and we group logical records together to reduce the number of these interrecord gaps. For example, we might include 60 logical records in one physical record. This means that the blocking factor is 60; that is, there are 60 logical records blocked to form one physical record.

One reason for blocking records is to use space on the storage device more efficiently. Suppose the interrecord gap on a magnetic tape is  $6/10$  in. If we can record data at 1600 characters per inch, each gap could contain 960 characters if it did not have to be used as a gap. If a logical record were 500 characters long, grouping 60 together, we would have a physical record of

$$\frac{60 \text{ logical records} \times 500 \text{ characters/record}}{1600 \text{ characters/in.}}$$

$$+ 6/10 \text{ in. for a gap} = 19.35 \text{ in. of tape}$$

If the logical records were unblocked—that is, if the logical record were the same size as the physical record—we would have a physical record of

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 500 \text{ characters} \\ 1600 \text{ characters/in.} \end{array} \right\} + 6/10 \text{ in. gap} \quad 60 \text{ records} = 54.75 \text{ in. of tape}$$

since there is one interrecord gap for each logical record. We would have used 35.4 in. more tape to store the 60 logical records by not blocking them.

More efficient utilization of space on the tape also means more efficient input and output operations. Since one physical record is transferred to main memory with each read (and the reverse on writing), blocking results in transfer of more information at one time and fewer read operations on the secondary storage device. The transfer rates of such devices are very fast, particularly when compared with the time required to begin reading. Thus, reducing interrecord gaps by blocking increases both the utilization of the storage medium and the efficiency of input and output operations.

## Record Types

Different applications require a variety of record types and file structures. One basic distinction is between fixed- and variable-length records. In a fixed-length record, we know the size of every field and the number of fields in the record in advance. We allow room for all the data that are of interest, as in the example in Figure 9-1. A new hire will not have a last job code and that field in Figure 9-1 would be blank. However, we do not expect many new hires compared with the total number of employees on the file, so that much wasted space is not a problem.

However, consider a system to keep track of patient visits to a medical clinic, type of test conducted, and results of the test. Assume that each test result can be described by 10 to 500 characters of data except for one test that requires 2000 characters of data. The patient also can have more than one test per visit. How could we possibly set up a fixed-length record for this system? Even if there were just one test per patient we could not afford to allow for 2000 characters of data in the record when only a few of the tests would ever need that much room.

The solution to this problem is a variable-length record; the number of fields and the length of a record do not have to be specified in advance. Of course, this adds to the complexity of our programs. Under a very general scheme for variable-length records, the program has to put a code in the record to identify what is there. In this example the record would have to specify what fields are present and the size of each field. We can carry either a single code at the beginning of a record or a code that indicates the contents of each field and its length before that field. In Figure 9-2 a code that precedes the record is shown. The first number in the code, 4, gives the length of the code in characters and the rest of the code tells what variables are present and how many characters were required to record each variable.

As an alternative, often we can specify several standard formats, each of a different length. That is, to avoid having to use codes, we may be able to establish three or four different formats of varying length, for example, 100 characters, 250 characters, and 2000 characters. Each of the formats for these records could be fixed in advance, but the combination of records for the file would be of varying length. There might be two records of 500 characters followed by one of 250 characters, etc.

|       |       |          |       |
|-------|-------|----------|-------|
| 4N5T7 | Allen | EK 61002 | Data* |
|-------|-------|----------|-------|

|      |      |             |
|------|------|-------------|
| Code | Name | Test record |
|------|------|-------------|

Code length = 4

First name length = 5

Test name length = 7

\*Another code could be used for the data on the test or there might be :  
standard format in the program for the data for each type of test.

**Figure 9-2** A variable-length record code.

We can see that this type of record structure adds complexity to file design and programming, but it does save file space. In some systems we lose space on the physical record in blocking variable-length records. There may be leftover space; for example, if the physical record is 1000 characters long and the sum of the variable-length records is 950 characters, it would be possible to waste 50 characters of space in the physical record. (Some systems will break a variable-length logical record into pieces in order to fill two physical blocks.)

Fortunately, there is another alternative to fixed- and variable-length records which can be used if a problem is structured so there is a varying number of fixed-length records. As an example, consider a department store that wants to keep track of the departments where a salesperson has worked, the length of time on the job, department number, gross sales, and commissions while working in that department. In this example we have the same information for each department, but a clerk could work in several departments. One solution in designing a computer file for this information is to use header and trailer records (sometimes called master and detail records). We keep the benefits of fixed-length record processing by having header and trailer records of the same size, although it may be necessary to put the data for several departments in one trailer record. The header and trailer records are identified by a single code in Figure 9-3. There will be one header record for each employee along with a variable number of fixed-length trailer records.

SEQUENTIAL FILES

The simplest kind of file is one in which all the records are in sequence according to some key such as employee number, part number, etc. Many computer applications rely on sequential files and although there is a trend to other types of files, sequential files will continue to be used heavily in the future.




Figure 9-3 Header and trailer records of the same fixed length.

## Storage Media


Sequential files are most commonly associated with magnetic tape, but they can be supported on other file devices as well. In sequential files, the data are ordered on some key; for example, the telephone book is ordered on the last name, as we discussed earlier. It is also possible to have the file ordered on other keys, just as the telephone book uses a secondary key based on a subscriber's first name or initial.

A magnetic tape for computer storage is similar to the tape used on a home tape recorder. It has an underlying base which is covered with a magnetically sensitive coating. It is easiest to regard the tape as a matrix of bit positions, that is, nine rows or tracks of magnetic positions which can be either 1 or 0, stretching along the length of tape. Each character is represented by one column of bits; see Figure 9-4 (in this particular scheme eight bits are used to represent a character and one bit is used to check for errors).

The density of the tape is the number of characters that can be recorded in an inch, and is often referred to as bits per inch (which is really characters per inch). In the early days of computers, densities were quite low. In the late 1960s and early 1970s, 800 characters per inch was considered normal and 1600 characters per inch was classified as high density. Now tapes are available with densities of over 6000 characters per inch.

## Processing Sequential Files

Because sequential files are in a sequence (for example, numerical order) and must be kept in that sequence, much of sequential file processing involves sorting data on some key. For example, all subscribers must be sorted on their last name and first name before a telephone book can be printed. There have been numerous books and articles written on various approaches to sorting (for example, Martin, 1971). Fortunately, most computer manufacturers supply sorting packages as a part of their operating systems. These packages are very efficient and simple to use; all that is necessary is to indicate the fields, record sizes, and sort key, and to assign intermediate work areas for the sort to use.



**Figure 9-4** Schematic of a nine-channel tape showing capacity of 1600 bits per inch.

MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 9.1

A small academic magazine wants to increase the number of issues published each year. Currently, the journal appears twice a year, and the new editors desire to publish three times a year. The magazine uses a rather elderly computer system to print mailing labels and renewal notices. Unfortunately, this old system, written in assembly language, allows for only two issues a year; there seems to be no way to persuade it to allow three issues to be published.

What do you think the original designer did in constructing the system to produce such inflexibility? How could the files have been designed originally to allow for more issues? Should the editors of the magazine try to modify the old system or commission the development of a new one? If a new one is developed, how can the files be designed to provide flexibility?

**Updating** A schematic for updating a sequential file is shown in Figure 9-5a. Since the master file is in order, input transactions must be sorted into the same order as the file before being processed. Note that a new file is created in the update process, since it is not good practice to try to read and write from the same tape file. (In fact, how could you possibly insert a new record and keep the tape in sequence?) The old file in the sequential update provides backup. If we keep the input transactions and the old file, any errors or the accidental destruction of the new tape can easily be remedied by running the update program again and updating the old file with the transactions.




Figure 9-5a Sequential file update schematic.

On an update there are three possible actions. First, we can modify a record; that is, we can change some part of the record read from the old file and then put it on the new file. Second, we can add a record by placing it in proper sequence on the new file. Third, we can delete a record from the old file by simply not writing it on the new file.

The logic of the sequential file update is complex because we should be able to add a record to the file, modify the new record by processing transactions against it, and delete the record, all on the same update run. (Consider someone who on the same day opens a bank account, writes several checks, and then closes the account.) This objective implies that transactions affecting a single record should be sequenced in the order of (1) add, (2) modify, and (3) delete. If there are nine types of transactions, transaction type 1 should be the creation of a new customer record, types 2 through 8 might be various other transactions which can occur, such as (in banking) deposits and withdrawals, and type 9 should be the delete. The transactions are processed in sequence by type number for each account.

The logic of a sequential file update is determined by the sequential nature of the file. The file must be maintained in sequence according to some key or keys. Incoming additions, modifications, and deletions must be in the same sequence as the file being updated. In the above banking example, the transaction file containing additions, modifications, and deletions to update the master file in the bank would be in exactly the same sequence by account number as the master file itself. Account number 10054 would appear on both files before account number 10056.

All transactions affecting the record for account number 10056 would be grouped together in the incoming group of transactions. The transactions affecting the record of account number 10056 should also be in order by transaction type. That is, the first transaction affecting 10056 would be an addition if this account number were being added to the file for the first time. Then all transactions of types 2 through 8 would appear, followed by transaction 9 if this record were to be deleted. Using this sequence for transactions, we cannot delete and add a record with the same key on the same run. For example, we are unable to close one account and assign that number to a new account on the same run, since a delete has a higher transaction number than an addition and the transactions for each master file record are in ascending order by transaction number. Since the key is usually a field such as the account number or employee number, we would probably not want to reuse the deleted number immediately anyway.

An update program should be designed so that during the update, with proper authorization, it is possible to change any field in the record to correct errors. Of course, we cannot change the key field through a simple modification. Instead, if there is something wrong with a key, we delete the record with the wrong key and add a record with the correct key.

To place the transactions in the same order as the master file, we use a sort program as described at the beginning of this section. A utility sort program allows us to specify the keys and the order of the sort, either ascending or

descending. Since we also want to have the transactions for each master file record in order by transaction number, we would specify a major and a minor (primary and secondary) sort key. For the above example, the major key would be account number and the minor key the transaction code. The sort program would produce as output a sorted transactions file with all transactions in the same order as the master file. Within the transactions affecting a single master file record, all transactions would be in order by transaction code.

**An Example** The example in Figure 9-5*b* should help to clarify the logic of a sequential file update. The left column contains the record number of each record in the old master file. The center column contains the sorted record numbers of transactions; these transactions are sorted on record number as major sequence and transaction code as minor sequence. Finally, the right column shows the new master file.

To begin the update, the program reads an old master record, 110, and a transaction record, 115. By comparing these two numbers, the program knows that record 110 has no changes. (There is no transaction record less than 115 and since the file and transactions are in sequence, there is no transaction to modify record 110.) Record 110 is written into the new master file and the program reads the old master record 130.

Because 130 is greater than the transaction record 115, the program knows that 115 must be the addition of a new record. (Any transaction with a key that does not match a key on the master file must be an addition or an error.) A check of the transaction code verifies that it is a new record, and the new record information is held in primary memory until there are no further transactions with the new record number 115. The next transaction is read and it does apply to the new record being constructed. The new record 115 is modified (indicated by an asterisk), and the next transaction is read.

This transaction is 130, so the program knows it can write the new record 115 into the new master file. Record 130 is already in memory and so transaction

| Old master file<br>record number | Transaction |      |         | New master file |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------|---------|-----------------|
|                                  | Record      | Code | Meaning |                 |
| 110                              | 115         | 1    | Add     | 110             |
| 130                              | 115         | 2    | Modify  | 115*            |
| 150                              | 130         | 2    | Modify  | 130*            |
| 170                              | 131         | 1    | Add     | 150*            |
| 200                              | 131         | 3    | Modify  | 165             |
|                                  | 131         | 9    | Delete  | 170             |
|                                  | 150         | 3    | Modify  | 200             |
|                                  | 165         | 1    | Add     |                 |

\*Refers to a modified record, that is, a record which has been updated by some transaction.

**Figure 9-5*b*** Sequential file update example.

130 is used to modify it. The next transaction is read, number 131. Because 131 is greater than 130, the program is done with record 130, and it can be written into the new master file. The old master file record 150 is read next. Record 131 is an addition to the file; the next transaction modifies this new record, and the last transaction affecting record 131 deletes it. A deletion is accomplished by simply not writing the deleted record into the new master file. The next transaction, this one affecting record 150, is read and used to modify record 150.

The program reads the next transaction, which affects record 165. Now record 150 can be written into the new master file and record 170 read. The transaction for record 165 adds it to the new master file and an end-of-file mark is encountered for the incoming transactions file. Therefore, the program only needs to copy old master file records into the new master file to complete the update.

**Retrieval** Retrieval from a sequential file can be accomplished with a retrieval transaction request, and a retrieval report can be prepared during the update. If there is only one printer in the physical computer system, most operating systems allow multiple reports to be spooled for later printing, that is, to be placed on a secondary storage device and printed later. Even without this capability, one could put all the reports on a tape as the data are processed. If a report code is included on the tape, it can be sorted on the code and the different reports printed. Frequently, however, because we need complex retrieval logic which complicates the update program, or because we are using a file management package, we process retrievals in a separate run after updating the file.

One of the major disadvantages of sequential files on tape is the fact that we have to process the entire file to retrieve information. If only a few records are needed for retrieval, we still have to read the entire tape. Also, even if only a few records are changed during an update, it is necessary to update and rewrite the entire file.

## DIRECT-ACCESS FILES

To overcome some of the problems above and to provide more rapid retrieval for on-line applications and more complex storage structures, direct-access files are used. These files allow more flexible file structures, but more work is required to use them.

### Storage Media

The most common device for storing direct-access files is the magnetic disk (see Figure 9-6). One type of disk consists of a series of platters mounted on a spindle. The top and the bottom of each platter (except for the very top and bottom ones) are coated with a magnetic material like that on a tape. Read and write heads are fitted between the platters. By moving the heads in and out we can access any track on the rotating disk. The maximum block size or physical record size for a disk file is limited by the physical capacity of each track. If the access arms do not move, each head reads or writes on the same track of each platter. Concep-

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 9.2

The vice president of manufacturing for Food Products, Inc., John Hedstrom, was enraged about the inventory system at the company. For three months, his staff worked overtime to correct input problems with data entry. The inventory status report had been replete with errors before their efforts. None of the balances could be trusted and as a result, shipments to customers tended to be chaotic.

The information services department had explained the input problems and suggested that they were the responsibility of the user department. While John had found it hard to disagree with that logic, he wondered if the system should have been designed to make input easier. Regardless of his misgivings, the vice president had agreed to have his staff work on the input problem because the inventory application is so critical.


The present problem has resulted from the first inventory status report following the preparation of "perfect" input. The report is still full of errors. John stormed into the office of the manager of the information services department and demanded an explanation. The head of the computer staff said, "Good, John, now you have fixed the input problems. Next, do you want to regenerate the inventory file from scratch or try to correct the current file?"

The vice president of manufacturing, slightly taken aback, asked, "What is a file?"

What should John Hedstrom know about files? Why is the current file unusable? What should Food Products do, generate a new inventory file or try to correct the existing one?

tually, these tracks form a cylinder, and, when using a disk file sequentially, we write on a given track of the first platter and then on the same track of the second platter and so on. This minimizes the access time since the heads do not have to move.

The total access time to read or write is made up of two components, seek time and rotational-delay time. Seek time is the time used in moving the read-write



**Figure 9-6** Magnetic disk.

heads from one position to another. Rotational delay occurs because the data we want may not be directly under the read-write heads, even though they are located over the correct track. We have to wait for the disk to revolve to the beginning of the desired data.

There are also available a number of fixed-head disk drives often called head-per-track disks. Since the largest component of average access time for a movable-head disk is seek time, fixed-head disks are considerably faster. For example, the average access time for one movable-head disk is 38 milliseconds, while, for the fixed-head counterpart, the average access time is 8 milliseconds.

Each track on the disk has an address. Usually, manufacturer-supplied software lets us specify a file and record size and then retrieve a specific record. The records are numbered 1 through  $n$ , where  $n$  is the number of records in the file. Thus, we can treat a file as consisting of a group of separately numbered records without concern over the physical track address where the record is stored. The software associates the track address with a logical record and finds the desired record for us.

### Processing Direct-Access Files

**Basics** There is no reason why the direct-access file cannot be processed sequentially in the same manner described in the last section for tape files. In fact, in many applications we update sequentially and retrieve records by direct access.

When processing the files directly, how do we locate the record wanted? If we request a record number, the file management software will supply it for us. However, we must associate the logical record number with the information desired. For example, in an inventory application, how do we know where information on inventory part number 1432 is located? What logical record contains data on part 1432? One solution is to begin at the first record on the file and read each record until we find part 1432, but this is simply scanning the file sequentially, which has no advantage over tape processing.

To relate a key of interest (part number 1432) to a logical record on the file, a directory is used. The directory is like a map which tells us where a particular address is located in a city. The problem of finding the location of a record for a particular key is called the key-to-address transformation problem. We have the value of a field, the key (part number 1432), and we want the record number (address) where the logical record with this key is located.

**Direct** There are three basic methods or types of directories for transforming a key to an address. The first is called the direct method and is rarely applicable. Here we let the key be the address; for example, part number 10 is stored on record 10. It is not often that an application occurs where this approach is possible. Possibly in setting up an entirely new system we could assign a part number to the inventory and use the number as a record address.

**Dictionaries** The second method for key-to-address transformation, called the dictionary approach, is probably the one used most often. A dictionary (a

table in memory) relates keys to their location, for example:

| Key  | Dictionary entry | Record address |
|------|------------------|----------------|
| 1432 | 1432-312         | 312            |
| 4293 | 4293-137         | 137            |

We search the dictionary in primary memory (which is several orders of magnitude faster than searching the disk itself) looking for the key. The dictionary entry tells at what record that key is located.

In the case of a very large file, the dictionary may become so big that it is stored on the disk file. Parts of the dictionary are brought into primary memory for searching. We usually try to keep dictionaries in order, so that it is not necessary to search them sequentially. A binary or some other rapid search is used in order to reduce search time.

In a binary search we divide the dictionary in half and compare the middle entry with the value of the key. If the key is in the bottom half of the table then we divide the bottom half in half. A comparison of this entry and the key indicates in what quarter of the dictionary the key is located. With each successive comparison, we reduce the number of possible dictionary entries in half. By the third comparison we look at one-eighth of the dictionary. When there are only a few entries left it is possible to search sequentially. Remember that the key may not be in the dictionary because there is no record with this key in the file! (For example, information may be requested on a part number that is not stocked in inventory.)

When the dictionary is in order and we use a binary search, the need to store the dictionary on disk because it is so large is no problem. The various break-points—the value of the key in the dictionary at the  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{8}$  positions, and so forth—are kept in primary memory. Then, the search key is compared with these numbers and the appropriate one-eighth, say, of the dictionary is brought into memory for searching. In this way, we have formed what can be considered a hierarchical directory. That is, a limited amount of information is maintained in primary memory as a directory to a more detailed directory on the disk.

**Hashing** The final key-to-address transformation technique is randomizing or hash coding. Here we gain access speed at the expense of storage space. Randomizing refers to performing some calculation with the key and using the result of the calculation as an address. Clearly, there is no guarantee that the computation will not result in the same address for two different keys. Such an occurrence is called a “collision,” and the keys with same address are called “synonyms.” In the case of collisions, we can recalculate the address or we can look for the next open record in the file and put the data there. For this approach to work we need a file with many open locations or eventually processing will become sequential. Experience indicates that a file 50 percent larger than the total number of records is necessary for this approach to work.

Collisions or synonyms create a problem when hashed files are modified. Assume that we have a hashed file and are using the next sequential location that is available on the file for collisions. If two keys hashed to logical record 2365, and if record 2366 were empty, the second of the two incoming records would be placed at record 2366 on the file. Later, suppose that the first record, which was actually stored at file record 2365, is to be deleted. If we physically remove this record from the file, we shall "lose" the record at file location 2366!

This situation occurs because both records had the same address after hashing. The collision of keys forced us to put the second record in an adjoining location, 2366. When the second record is requested, it will still hash to 2365. When we examine location 2365 in the file, we find it empty and assume that the second record is not in the file. Thus, physically deleting the first record has destroyed the path to the second.

To solve this problem, we can simply use a delete indicator (a field we establish in each record) to signal whether a record is to be deleted. Periodically, the file is restructured physically, deleted records being dropped and all records being reassigned to new locations on the file. Now, when we try to retrieve the second record, we find the first record at location 2365 in the file. Since the key of this first record is not the one wanted, we look at the next sequential record at location 2366. Since its key matches the one for which we are looking, the desired record has been located. Later, when the file is restructured, the first record, with the delete indicator set, would be dropped and the second record would be stored at location 2365 in the new file.

One of the most frequent computations used in randomizing is to divide the key by the largest prime number smaller than the file size in records and use the remainder as the record address. The objective of any transformation technique is to have a distribution of addresses that results in the minimum number of collisions. As an example, if a file had 1000 records the divisor would be 997. A key of 3722 would give a quotient of 3 with a remainder of 731, and 731 would become the record address for storage purposes.

### More Complex Structures

So far in the discussion of direct-access files, we have talked about how to locate a unique primary key such as an inventory part number. (This key is unique because there would be only one part with a given number.) More complex structures are also possible with direct-access files. For example, we can ask questions about how many parts are needed for a particular assembly and obtain a response. Clearly, all the same things could be accomplished with tape files and sorting, but the time and processing required would be inordinate.

Consider an inventory example in which it is desired to keep track of what parts belong in what assembly. This situation is depicted in Table 9-1*a*, and we wish to define a file structure to answer questions such as what parts in inventory are used to build assembly number 103. To find all parts used in assembly 103 it is possible to read each record and see if the assembly field is equal to 103. In Table 9-1*a* we read record 1, which is used in assembly 103. Then we read records 2

Table 9-1a File Example

| Record no. | Part no. | Assembly | On hand | Vendor  |
|------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| 1          | 4326     | 103      | 27      | ACME    |
| 2          | 6742     | 607      | 51      | JOHNSON |
| 3          | 8137     | 12       | 100     | DAWES   |
| 4          | 3218     | 103      | 13      | FRAZIER |
| 5          | 3762     | 607      | 43      | ARMOR   |

and 3 without finding assembly 103. We find it again at record 4, and so on. Clearly, this process is not very efficient; there could be a hundred records between each occurrence of assembly 103.

To avoid this reading time we use a pointer, which is a piece of data whose value points to another record; in this case it points to the next record where assembly 103 is found. The inclusion of pointers in the file is shown in Table 9-1b. The pointer in record 1 points to the next occurrence of assembly 103 in record 4. Now, when looking for assembly 103, we retrieve record 1 and examine the pointer field; it tells us that the next occurrence of assembly 103 is at record 4. We follow the chain of pointers through the file to answer the retrieval question of what parts belong in assembly 103. This type of file structure is known as a “linked list” or a “chained file.”

How do we find the record of the first part in assembly 103? We could read the file sequentially, but there might be 500 or 600 records before the first part in assembly 103 is located. This problem is easily solved using a directory like the one in Table 9-1c. This directory simply points to the first part contained in assembly 103; first we retrieve this record and then follow the chain of pointers in each record through the file,

It is also possible to remove the pointers from the file and put them all in the directory, which is then called an inverted directory, as shown in Table 9-1d. If there are multiple chains, questions can be answered, without accessing the file, just by processing the directory. Suppose that the file also has a directory for vendors, with the vendor ACME located in records 1, 16, and 42. By examining the directories for part and vendor, we see that Acme supplies two parts for assembly 103, since both ACME and assembly 103 can be found in records 1 and 42. All this processing can be done with the two directories without ever accessing the file! However, the price for this added flexibility is increased

Table 9-1b File Example

| Record no. | Part no. | Assembly | On hand | Vendor  | Pointer |
|------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1          | 4326     | 103      | 27      | ACME    | 4       |
| 2          | 6742     | 607      | 51      | JOHNSON | 5       |
| 3          | 8137     | 12       | 100     | DAWES   | 13      |
| 4          | 3218     | 103      | 13      | FRAZIER | 42      |
| 5          | 3762     | 607      | 43      | ARMOR   | 106     |

**Table 9-1c Directory for Assemblies**

| Assembly | Record |
|----------|--------|
| 12       | 3      |
| 25       | 212    |
| 103      | 1      |
| 104      | 62     |
| 607      | 2      |

programming complexity and the need to create and maintain complex directories.

How are the directories and links built in the first place? One possibility is to use the program written to create the file originally. In creating the file, a program maintains a table in memory containing each part number. When the part number is encountered, the program places a pointer in the file to the last location in the file containing this part number and updates the pointer table. When the program is finished the table becomes a directory and the pointers run backwards through the file.

In the example of Table 9-1a the program keeps a list of inventory part numbers in primary memory. Upon encountering part number 103 in record 1, the program places a 0 in the pointer field of the record and a 1 in the record address portion of the directory. Processing is done the same way for records 2 and 3 (assemblies 607 and 12). When the program encounters assembly 103 at record 4 it places the pointer from the directory (1) into the pointer field of record 4. Now, record 4 points back to record 1. Then the program updates the directory record address field to 4, and the directory points to record 4, which points to record 1. When finished, the record address field in the directory points to the most recent occurrence of assembly 103. That record points backward through succeeding records until the chain ends at record 1 with a pointer of 0. Another alternative to developing pointers in the file is to use a packaged software system. We shall discuss this topic later under data-base management systems.

### Updating

Updating a direct-access file can be done randomly (direct) or sequentially. However, if we update on-line, then only the records that are actually changed

**Table 9-1d Inverted Directory for Assemblies**

| Assembly | Record         |
|----------|----------------|
| 12       | 3, 13, . . .   |
| 25       | 212, . . .     |
| 103      | 1, 4, 42, . .  |
| 104      | 62, . . .      |
| 607      | 2, 5, 106, . . |

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 9.3

Betty Martin, marketing manager for Interland Express Company, was amazed at the memorandum she had just received from the information services department. She had asked to have sales information for the company available for inquiry. In particular, she had wanted to know the performance for each sales representative and to retrieve information on sales by customer.

The information services department said that they had all of these data, but they were not available on the right files. Betty did not understand exactly what this meant. The computer group went on to say that the data on sales were stored on a "sequential tape file;" her request would require the use of several sort programs and would produce two massive reports.

Betty knew that the company had just installed a new on-line retrieval system and asked why the data she wanted could not be made a part of that system. The information services department responded that this could be done, but would require the design of new files and retrieval commands. The new files would be on disk and would require two directories, one on sales representatives and the other on customers.


Betty Martin was now completely perplexed. Could you explain to her the alternatives available to the computer staff in meeting her request? What are the pros and cons of each alternative? Which do you expect to be most expensive? Which is most responsive to Betty's needs?

need to be modified; it is not necessary to process the entire file. The disadvantage of this approach is that it is easy to lose an audit trail; records are changed and there is no backup copy as there is in the sequential update. In order to keep an old version of the file it is necessary to dump the file on some other storage medium such as another disk file or tape.

What happens to pointers when records are added to, modified, and deleted from a direct-access file? Suppose part number 3218 in Table 9-1 is changed to assembly 607 from assembly 103. Can we just modify the assembly field in record 4? If it were not for the pointer chains running through the file, the answer to the question would be "yes." However, the modification would destroy the chain of pointers. (See Figure 9-7.) We could change the pointers, but in performing an update on record 4 we would have to know that the previous pointer was located at record 1.

There are three choices available. First, the program can look up assembly 103 and trace the chain of pointers through the file to find the one pointing to record 4. In this case, the program would change the pointer of record 1 from 4 to 42. Here we were lucky to find the record of interest on the first try; on the average, we would expect to follow a chain of pointers through half the file in order to locate the pointer immediately preceding the record to be changed.

A second alternative is to design the file with backward pointers for the assemblies; for example, a pointer from record 4 to record 1. Then, both sets of pointers have to be changed, but it is only necessary to access the three records that are involved for each change. This solves the problem of changing assembly



**Figure 9-7** Changing chained files.

103. Here we save processing time at the cost of secondary storage and extra programming logic.

The third choice is to set a delete indicator and leave the record in the file just as we did with hash coding. In this case, we set a delete indicator at the old part number 3218 in record 4 and add a new record for part 3218 showing 607. Then we periodically restructure the file: the old file becomes input to the original file-creation program, which eliminates records with delete indicators and sets up new pointer chains and directories.

Any of these three choices solves the problem of modifying record 4 while maintaining the assembly 103 pointer chain. The last step is to modify record 4 and add assembly 607 to its chain. We can add assembly 607 to its chain simply by making the directory now point to it and letting its pointer field point to the old directory entry. That is, the directory record field for assembly 607 would now be 4 and the pointer in the new modified record 4 would be 2.

### Data Structures

Data structures are the physical and logical relationships among records in computer files. There are a number of possible data structures. Physical data structures simply represent the physical location of records in a file. In a sequential file, all records are located in a linear sequence; they are usually related logically according to an ordering on some key field. On a disk, the physical data structure might include some directory records at the beginning of a cylinder followed by tracks with data records.

Physical data structures are illustrated further by the difference between a regular and inverted file. The regular file follows some natural ordering such as a list of all assemblies followed by their components (assembly 103, part 4326, part

3218, etc.). For this regular file organization, the file in Table 9-1a would be called inverted because of its opposite and less natural structure. For some types of processing, such as preparing bills of materials for assemblies, the inverted file can be more efficient.

Given the fact that we can arbitrarily assign pointers, and even have pointers going across files (one file record pointing to a record in a second file), there is virtually no limit to the kind of logical data structures that can be created. We can have linked lists, doubly linked lists (backward pointers), rings (the last record points back to the first record), networks, tree structures, hierarchical files, and so forth. This flexibility makes it possible to develop very sophisticated and complex file structures to support information systems.

## **ERROR CONTROL**

In any file operation, we should provide for some kind of backup. In a sequential or a batch system, backup is produced automatically. For an on-line system, we have to dump the files periodically if they are not updated sequentially.

Processing controls are also necessary to ensure the integrity of the file. An edit should be performed on each transaction to see if all numeric fields are filled with numeric data and to pinpoint transactions or data coding errors. It is also useful to include upper- or lower-bounds checks for reasonableness. Such a check specified by a user might be to determine if the number of items received is less than 2 times the number ordered. The program should keep processing, but should issue notices that an error may have been made. Sometimes a record is flagged to ensure that a change is made on the next update.

For fields that are particularly crucial on the file, it may be desirable to verify all changes. For example, the program updating the file could carry a summary record at the end of the file with various totals on it. In the example used here, we could keep a total on the number of parts in inventory on a record at the end of the file. During file updating, the program would add all parts, keeping track of additions and usage. At the completion of the update, the summary record would be examined to determine if the old figure adjusted for additions and usage matches the new one.

## **DATA-BASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS**

The objective of a data-base management system is to facilitate the creation of files and file structure and relieve the programmer of the problems of setting up complicated files. Data-base management systems have developed from a concept of the data base as something distinct from the programs accessing it. In addition to easing processing, this approach has tended to highlight the importance of data as a resource in the organization, and as something that has to be carefully managed.

Most data-base management systems have grown from simple file-accessing aids and retrieval packages. The early file-management packages operated on

sequential files. Users described the file records, and a retrieval language was used to express complex logical relationships among fields for retrieval. Many of these packages have been extended to include updating capabilities, thus eliminating the need to write a detailed program for retrieval and substituting a much higher level, user-oriented language instead.

As direct-access files became more common, several vendors offered file-access packages for use in writing programs in computer languages such as COBOL. One of these accessing methods is known as the indexed sequential access method, or ISAM. This software package allows the COBOL programmer to develop a program to update and retrieve information from a direct-access file with a single access key without having to construct a directory or write search routines. The ISAM software maintains a directory (a dictionary in this case) for each record. The application program supplies a key and the system retrieves the record.

The software maintains overflow areas and pointers to keep the file in order sequentially. Thus, updating can be done sequentially and retrieval can be accomplished on a direct-access basis. This type of file has been very popular because it is possible to update in batch, but inquire on-line. As a file gets out of order and the overflow areas are filled, it is periodically necessary to restructure the file. Statistics are provided by the software as to when this is advisable. Simple access methods such as this and file-management packages have evolved into much more complex data-base management systems.

### **Complete Data-Base Systems**

A complete data-base management system separates the definition of data from the programs that access it. The data-base administrator (or the programmer) describes the data in a special data-definition language. The relationships among various fields and records are also specified to define the file structure. The data-base management system constructs all of the pointers, the linkages, and the directories automatically. The applications program issues calls for the data it desires. The data-base management system examines the data request and determines where the records of interest are located; it returns the entire record or the field requested to the calling program.

With one of these systems, it is possible to design file structures much more easily and to set up a data base which can be used by a number of different applications programs. These systems also try to avoid data redundancy; the same data are not maintained by a number of different systems, each having different files.

Data-base management systems can be very difficult to learn to use and install. As one can imagine, they are very complex programs and they tend to be inefficient in computer time and costly in storage. However, the importance of these costs is being reduced by the need for greater programming productivity. Machine costs are getting cheaper while human costs are getting higher.

Firms may also find it wasteful to have a significant proportion of their data on-line all the time. It really may not be desirable to integrate the data base, at least for some infrequently accessed data. However, new mass-storage devices

are being developed and marketed which will reduce storage costs to the extent that we can afford to have huge amounts of data nearly on-line. For example, one of these devices uses disks as a staging area; some 472 billion characters of data can be stored with an average access time of around 10 to 20 seconds for the data to be transferred to a disk pack.


Many organizations have achieved impressive results using data-base management systems. However, it is necessary to study and evaluate the systems carefully. If you do not need the most complex system, do not acquire it. Clearly, the future trend is to use data-base management packages to save programmer, analyst, and implementation time. Currently, there are few standards and there are wide differences among the packages. It is best to look at present and planned applications and then use references supplied by the package vendor to talk with other users. Try to determine the good and bad points of each package under consideration. For a discussion of various selection factors for data-base management systems, see Merten and Sibley (1973).

**An Example**

The different data-base management systems offer a variety of file structures, though the same file problem can usually be solved with the structure of each system. One data-base management system features two kinds of files, a master and a variable file. The master is assessed on some key and linked to the variable file. Within the variable file, associations among entries are linked by pointers.

Figure 9-8 shows examples of different logical file structures represented with this network scheme. At the top of the figure we see a master file of inventory parts and their quantities in stock. This master file is linked to a variable file containing a history of usage of each part issued from the inventory.

A master file can have multiple variable files in this system. In the example



**Figure 9-8** A network data base example.

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 9.4

Marvin Thompson is president of Midwestern Bank and Trust. He has just returned from a bankers' convention in which the major topic was data-base systems. Midwestern has been studying the problem of central files for several years. The idea of a central file is to consolidate all of the information about a customer of the bank. Currently, one system maintains data on loans to commercial customers, another one keeps track of demand deposits, a third keeps track of savings and certificates of deposit, and so forth.

The major advantage of central files is the better service they allow. The bank knows the total business picture of any given customer. However, as with any new system, there are disadvantages. Several representatives at the convention indicated that data-base management systems were not a panacea for computer problems. Because so much data were resident on expensive direct-access storage, costs were very high for central files.

Marvin wondered what major factors to consider in deciding whether Midwestern should move toward a central file system or not. If the file system is to be developed, should the bank program its own data-base routines or acquire a commercial data-base management package? What factors should it consider? Can you help Marvin structure the bank's decision problem?

of Figure 9-8, we can add another variable file to keep track of receipts of each part. It is also possible to have two master files accessing a single transaction file as shown in the bottom half of Figure 9-8. The parts and inventory master file is the same as the example in the top half of the figure. The second master file, a list of projects, is linked to all transactions which took place for a particular project. There would be two types of linkage in the variable file—one for transactions for the same part and the other for transactions for the same project. This same logical structure could be represented by data-base management systems using other forms such as a hierarchical tree structure.

One major advantage is that data-base management systems set up all of the directories and pointers automatically. The user specifies only the logical structure of the files; much of the complex programming for direct-access retrieval and updating is thus eliminated by the data-base management system.

## FILE DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

We have discussed a large amount of technical material in this chapter on file devices and logical file structures. However, we have not described how the systems designer should decide what kind of a file structure to use. Unfortunately, file design is more of an art at this time than a science. In this section, we attempt to provide some general guidelines for file design. However, much of the structure of the files for a computer system depends on the individual application, and the designer will have to make a number of decisions from the information developed during the systems analysis steps preceding file design.

**Record Structure**

The first file design decisions involve the data to be stored on the files. As the design for a new information system is developed, the requirements for information to be stored for subsequent retrieval will be specified. Related information is grouped into records, and there may be several types of records in a single physical file. Record types must be defined, and so must the key fields for retrieval purposes.

Information in a file is typically updated in two ways. First, routine transactions occur which change fields in the file. For example, the receipt of a new shipment changes the balance due in an accounts-payable file and the quantity on hand in an inventory file. Other fields on the file are changed much less frequently—for example, vendors’ addresses. The input for this type of change can be classified more realistically as file maintenance. In principle, it should be possible to change any field in the file with proper authorization, because errors can occur anywhere and provisions must be made to correct them. File maintenance input is usually processed by different users than in normal transaction processing, and the design of the input form and medium may differ for the two types of updates.

Having defined the basic information content of the files along with groupings of logical records, the designer approaches the problem of defining the record format. Fixed-length records are the easiest and simplest to use from a processing and programming standpoint. If there is a varying amount of fixed-length information, header and trailer records can be used. However, if the length of the record must vary, then variable-length records are necessary.

**Response versus Cost**

Having defined the contents and format of the file, the analyst next examines information on the nature, volume, frequency, and response-time requirements for retrievals and updates involving the file. We must balance response-time requirements against the costs of (1) creating the data base (including programming costs), (2) storing the data, (3) retrieving data, and (4) updating the data.

Some of the research on file design has resulted in the development of several models which aid the designer by forecasting the costs of alternative data-base configurations. Most of these models are in the research and development stage, but when refined we hope that systems designers will be able to make routine use of them. In the future, the designer should be able to describe the physical file devices available, the structure of the data base and expected activities, and be able to work with a time-sharing computer system to compare different file design strategies. However, in the absence of these models or the time and resources to manually compute the tradeoffs between response and cost for different data-base configurations, what guidelines can be offered?

**Batch Files** If there is no need for immediate inquiry or on-line updating, then batch sequential files are the cheapest to develop and maintain for most applications. In addition, these files offer good error-checking and backup fea-

tures. However, even for a batch application, with seemingly no need for on-line access, direct-access files may be necessary because of processing requirements. For example, if a direct-access file structure were not used in the application of Table 9-1 to produce a bill of materials for each assembly, it would be necessary to sort the file many times and undertake very complicated processing, which might not be feasible.

**Direct-Access Files** If processing or access response-time requirements justify the added cost, direct-access files can be selected by the designer. In the simplest case, only processing logic demands direct access, as in the example for the bill of materials processing above. For this application, it is not necessary to process retrieval requests on-line. The next level of design effort and complexity is represented by allowing on-line inquiry, but updating the files in batch mode. This alternative is less costly and complex than on-line updating and offers better error control. If the only need for access is on a single key, then a simple package such as one of the available index sequential access method (ISAM) programs is recommended.

If the information to be retrieved on-line must be up to date instantaneously—for example, in a reservations system or stolen-vehicle law-enforcement application—then on-line updating will be necessary. Of the three directory approaches discussed in this chapter, the easiest one to program is a dictionary with a linked or chained list of pointers through the file. If storage space is not a problem, but speed is important, hash coding is the fastest key-to-address transformation technique. However, remember that a significant amount of extra file space must be available to realize this speed advantage. Inverted directories should be used only where there are complex retrieval requests or where presearch statistics are needed before the data file is actually accessed.

**Data-Base Management Systems** The acquisition of a data-base management system may be warranted if systems currently being designed and ones planned for the future incorporate direct-access files for any of the purposes described above. As discussed earlier in this chapter, these systems offer a number of advantages. Although they may require a substantial investment in capital and labor, subsequent applications should be more easily designed and implemented. There is clearly a growing trend in organizations toward the adoption of data-base management systems to facilitate file design for information systems.

## KEY WORDS

|                     |                      |               |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Addition            | Blocking factor      | Deletion      |
| Average access time | Chained file         | Density       |
| Backup              | Character            | Dictionary    |
| Batch processing    | Data-base management | Direct access |
| Binary search       | system               | Directory     |

|                     |                 |                        |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Disk                | Key-to-address  | Retrieval              |
| Field               | transformation  | Rotational-delay time  |
| File                | Linked lists    | Secondary storage      |
| Fixed-length record | Logical records | Seek time              |
| Hash coding         | Magnetic tape   | Sequential file        |
| Header record       | Modification    | Trailer record         |
| Interrecord gap     | Physical record | Transactions           |
| Inverted directory  | Pointer         | Variable-length record |
| Key                 |                 |                        |

RECOMMENDED READINGS

Davis, G. B.: *Computer Data Processing*, 2d ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973. (See especially the chapter on computer files for a clear description of the data processing aspects of files.)

Dodd, G.: "Elements of Data Management Systems," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 1, no. 2, 1969, pp. 117-122. (An extremely well-written article describing possible file structures; read it several times to be sure you understand it.)

Merten, A. G., and E. H. Sibley: "Implementation of a Generalized Data Based Management System within an Organization," *Management Informatics*, vol. 2, no. 1, February 1973, pp. 21-31. (A good discussion of organizational philosophy. Some of the disadvantages of these systems are explained.)

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 Why is a new file written during a batch update?
- 2 What are the advantages and disadvantages of batch updating?
- 3 What are the advantages and disadvantages of direct-access updating?
- 4 Where do users encounter computer files? Why is an understanding of their structure and operations important?
- 5 Compression techniques are often used to reduce file-storage requirements and input/output transfer times. Various schemes are used to compress information and eliminate redundant data. For example, we might remove blanks from a file of text and replace them with a special character and a number indicating the number of blanks that were removed. What are the advantages and disadvantages of compressing a file key along with the rest of the record for a direct-access file?
- 6 Is there any advantage to blocking records for direct-access retrieval?
- 7 What procedure do you recommend for providing file backup for an on-line system?
- 8 For a file of 1000-character records which contains 20,000 records, would a dictionary or hash coding be fastest for retrieval on a single key? What are the advantages and disadvantages of each type of directory?
- 9 Why would programming for an inverted directory be more difficult than for a dictionary and a linked-list (chained) file?
- 10 What are the major capabilities which a data-base management system should offer?
- 11 A relatively new mass-storage device was described in this chapter which is capable of holding hundreds of millions of characters. However, access to each record on a direct basis is relatively time-consuming. In what types of applications do you think such a mass storage device might be used?

- 12 It has been suggested that the difficulty of updating is inversely related to the difficulty of retrieval. That is, a file structure that facilitates retrieval is likely to be very difficult to update. Do you agree with this observation? Why?
- 13 Why is it undesirable to have the same data stored on more than one file?
- 14 Data-base management systems stress independence between data and access programs. To what extent is this possible; that is, can a program ever be completely independent from the data it uses?
- 15 How does a data-base management system contribute to the flexibility of information systems?
- 16 For a mature computer installation with 50 or 100 different applications, what problems would you envision in the adoption of a data-base management system?
- 17 If a dictionary is so large that it cannot be stored in primary memory but must be placed on a disk, would you recommend that it be placed all at one location in a separate file or that the directory be interspersed with the actual data records? Why? If the directory were to be interspersed, what criteria would you use to determine where to place it relative to the data in the file? (Hint: Consider the average access time for the disk, which consists of seek and rotational-delay times.)
- 18 Does the use of accessing techniques such as ISAM or complete data-base management packages mean that the analyst and programmer do not have to understand how files are structured or how the packages work? What problems can be created if the programmer and analyst are unaware of the physical and the logical structure of the data?
- 19 For the example in Figure 9-8, express the file structures as a hierarchical data base.
- 20 What are the major disadvantages of data-base management systems?
- 21 What difficulties would be created by having to add data to a file on tape, for example, to print a new piece of information on a report? What would the problem be if the information is to be retrieved on-line from a direct-access file? Would your answer differ if the added information is to be a retrieval key?

## PROBLEMS

- 1 Assume that you have been given the following information to be contained in a sequential tape file with fixed-length records:

| Item                   | Size in characters |
|------------------------|--------------------|
| Social security number | 9                  |
| Last name              | 15                 |
| Middle initial         | 4                  |
| First name             | 10                 |
| Address line 1         | 15                 |
| Address line 2         | 15                 |
| Address line 3         | 10                 |
| Zip code               | 5                  |
| Account number         | 7                  |
| Account balance        | 10                 |

The file is used by a retail store for charge-account processing.

- a On what key(s) would you organize this file if it is to be updated with purchases and payments?

- b Given your file organization, how would you produce a report of customers by geographic area?
- c If you have a tape 2400 ft long and can record on it with a density of 1600 characters per inch how many customers could you get on a reel, assuming a blocking factor of 1?
- d What would the answer be to c with a blocking factor of 10?
- 2 Given a sequential tape file, assume that it is desired to keep a record of each transaction during the year. Each customer may have 0 to an infinite number of these transactions.
  - a What are two possible solutions for including these data?
  - b What are the problems with each solution?
  - c Which do you recommend?
- 3 A direct-access file on a disk has been proposed for a police on-line system to locate (1) stolen vehicles and (2) cars with outstanding tickets. Officers in the field will radio inquiries to a terminal operator. The officer can inquire about (1) license plate number, (2) auto make, (3) color, or (4) make and color together. It is estimated that the proportion of inquiries will be 70, 15, 10, and 5 percent respectively.
  - a Describe the organization of the file and the directory for your primary key.
  - b For the other two access keys do you recommend a linked list or an inverted directory? Show how the file would appear under each alternative and explain the reasons behind your choice.
- 4 Consider the following job-matching system which is maintained on-line. A record consists of
  - Social Security number
  - Employee's name
  - Employee's address
  - Salary requirements
  - Skills code
  - Area

The file is used for several purposes and it was decided to keep it on a disk in social security number order. You may assume that record addressing is relative to the beginning of the file and is independent of the physical track address.

For one application, employer representatives call the employment office and a clerk enters their requests, which can be either by area, skills code, or some combination of the two.

- a How would you update this file (remember an update includes adding, deleting, and modifying records) if the skills code and area are referenced by a directory showing their initial file location and a linked list of pointers through the file? Describe how your update plan would affect subsequent inquiry file accessing.
- b What would your answer be to a if there were no points in the file and the area and skill codes were referenced through an inverted directory?
- 5 A request has just been made to include two additional fields in the file in Problem 4. These fields would contain a code for the employee's last two jobs.
  - a What problems would this change create both for files and programs?
  - b Would the change be easier with an inverted directory or a linked-list file organization?
- 6 An analyst has just recommended that your company invest in the development of a financial data storage and retrieval system. The plan calls for using the system inside the company and for sales to other firms. The system will operate on-line.

The major problem facing you is to evaluate the analyst's proposal for the file system. The use of a fully inverted directory and file—that is, inverted on every field—is recommended. The analyst feels that this will enhance the marketability of the system, since it is difficult to anticipate all user requests.

Do you agree with the analyst? Why or why not?

The contents of the file are:

For each Fortune 500 company:

For each of the past 20 years:

Beginning stock price

Closing stock price

Average stock price

Dividends

Splits

Sales

Income

Profits

Number of shares outstanding

## HARDWARE

- Service

- Remote Batch Processing

- Internal Systems

## SOFTWARE

- Software Houses

- Packages

- Internal Staff

## COMPARISON OF SOURCES

- Hardware

- Software

- The Decision

## ACQUISITION STRATEGY

## PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

- Early Approaches

- Contemporary Evaluation Techniques

- Recommendations

## ACQUISITION OF A NEW COMPUTER SYSTEM

- Request for Proposal

- Performance

- Proposal Evaluation

## SOFTWARE PACKAGES

- Criteria

- Decision

## KEY WORDS

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# System Alternatives and Acquisition

Management is frequently involved in decisions on the acquisition of computer equipment and services, and these decisions have major implications for the organization. For some organizations, the decision to acquire computer capabilities will lead to the creation of an information services department requiring the investment of substantial resources. Even for an organization with a mature information services department, users frequently must choose between internal services and the special services offered by external vendors of computer time and/or software. In this chapter, we discuss some of the considerations in deciding between internal and external sources for hardware, systems, and software. We also explore major evaluation criteria for both hardware and software.

## HARDWARE

There is a wide range of sources for computer power (see Table 10-1). We shall discuss the alternatives of a service bureau, remote processing, and an internal computer system for batch, on-line, or time-sharing services.

**Table 10-1 Sources of Hardware and Software**

| <b>Hardware alternatives</b> |  |   |   |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|
|                              | <b>Service bureau</b>                                  | <b>Remote</b>   | <b>Internal</b>   |
| Batch                        | At service bureau                                      | Batch terminal  | General-purpose or minicomputer                               |
| On-line                      | Through large time-sharing network                     | Not applicable  | Mixed or dedicated general-purpose, or dedicated minicomputer |
| Time sharing                 | Interactive terminals connected to central computer(s) | Not applicable  | Mixed general-purpose, or dedicated minicomputer              |
| <b>Software alternatives</b> |  |   |   |
|                              | <b>Software consultant/<br/>vendor</b>                 | <b>Applications<br/>package</b>   | <b>Internal staff</b>   |
| Batch                        | Contract programming and design                        | Modifications by vendor or staff  | Develop from beginning  |
| On-line                      | Contract programming and design                        | Large system requires modification, small system may be operational without changes | Will use some packages, develop own applications program      |
| Time sharing                 | Only for modifications                                 | Use as is or modify   | Modifications or write programs                               |

### Service

Many organizations with no computer systems gain their first experience with information systems through service bureaus. Most service bureaus provide a range of services, from keypunching data through the processing and return of output. A number of service bureaus offer specialized packages, for example, payroll or accounts receivable. For batch work, the computer is located at the service bureau.

For on-line systems using the external services alternative, generally a nationwide time-sharing network is employed. The customer takes advantage of the vendor's existing operating systems and communications network; it is only necessary to write applications programs. One automobile manufacturer has adopted this approach for providing certain information to dealers so that a dedicated on-line system is not needed. If a dealer in one city decides to subscribe to the service now, long after the system was installed, the manufacturer only has to modify the basic tables in the system; there is no need to reconfigure the computer network, since the system uses an existing, national communications network.

Many service bureaus provide time-sharing services; here the user has one or more interactive terminals which are usually connected by dial-up telephone lines to the computer. A service bureau owns and operates the computer. A user dials a connection phone call to establish a connection between the terminal and one of several service bureaus. There are a number of highly specialized service bureaus; for example, one offers services primarily to the financial community. This company has a number of proprietary data bases available and a special-purpose, high-level language to manipulate these data. Other bureaus offer general computational time-sharing services.

### **Remote Batch Processing**

A remote system is similar to a service bureau in the sense that the central computer is not at the user's own location; instead there is a local terminal which is used primarily for batch processing. The terminal features a card reader and line printer and often a card punch. There is frequently a tape drive at the batch terminal; the terminal may be a minicomputer or even a small general-purpose computer. With this processing alternative, an organization must have a computer department of some type, but it need not invest a large sum of money in a large general-purpose computer. Instead, this computer is at a service bureau and data are transmitted to and from it over telephone lines. Usually this alternative employs leased lines which offer higher speeds than voice-grade circuits. Remote batch work has somewhat the same characteristics as time sharing on a large computer. For example, one company which performs many engineering computations uses a remote batch system because it can buy only the computer services it needs. When the firm had its own computer system, it could not afford a computer with enough power to run large compute-bound engineering jobs efficiently. Now the firm pays for only the capacity it uses on a much larger general-purpose computer than it used previously.

A primary advantage of this approach to processing, then, is sharing. We still must have a computer department; in fact, all input/output preparation proceeds just as if the computer were on site. Instead of having a large general-purpose computer located within the organization, however, we have a terminal sending the same type of data to and receiving it from a central computer at a remote location. For backup purposes, several different vendors can be accessed from the same remote terminal.

### **Internal Systems**

Our last alternative is an internal computer system; here, the organization owns, rents, or leases computer equipment. Large organizations typically have a mix of general-purpose computers and minicomputers for special jobs. A mature information services department usually undertakes a variety of activities from the operation and maintenance of existing systems to the design of new computer applications. The typical department includes an operations section with control clerks, data transcription personnel, computer operators, and possibly maintenance programmers. There is also a systems development group with analysts and programmers; some installations have systems programmers as well.

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 10.1

Woolen Works, a small manufacturer of men's and boys' sweaters has expanded steadily during the last decade. A rapid growth in sales strained existing information processing, especially for order entry. The president of the firm finally decided that some action was necessary and hired a consultant. The consultant recommended that the firm develop a computer-based order-entry system.

The president agreed that something was needed, but was unsure how to continue from the consultant's recommendation. Woolen could acquire its own computer and set up an information systems department. However, the president did not like the idea of becoming dependent on a few people to process information and did not feel he knew enough to manage a computer department.

A service bureau was also suggested. However, this meant that Woolen would be completely dependent on another organization. What if a service bureau could not meet the daily processing demanded by Woolen's order-entry system? A final alternative was to acquire a packaged system built around a dedicated minicomputer. One firm has been trying to interest him in such a system for several months. The drawback here is that Woolen would have to adapt to the system; the vendor will not change it to meet Woolen's unique requirements.

What other factors should the president of Woolen consider? Develop a scenario describing the development and operation of a system under each alternative.

Small organizations in the last few years have acquired minicomputers at a rapid rate. These small, less expensive machines have expanded computer power to organizations which had no computer resources or could use only a service bureau. A minicomputer can be used for batch work of a general-purpose nature. On-line terminals connected directly to the computer are often used to key source data into the machine. When this mode of operation takes too much available computer time, devices which record data off-line are substituted, and the operation resembles the data preparation activities of a large computer department with general-purpose computers.

For on-line work, a large organization may have a dedicated on-line system or operate in mixed mode, with both on-line and batch work processed simultaneously on one computer. A small organization may use a dedicated minicomputer for on-line systems. A number of consultants and software vendors have developed packaged on-line systems on minicomputers. For example, one such system handles the routine information processing of a small manufacturing firm, including order entry, accounts receivable, accounts payable, general ledger, production reports, sales analysis, and other processing.

Time sharing can be provided as one component of a general-purpose computer system or on a dedicated computer. Most time sharing on large general-purpose computers features remote batch processing as well as interactive time sharing. There are also a number of minicomputers whose manufacturers offer time-sharing operating systems, languages, and file packages.

**SOFTWARE**

In the early days of computers, manufacturers offered hardware and software together as a package or “bundle.” Because of complaints from companies trying to develop and sell software and because of antitrust fears, major manufacturers have “unbundled” hardware and software. The customer usually acquires the computer hardware and operating system as one package since the hardware cannot really operate without this control program. However, compilers, special applications packages, and so on are purchased or rented separately. Unbundling has stimulated more software competition which, of course, is good for the customer. However, it also has increased the range of software sources and the complexity of acquisition. Table 10-1 lists some of the software alternatives available. We shall discuss software vendors, applications packages, and the internal staff for developing batch, on-line, and time-sharing software.

**Software Houses**

Software consultants or vendors (software houses) offer programming and systems design services for both batch and on-line systems and some offer special packages as well. The software vendor may contract to manage an entire systems development effort or furnish programmers to perform work assigned by the client. The staff of the software house writes and tests batch and/or on-line programs. However, it is unusual for the average organization to have such a firm develop a time-sharing application; most organizations adopt an existing time-sharing package or users write their own programs.

A “turnkey system” is a new variation on contract services made possible by the development of minicomputers. The turnkey vendor is a private contractor who provides the computer, in addition to programming, training, and installation support. The user contracts with the vendor for a complete system and most frequently these systems involve a minicomputer. The customer owns the computer, but does not have to manage a computer department or staff. Most systems are designed so that someone already employed by the customer can operate the system: computer professionals are not needed. Turnkey systems have proven very popular for small organizations which would like to take advantage of computer processing without establishing a computer department. Large organizations also use turnkey services to supplement the efforts of their own computer staff.

**Packages**

A contract software firm will analyze a proposed application and then bid for developing the needed software. Applications packages, such as those discussed in Chapter 8, represent another alternative to developing our own programs. A software house might sell and install its package; it also would make needed modifications to it as a part of a purchase or rental price or for an additional fee.

For an on-line package, such as the airline example in Chapter 8, the package vendor expects to make changes. The user may have to develop some of

the applications programs to accompany the routines in the package. For a minicomputer-based on-line package, the system may be operational when acquired. A small organization might well choose to modify its procedures in order to use the package rather than incur the expense of modifying the computer programs.

### **Internal Staff**

Many organizations have their own internal information services department staff writing programs and systems. These staff members may develop new applications from the beginning or use packages as building blocks, particularly for systems functions in an on-line application. In addition to developing new applications, the staff also fixes errors in existing applications and enhances these systems.

## **COMPARISON OF SOURCES**

What are the advantages and disadvantages of different sources for hardware and software? We can look at the two extremes for discussion purposes: all activities are undertaken either internally or externally through an outside organization (see Table 10-2).

### **Hardware**

With an internal computer department, an organization has to deal with the problem of managing the computer; overhead is introduced into the organization. For this price, management gains control over its own computer operations. Data remain exclusively within organizational confines and are accessible only to employees. Processing priorities are established internally and no other organization can preempt time from an organization with its own system. Management must provide sufficient resources to accommodate peak loads, so there can be high fixed costs for computer equipment which may not be fully utilized under this alternative. Usually, extra capacity is not provided because of the cost. Backup may be limited by the resources management is able to provide.

Organizations choosing to rely on external services have a contractual agreement with the servicing firm. There are few management responsibilities of a supervisory nature because these tasks have been delegated to an outside company. Control may be less than under the internal alternative because litigation over contracts is costly and time-consuming. Instead, the customer seeks to influence the service organization. Many firms worry about having sensitive data in the hands of another organization, particularly when other companies have access to the same computer resources. The priority for applications is also in the hand of the organization providing services; management influences, but does not control, processing priorities. With an outside organization, the customer incurs a variable cost and pays only for the resources consumed. Frequently, the client has access to more powerful equipment than would be installed internally, since it is being shared among a number of users.

**Table 10-2 Comparison of Internal versus External Services**

|                 | Internal  | External  |
|-----------------|---|---|
| <b>Hardware</b> |   |   |
| Management      | Must manage computer department                             | Contractual arrangement; no line management responsible except for data preparation |
| Control         | Control potential high                                      | Only through contract, influence, withholding payment                               |
| Security        | Under own responsibility; data remains at internal location | Data in hands of external organization; other customers a threat                    |
| Priorities      | Assigned by own employees                                   | Determined by external management   |
| Resources       | Must accommodate peak loads; high fixed cost                | Variable cost, pay only for what is used (beyond possible minimum charge)           |
| Capacity        | Limited to what is needed                                   | Frequently more powerful equipment than could be justified by clients               |
| Backup          | Limited by internal resources                               | Usually available because of higher capacity  |
| <b>Software</b> |   |   |
| Management      | Must manage program development                             | Contractual arrangements, specifications on cost, time, performance                 |
| Staff           | May have to hire experts                                    | Expect vendors to have expertise  |
| Implementation  | Probably easier in terms of user reaction to internal staff | May be more difficult for "outsiders"   |

Availability is less of a problem because the service bureau has high capacity to serve all of the customers.

### Software

With internal software development, we must manage the development process. Internal program development often results in duplication; there may be a tendency to start from the beginning with each new system. Because there are "not-invented-here" complexes, packages are not adequately investigated. Implementation problems, however, should be minimized because internal employees deal directly with the users in the firm.

External software services are handled on a contractual basis. However, a customer may still need some individual who is familiar with computer technology to work with the contractor and monitor progress, although, for the most

part, clients will rely on the vendor's expertise. Implementation can be difficult for "outsiders"; however, the client may be able to take advantage of an existing package or set of routines whose cost has been amortized over a large group of users.

### **The Decision**

Unfortunately, it is not possible to determine how all of these factors should be balanced; every organization must make its own decisions. One typical path is to begin with a service bureau to gain some familiarity with systems and technology. When a cost analysis indicates that an internal system is justified, the organization acquires equipment and hires a staff or acquires a turnkey system. Once the system is in operation, we may still use external services, for example, for time sharing or for special software tasks beyond the experience of the internal staff.

## **ACQUISITION STRATEGY**

No matter what alternative is selected, the customer has to acquire computer equipment and/or services. How do we approach this problem? There are several considerations a potential customer should have in mind. First, check a vendor's financial condition; a number of small companies have gone bankrupt in the computer industry. Even major firms have sold or discontinued their computer manufacturing activities. How likely is a vendor to be around in the future to service the product and improve it?

What kind of documentation is available, particularly for software, since modifications may be necessary? Documentation describes how the system works and how it can be used, and without it, a customer has very little information on the product purchased. What kind of vendor support is available? Does the price include installation and training by the vendor?

An extremely important research activity for a customer is to contact present users of a product to determine their level of satisfaction. How well does the product or service meet vendor claims? What problems did users have? If possible, visit users without a vendor representative to ask these questions. If it is not possible to see a product demonstrated, do not buy it. Too often, announced products are delivered years late: insist on a demonstration and attempt to evaluate the performance of the product.

Before we discuss some of the factors to be considered in the acquisition of computer systems and software, we examine techniques for evaluating computer system performance. Performance evaluation is important for a number of reasons, and it should be a component of most acquisition decisions.

## **PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

One of the major activities in acquiring new equipment or software is the evaluation of product performance. There are many approaches to performance evaluation, and the following suggestions are taken from Lucas (1976*b*).

### Early Approaches

Early approaches to hardware evaluation really did not consider software because, at that time, most programming was done in machine language, and software was not a major factor in performance. The first attempts to compare computers used central processing unit cycle time and add time as performance indicators. Unfortunately, these numbers ignore the organization of the machine and any special hardware or software features.

Instruction mixes extend cycle- and add-time comparisons. In a mix, the frequency of execution for typical instructions is used to compute a weighted average execution time, and this average is compared for several computers. For business computers, as an example, one might multiply a weighting factor by the manufacturer's specified time to execute additions, subtractions, data moves, and certain input/output operations. The weighted sources are added to give a total estimate of performance for a particular machine. Unfortunately, the mix technique omits any consideration of special hardware features and also totally ignores software.

A kernel is a program coded for the machine in question; however, it is not actually executed. The time required to execute the kernel program is developed from manufacturer's specifications for the execution time of each instruction in the computer. The kernel, then, must be specified in assembly or machine language for timing purposes. Because a kernel uses the actual instructions of the computer, special features can be included. However, again, the technique does not include any consideration of software.

### Contemporary Evaluation Techniques

The above approaches are used only to get a very rough indication of the performance differences among machines. For serious evaluation efforts, the methods presented below must be used instead.

**Analytic Modeling** Analytic modeling is a performance evaluation technique focusing on mathematical analysis. It is best suited for design calculations—for example, for a queuing model of an on-line system. These models are extremely difficult to develop and validate; for the average information services department, consulting help is usually needed to develop such a model. Generally, these analytical approaches do not model software and therefore do not have wide applicability to the average computer installation.

**Simulation** Simulation has been used extensively to evaluate the performance of computer systems. Simulation is not suitable for the selection of a specific piece of software such as an applications program, but it can include software considerations in evaluating the performance of a complete computer system. Various types of simulators are available, or users can write their own, using special-purpose simulation languages. However, writing a simulation is a research project and the average user is not encouraged to undertake such an effort.

Simulation packages are also offered by various independent software houses. The user usually describes the job load, file contents, input/output volumes, and equipment in detail to the package, which then constructs and executes a simulation model. These complicated packages can be expensive to run and difficult to calibrate. Because they are proprietary in nature, the customer does not always know the logic of the model, which makes it difficult to validate the simulation. When simulation packages are used, it is best first to construct a model of the existing system. If model output is inaccurate when compared with the known performance of the system, then the approach should be rejected.

Another simulation alternative is available from vendors who offer factor libraries, combined with a special-purpose simulation language, which are used by the customer to develop the model. The factor libraries contain massive amounts of data on various computers and thus save the user the time of collecting these specifications.

**Benchmark** A benchmark is a sample of an existing workload and it does include software considerations. Any aspect of a system can be evaluated using benchmarks, from an entire computer to a file-management package. A bench-

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 10.2

Marilyn Davidson is manager of new business development for the First National Bank. Her job, a new one in the bank, is to perform analysis and undertake special projects for major bank clients. As a result, she and her staff are frequently called upon to perform massive computational chores. After much manual and clerical processing, the frustrations, errors, and delays caused by this method of information processing became intolerable to both the bank and its customers.

Marilyn has begun to actively investigate some type of computer processing. The bank has an extensive array of computers, but discussions with the information services department were not encouraging. The manager of the computer staff explained that Marilyn would be making requests at odd times for unusual runs that might never be repeated. His large batch computers were better suited to printing large volumes of repetitive information.

Marilyn was discouraged until she talked with a friend in another department in the bank. Her colleague said, "Oh, the internal computer department always responds that way—their conception of a computer is quite old-fashioned. We have turned to time-sharing for our needs since the computer staff is so unresponsive."

Marilyn wonders about time sharing and whether it would be a good choice for the analyses in her department. If she acquired time-sharing services, would she need a computer programmer or could she use packages? If new programs are needed, should she have her own staff learn how to program the computer or rely on outside contractors? Should she hire professional programmers to work for her department instead?

mark is an existing job (or combination of jobs) which has been recoded if necessary so it can be executed on the system being evaluated. The benchmark job is executed on the new equipment. The use of a benchmark is based on the assumption that the existing job mix or one particular application is representative of how the product under evaluation will be used. For a thorough evaluation using benchmarks, many different benchmarks may have to be developed and executed. This technique offers a high degree of flexibility because the evaluation effort can be tailored to the importance of the decision. For a major acquisition, a large number of benchmarks can be used, while for a less important alternative, only a few are selected.

**Synthetic Modules** The synthetic job is a mix between a kernel program and a benchmark. Like a kernel program, it is coded to represent a typical function and is not restricted to being an existing application. Like a benchmark, a synthetic program is actually executed on the equipment under evaluation. Synthetic modules offer a great deal of flexibility because it is possible to include estimates of how the job load will change in the future when the modules are constructed. For example, certain activities such as file processing can be more highly weighted in an evaluation if a new data-base application is planned.

It is possible to use a small group of synthetic modules to model a much larger workload by combining and weighting these different components. As with benchmarks, the evaluator can perform a number of experiments consistent with the importance of the decision. An extensive group of synthetic modules can be developed and scientific experiments planned for evaluation. As an alternative approach, a few modules and a small number of runs may be employed to obtain a rough estimate of performance.

**Monitoring** Monitoring falls into a different category of performance evaluation than the approaches described above. Monitoring is primarily oriented toward evaluating existing hardware and software. The techniques above are most useful in deciding whether to acquire something new, while monitors are usually used to tune or improve the performance of an existing computer system. Although there are two types of monitors currently available, the distinction between them is blurring because they have been combined to produce hybrid or integrated monitors.

A hardware monitor contains a set of probes which are attached at critical points to the computer component being monitored. The monitoring device collects data on elapsed time or the count of some value such as the number of disk-file accesses. The data recorded by the monitors are input to a separate batch program which reduces and analyzes them. The major disadvantage of the hardware monitor is limitations on what can be measured and the fact that it is often difficult to relate the data collected to software performance.

A software monitor is a program embedded within an operating system. The operating system calls it as a high-priority task every so often to collect statistics on the status of the machine. The data gathered by the software monitor are also

generally analyzed after the end of the monitoring period. Since this monitor has access to all operating system tables and data, it can keep track of more items than a hardware monitor. Unfortunately, because this measurement tool is a program, it interacts with what is being measured; that is, a software monitor's execution affects the system it is measuring.

Integrated or hybrid monitors use software and hardware to collect data. The hardware monitor may be a minicomputer operating under program control. These monitors will undoubtedly become more common in the future because of their flexibility and measurement capabilities.

Monitoring can be very useful, and many installations have experienced impressive savings by tuning their computer systems. However, there are some drawbacks to monitoring. It is necessary to sample the system for a short period of time, which may be unrepresentative. Far too much activity occurs in a short period of time when machines operate in the 100-nanosecond ( $10^{-9}$ -second) range. Even with a small sampling period, large amounts of data will have to be analyzed. A user must study the data and determine in what way to modify the system to improve performance. The monitor shows the existence of a bottleneck, but it does not indicate whether performance will improve by a small amount or by a major increment if the bottleneck is removed. If the system is tuned for one particular workload or some portion of it, can we say that this job is representative of the entire processing load on the system? What will happen if other jobs are added or the workload changes?

## Recommendations

A number of performance evaluation techniques have been discussed above, and, certainly, there are drawbacks with each one. How should a typical user proceed in evaluating performance? For acquiring a new product, the best alternative is probably to use benchmarks if the future job load can be predicted reasonably well from existing applications. Synthetic jobs can be used as an alternative if the job mix is expected to change in the future. The user can construct a series of experiments to evaluate the product under consideration. To test a multiprogramming system, for example, it may be necessary to run the benchmark load with 20 jobs sequentially and record the time of execution for each job. The same jobs can then be run under multiprogramming 10 times with a different order of presentation. An average time for the 10 runs is used to compare multiprogramming with the sequential performance of the operating system.

When a computer system is operating, it is probably a good idea to use a hardware or software monitor periodically—every six months or year—for tuning purposes. Tuning may indicate the need for a new device or make it possible to postpone the acquisition of a larger system by making minor improvements in existing hardware or software.

Software monitors can also be used to tune programs that are under development. These monitors provide frequency counts for different program instructions, so the programmer can optimize the parts of the program which are executed most frequently. However, in today's environment we are usually

**MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 10.3**

David Klein is a senior consultant for Computer Associates Limited. He hung up the phone from a conversation with a potential client, a major manufacturer of consumer durables. The firm's technical computer staff wanted to evaluate the computer vendor's newest operating system, which provides a virtual memory facility. The manufacturing company wishes to determine if the new operating system would improve throughput. The technical staff, according to the caller, had begun to write a simulation of their computer for the purpose of performing the analysis. However, the staff had quickly become mired in details and was looking for help. David made an appointment to see them the next week.

David had been active in computer performance evaluation for a number of years. However, this was the first time anyone had suggested employing simulation for this type of problem. At least, he had never heard of a user of computer systems writing such a simulation. He knew of computer vendors who had used simulation to help develop operating systems, but the manufacturing firm's approach was new to him.

Why was David surprised by the phone call? Why does he think simulation is probably not the best alternative for the manufacturing company? How do you think the computer vendor used simulation in the design of an operating system? What performance evaluation technique would you recommend to the manufacturing company?

more interested in stressing prompt completion and clarity of coding rather than extremely efficient program execution.

**ACQUISITION OF A NEW COMPUTER SYSTEM**

Periodically, it may be necessary to upgrade a computer system or to acquire an entirely new computer system. The acquisition of a system is a complicated decision and usually involves a number of people in the organization, including both users and members of the information services department.

**Request for Proposal**

After the need for a new system has been identified, the potential buyer usually prepares a carefully written request for bids or proposals (RFP). This request is sent to various vendors, who in turn propose equipment. The buyer often attempts to have a manufacturer do most of this work. Table 10-3 shows some of the factors which should be included in the request for a proposal.

All present applications should be described in detail in the proposal. The vendors will consider these and recommend the equipment from their product lines that they think is best for the workload. Plans for a new application should also be included; the vendor should be asked to specify and support reliability data for the equipment. Are there redundant components in the system to provide backup? Can a faulty component be isolated while the system continues to run in a possibly degraded model? The customer would also like to have

**Table 10-3 Items Included in Request for Proposal**

- 1 Present applications
  - File characteristics
  - Input/output
  - Volume
  - Frequency
  - Batch or on-line
- 2 Same as 1 for proposed systems
- 3 Vendor service
- 4 Reliability data
- 5 Backup
- 6 Demonstration
- 7 Evaluation arrangements
- 8 Conversion and transition
- 9 Descriptive material (hardware and software)
- 10 Price

**Table 10-4 Example of Descriptive Proposal Material**

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Hardware                                | Speed                                    |
| CPU cycle time                          | Transfer rate                            |
| Memory cycle time and hierarchy         | Tracks                                   |
| Data path                               | Disks                                    |
| Registers                               | Seek time                                |
| Type                                    | Rotational-delay time                    |
| Number                                  | Average access time                      |
| Microprogramming features               | Capacity                                 |
| Instruction set                         | Removable or fixed                       |
| Fixed point                             | Bulk storage                             |
| Floating point                          | Access time                              |
| Decimal                                 | Size                                     |
| Precision                               | Data path                                |
| Interrupt structure                     | I/O peripherals                          |
| Number                                  | Input/output speed                       |
| Type                                    | Reject rate (e.g., OCR scanners)         |
| Priority                                | Software support                         |
| Memory size (each hierarchical element) | Software                                 |
| Memory organization                     | Operating system                         |
| Data                                    | Job and task management                  |
| Instructions                            | Multiprogramming                         |
| Special features                        | Partitions                               |
| Parallel operations                     | Size                                     |
| Instruction look-ahead                  | Priority                                 |
| Multiple processors                     | Overhead (time and space)                |
| Data channels                           | Control language                         |
| Number                                  | Documentation                            |
| Type                                    | Utilities                                |
| Transfer rate                           | Special features                         |
| Control units                           | Compilers                                |
| Device assignment                       | Subset or superset of language supported |
| Effect on CPU                           | Extensions to language                   |
| Storage devices                         | File-accessing capabilities              |
| Tapes                                   | Storage requirements                     |
| Density                                 | Applications programs                    |

information about similar installations in the area which could be used in an emergency.

The vendor should provide arrangements for demonstration and describe how the customer can access the proposed configuration to evaluate its performance. The installation of a new computer or the transition to a new system is a major undertaking and the vendor should present a transition plan. Table 10-4 contains some of the descriptive material that might be requested from the vendor to obtain an idea of the type of equipment being proposed. Certainly the price for the recommended system should be provided in detail both for software and hardware components.

### **Performance**

The customer should prepare to test the proposed equipment while the vendor develops a proposal. A typical customer will use benchmarks or possibly synthetic modules to model the existing and proposed plan workload. Benchmarks also are an excellent technique for indicating difficulties that can be expected during the transition from an existing computer system to a new system. The customer attempts to execute several existing jobs without changes to see how easy it is to run them on the new system.

A large number of benchmarks or synthetic jobs (often more than 20 or 30 are needed) should be run in several experiments on the proposed equipment. If an entirely new computer system is being acquired and performance is an important criterion, compilers as well as operating systems should be examined.

### **Proposal Evaluation**

Currently, many organizations employ extremely subjective methods to evaluate proposals. Meaningful criteria should be established for evaluating each proposal from the different vendors. Examples of these criteria include performance, presence of certain software, ease of conversion, the availability of special applications packages, and the response of other users having the equipment. The evaluation team should assign a weight to each criterion. It may be possible to eliminate certain vendors because of one dominant failing, for example, the lack of a key applications package which is critical for the customer. If it is not possible to eliminate vendors one by one, then a more formal evaluation procedure is necessary.

One approach is to assign the interval scores to each vendor on each criterion and multiply this score by the weights of the criteria. These weighted scores are added to produce a final weighted total. However, the results are often quite close, and the evaluation team may not have much confidence in minor numerical differences among the vendors. Another alternative is to prepare a brief scenario of how the computer department and the company would function with each alternative system. What transitional activities would have to take place? Then the decision-making body rank-orders the scenarios and chooses the most desirable one. Because there are usually few alternatives, three to six at the most, it is far easier to rank scenarios than to make a decision based on small differences in numerical scores.

SOFTWARE PACKAGES

Some of the benefits and problems of software packages were discussed earlier in Chapter 8. In this section we consider some criteria for evaluating different packages.

Criteria

It was recommended earlier that the information services department and steering committee agree on screening criteria for packages. Many times packages will be considered as an alternative to developing a system in-house. Table 10-5 lists some of the possible evaluation criteria for decisions on applications packages. The major reason for acquiring a package is that it performs a desired function. The customer wants to know how many desired functions are included and what effort would be required to modify the package.

It is also important to consider the user interface; that is, how difficult is it to use the package? How much information does the user have to supply? Is it simple to prepare and understand the input? Is the package flexible? Can it be used if any requirements change somewhat?

The evaluation is concerned with how long the package takes to run and how it might impact current computer operations. Execution time considerations are not too important for a simple application that is run infrequently, but execution time can be very important for a major, dedicated system. The user will also want to know how much present procedures will have to change for the new package.

Just as with hardware, it is necessary to evaluate the software vendor's support and the likelihood that a vendor will remain in business. It does not require much in the way of resources to program and sell software packages. Updates and improvements to the package should be forthcoming, and we are dependent on the vendor's remaining in business.

With software packages, documentation is extremely important; the information services department staff may have to modify the package and will undoubtedly have to correct errors that occur. Users are extremely interested in

Table 10-5 Considerations in Evaluating Software Packages

---

|  |
|--|
| Functions included                                 |
| Modifications required to package                  |
| Installation effort                                |
| User interface                                     |
| Flexibility  |
| Execution time                                     |
| Changes required in existing system to use package |
| Vendor support                                     |
| Updating of package                                |
| Documentation                                      |
| Cost and terms                                     |

documentation as well, since documentation—combined with whatever training the vendor provides—must be sufficient to allow users to interact with the package. The final consideration is cost, although we should remember that we usually underestimate the cost of developing a comparable system ourselves and overestimate the cost of modifying a package!

Many of the criteria in Table 10-5 require the analysis of package documentation by a systems analysis and programming staff. We should also contact present users to answer questions about vendor claims and support. Almost all the recommended criteria are subjective, and therefore several individuals should rank the package on each criteria, for example, on a 1-to-7 scale. The responses can then be averaged for each criterion and a score developed for the package.

### Decision

It is probably best to have a two-stage decision for applications packages. That is, we divide the criteria for package selection into essential and nonessential groups. We can insist that a package obtain a passing score established in advance on each essential criterion to be considered for acquisition. This procedure protects the information services department, which often has legitimate reasons for opposing a package. For example, reasons such as poor documentation or inability to understand and modify the code because of its lack of clarity are sufficient to warrant the rejection of a package.

If a package is acceptable and is the only alternative under consideration, we should probably acquire it. However, if several packages are available, then the ones that pass the screening test can be compared by ratings or scenarios as described in the last section. If the package under consideration is an alternative to the development of an in-house system, the criteria established before the acquisition effort by the steering committee should be used to evaluate this package in comparison with other processing alternatives.

### KEY WORDS

|                           |                          |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Analytic models           | Hybrid monitor           | Scenarios          |
| Backup                    | Independent manufacturer | Service bureau     |
| Benchmarks                | Instruction mixes        | Simulation         |
| Capacity                  | Internal services        | Software monitor   |
| Contractual relationships | Kernel programs          | Software vendor    |
| Cycle and add times       | Packages                 | Synthetic programs |
| External services         | Performance evaluation   | Unbundling         |
| Hardware monitor          | Remote processing        |                    |

### RECOMMENDED READINGS

Davis, G. B.: *Computer Data Processing* (2d ed.), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973. (See especially the section on performance evaluation.)

- Nie, N., C. Hull, J. Jenkins, K. Steinbrenner, and D. H. Bent: *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences* (2d ed.), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975. (An example of excellent user documentation for a software package; it is a standard by which other documentation can be judged.)
- Timmreck, E. M.: "Computer Selection Methodology," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 5, no. 4, December 1973, pp. 199–222. (A good survey of approaches to the computer selection decision.)
- Walton, R. E., and J. N. Dutton: "The Management of Interdepartmental Conflict: A Model and Review," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 14, no. 1, March 1969, pp. 73–84. (How do the conditions of the conflict model apply to the relationship between the customer and computer product and service vendors?)

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 What are the tradeoffs in acquiring hardware from various alternatives such as a service bureau?
- 2 What are the advantages and disadvantages of using contract programming services versus an internal staff? How would you expect documentation to differ between these two alternatives?
- 3 Developing a nationwide on-line system by using a commercial time-sharing system can save development time and effort. What is the cost of this approach versus the cost of a dedicated on-line system, developed and operated internally?
- 4 What do you think the most important factors are in influencing management on the decision whether to use external or develop internal computer services?
- 5 What are the problems of managing an information services department? Why do managers resist the development of an internal department?
- 6 Does entering a relationship with a service bureau solve the management problems of computer-based information systems? What areas of difficulty still remain?
- 7 What is wrong with the use of cycle and add times or instruction mixes for performance evaluation?
- 8 What is the major difference between monitoring and other types of performance evaluation?
- 9 What are the problems involved in using a monitor to tune a system?
- 10 What are the drawbacks of analytic models and simulation models for the average computer installation?
- 11 Why should a computer installation consider the use of applications packages; what are the advantages and disadvantages?
- 12 In what situation would you expect applications packages to be most satisfactory?
- 13 What would your reaction be to the development of a set of industrywide synthetic modules to be used in performance evaluation?
- 14 How would you characterize an existing computer workload for performance evaluation purposes?
- 15 What performance evaluation technique would you use, and why, if the workload is expected to change drastically in the next five years?
- 16 To what extent should vendors other than the one currently supplying a computer be included in bidding for a new system?
- 17 What are the advantages and disadvantages of mixed-vendor installations—for example, when the computer and main memory are from one company and the peripherals are from another?

- 18 What factors inhibit the conversion to a different vendor's computer? Has the development of higher-level languages affected this type of conversion? Will the use of more applications packages impact the conversion to a different computer vendor?
- 19 What types of questions and information would you desire from a survey of other users of computer equipment under consideration for acquisition?
- 20 How can regular levels of service be maintained during the conversion to new computer equipment? What are the dangers of acquiring new equipment?
- 21 What are the disadvantages of using a weighted score for ranking competing proposals for computer equipment? What advantages are presented by scenarios to describe how an information services department and organization would function under each alternative for a new computer system?
- 22 Is it a good idea to be a pioneer with new equipment or software? Should an installation wait before acquiring a newly developed computer system component?
- 23 How can an information services department avoid having to make frequent requests for additional computer capacity? As the manager, what is your response to this strategy? Does the development of a plan for information systems activities affect this problem?
- 24 Computer systems can be purchased, rented, or leased from a third party. What are the advantages and disadvantages of each alternative?
- 25 Why should applications packages be seriously considered as an alternative to programming and implementing a system with an internal computer staff? What are the most significant difficulties with these packages? How can the ease of modifying a package be determined before its acquisition?

## Part Four

# Systems Analysis and Design

One of the most exciting activities in the information systems field is the design of a new computer-based system. In this part of the book we follow the life cycle of a system from its inception through final installation. From the considerations discussed in Part Two, on organizational issues, we recommend an approach to systems analysis and design in which users have control over the design process; the chapters in this part of the text stress the user's role in each stage of systems analysis and design. The systems analyst aids the user in making crucial decisions and performs the technical tasks necessary to develop the system. We complete this part with a short discussion of project management, since so many information systems have failed to achieve time and budget targets, especially during the programming stage.

THE SYSTEMS LIFE CYCLE  
RESOURCES FOR NEW SYSTEMS  
    Demands and Resources  
        A Resource Allocation Problem  
PROBLEMS IN THE LIFE CYCLE  
USER-ORIENTED DESIGN  
    Problems with the Conventional View  
    Predicted Results  
    Required Knowledge  
    Design Team  
DATA COLLECTION  
    Flowcharting  
    Decision Tables  
    Questionnaires and Interviews  
KEY WORDS  
RECOMMENDED READINGS  
DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# Introduction and Overview

The design of a new computer-based information system is an exciting and demanding undertaking. First, someone calls attention to a problem with existing information processing procedures. A design team assesses the benefits of using a computer to improve these procedures. Then an abstract model of present processing procedures is developed and designers create a new information processing system. The new procedures are converted into systems specifications and finally into computer programs. During the final stages of development, the system is tested and converted and becomes operational.

The design of an information system is a creative and labor-intensive task. It is creative because we are building a new set of information processing procedures just as an architect designs a new building. Systems analysis and design is a human, intellectual task. There are some portions of design that can be automated, but most of the creative aspects require human thought.

What is the user's role in systems analysis and design? In this chapter we introduce the systems life cycle and discuss the resources available for developing new systems. We shall see that users have a crucial role in all aspects of systems analysis and design.

## THE SYSTEMS LIFE CYCLE

A computer-based information system has a life cycle, just like a living organism or a new product. The various stages in the life cycle of a system are shown in Table 11-1. The idea for a new information system is stimulated by a need to improve information processing procedures. This need leads to the preliminary survey to determine if a system can be developed to solve these processing problems. If the results of the survey are positive, it is refined to produce a more detailed feasibility study. Based on the outcome of the feasibility study, a decision is made whether to proceed with the design of a system. One of the alternatives sketched in the feasibility study is chosen for development if a positive decision is made.

In systems analysis, the existing information processing procedures are documented in detail. One major task during this phase is to define the boundaries of the system. Does the problem just concern inventory control, or should any new system also consider the problems in purchasing when inventory has to be replenished? Data are also collected during analysis on the volume of transactions, decision points, and existing files.

**Table 11-1 The Systems Life Cycle**

---

|  |
|--|
| Inception  |
| Preliminary survey                                 |
| Feasibility study                                  |
| Existing procedures                                |
| Alternative systems                                |
| Cost estimates                                     |
| Systems analysis                                   |
| Details of present procedures                      |
| Collection of data on volumes, input/output, files |
| Design   |
| Ideal system unconstrained                         |
| Revisions to make ideal acceptable                 |
| Specifications                                     |
| Processing logic                                   |
| File design  |
| Input/output                                       |
| Programming requirements                           |
| Manual procedures                                  |
| Programming  |
| Testing  |
| Unit tests   |
| Combined module tests                              |
| Acceptance tests                                   |
| Training   |
| Conversion and installation                        |
| Operations   |
| Maintenance  |
| Enhancements                                       |

The most challenging and creative part of the life cycle is the design of a new system. One approach to this task is to develop an ideal system relatively unconstrained by cost or technology; this ideal system is then refined until it becomes feasible. Detailed specifications must be prepared for the system just designed. The exact logic to be followed in processing and the contents and structure of the files must be specified. Input and output devices are selected, and the formats for I/O are developed. These requirements for processing, files, and I/O activities lead to the specification of programming requirements; these requirements can be turned over to a programming staff for coding.

In the programming stage, the actual computer programs necessary to perform the logic operations of processing are written. In some organizations this task is done by a separate group of programmers, while other organizations use analyst-programmers. The same individuals who perform the systems analysis and design also code the resulting programs. Programs have to be tested carefully, first as units and then in combined modules. Usually a programming task is broken down into a series of smaller subtasks or modules; all of the individual modules must operate together if the system is to work properly. During the final stages of testing, there will be some type of acceptance test in which users verify that the system works satisfactorily.

Since one purpose of the new information processing system is to change existing procedures, training is crucial. All individuals have to understand what is required by the new system. When training has been completed, it is possible to undertake conversion; it may be necessary to write special programs to convert existing files into new ones or to create files from manual records. Finally, after all these stages, the system is installed.

After the problems of installation have been resolved and the organization has adjusted to the changes created by the new system, the operational stage is begun; that is, the system now operates on a routine basis. However, this does not mean that it remains unchanged: there is a constant need for maintenance and enhancements. Maintenance is required because programs inevitably have errors which must be corrected when they appear. Because of the creative nature of design, users and the computer staff may not have communicated accurately, so that certain aspects of the system must be modified as operational experience is gained with it. As users work with the system, they will learn more about it and will develop ideas for change and enhancements. It is unreasonable to consider a computer-based information system finished; the system continues to evolve throughout its life cycle if, in fact, it is successful.

## RESOURCES FOR NEW SYSTEMS

Originally, in many organizations, the information services department had to search for new computer applications. Now, for most mature computer installations, there is more demand for services than resources available to satisfy the demand. Typically, the budget for developing new computer-based systems is only one part of the total budget for the information services department.

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 11.1

The president of Farway Manufacturing Company was pondering the firm's recent disastrous attempt to develop a computer-based system for factory-floor data collection. The company wished to improve scheduling and control over work-in-process inventories. A consultant was hired who recommended the development of a computer-based production control system.

The recommendations of the consultant were accepted and he was hired to design the system. It turned out that the consultant had designed a similar system for another manufacturing company and proposed to transfer it to Farway. This seemed like a very economical approach, so the president quickly agreed.

The consultant set about his task with zeal; within six months the necessary programming changes had been made and the system was ready to begin operation. Over one weekend, terminals were installed in all departments and on Monday morning, workers were supposed to begin using the new system to report production. The workers are paid on piece rate and are unionized.

For reasons not completely understood by the president, the system failed completely. No one provided input and the little data collected were all erroneous. What happened? Why did the systems development effort fail so miserably?

### Demands and Resources

What are the demands on the information services department? There are a number of responsibilities for the typical information services department:

1 One of the first concerns is operating existing systems; that is, the information systems developed in the past must be executed on a routine basis.

2 Maintenance also requires resources. Where many existing systems are in operation, it is necessary to make repairs and to maintain computer programs. In some installations, 50 percent of the programming effort is devoted to maintenance.

3 Enhancements to existing systems are frequently requested by users; if a system is used, individuals will make suggestions for improvements. These modifications entail programming changes and sometimes even require new computer equipment.

4 The development of a new information system requires a major commitment of resources.

Many individuals outside of the information services department see only the operation of existing systems and the development of new ones. However, maintenance and enhancements require considerable effort.

What are the resources available to the information services department? There are two major categories of information services resources: people and machines. Machine capabilities are necessary in order to develop and operate computer-based information systems. Different types of information systems require different equipment, for example, an on-line system necessitates equip-

ment for communications and terminals. A large-data-base application makes demands for data storage devices.

On the human side, many of the resources of the information services department are not interchangeable among jobs. We can identify a number of positions in this department:

1 Operators are trained to operate the computer and its peripheral equipment.

2 Clerical personnel manually process input and output; they may separate copies of reports and prepare output for distribution, and may also check input and output for accuracy.

3 Input specialists transcribe data to machine-readable form by, for example, keypunching cards or keying information onto a magnetic tape or disk.

4 Maintenance programmers repair errors in the programs which direct the computer (see Chapter 8). These individuals may also be responsible for enhancements to existing systems.

5 Systems analysts work with users to define specifications for a new system.

6 Applications programmers convert system specifications into the computer programs necessary to process data and produce the desired output.

7 Systems programmers are found in large installations; they work with the control software of the computer (see Chapter 8).

8 Managers of various functions such as operations and systems design are also employed by an information services department, if it is large enough.

### A Resource Allocation Problem

The basic allocation problem is to match demands for services against resources; see Table 11-2. How much discretion do we have in this process? Unless some applications are to be eliminated, the information services department has to maintain equipment and needs operators, clerical personnel, control, and maintenance programmers to operate existing systems. (Some installations also need a systems programmer for this purpose.) Discretionary resources can be used for enhancements and the development of new information systems. Systems analysts, applications programmers, and necessary managers, plus machine capacity, constitute the discretionary resources available to the department.

How easily can these resources be increased? Machine capacity can be enlarged, although usually it takes many months to obtain and install new computer equipment. New personnel can, of course, be added; however, there is a limit to how rapidly new employees can be integrated into the organization and become productive. Thus, in the short run, there is probably little that can be done to increase the resources devoted to the development of new systems within an organization. However, added resources can be used to purchase applications packages and/or consulting services from outside the organization. In the long run, if users are dissatisfied with the amount of resources devoted to the development of new systems, they will have to undertake efforts to increase the discretionary portion of the information services department budget.

**Table 11-2    Demands and Resources of the Information Services Department**

| Demands                                | Resources                |
|--|--------------------------|
| Operating existing information systems | Equipment                |
| Maintenance                            | Human                    |
| Enhancements                           | Operators                |
| Development of new information systems | Clerical personnel       |
|  | Input specialists        |
|  | Maintenance programmers  |
|  | Systems analysts         |
|  | Applications programmers |
|  | Systems programmers      |
|  | Managers                 |

**PROBLEMS IN THE LIFE CYCLE**

It is widely recommended that the stages in the systems life cycle described in Table 11-1 be followed. However, when we have seen them followed as rigorously as a checklist, usually the results have been systems which fail. What is wrong with the life cycle? Is the concept invalid? There are two major difficulties with following these stages rigidly in the development of a system. First, the stages tend to focus attention on a particular type of application, and second, they mislead analysts as to their role in the systems design process.

The first problem of the checklist is its orientation toward transactions systems and paperwork automation. Notice a complete lack of mention in the checklist of designing systems to support decision making. This approach is oriented toward tabulating operations that use punched cards, and the list was probably developed before the advent of modern electronic computers. The old card systems were directed toward transactions processing, and the systems design stages reflect this bias. Certainly there are good reasons to develop transactions-processing information systems. However, if we have faith in the potential of information systems to improve managerial decision making, then we should focus on decisions as well as document flows in systems design.

A second problem of the checklist is even more serious. The stages suggest that a systems analyst must be in charge of the systems analysis and design activity: the analyst alone has the tools and techniques for designing the system. Any mention of users is conspicuously absent in these design steps. In Chapter 5 we discussed some of the organizational problems of systems, the fact that systems can affect power relationships and create conflict in an organization. We need to develop an entirely different role for the analyst and user in order to overcome these problems.

**USER-ORIENTED DESIGN**

**Problems with the Conventional View**

In the conventional approach to systems analysis and design described above, the analyst is a skilled leader. The analyst interviews users, collects data, and

returns to the information services department to create a new system. In recognition of the fact that this approach usually does not work in systems analysis and design, numerous periodicals suggest that user participation is necessary to ensure successful systems. The writers in these journals suggest that the analyst spend more time with users, show them report formats, and so forth. However, in their viewpoint, the analyst is still clearly in charge. We label this as “pseudoparticipation”; we are consulted, but few changes are made in the system on the basis of the users’ suggestions. The analyst and the information services department are still very much in charge of the project.

Instead of viewing the analyst as the designer of the system we recommend strongly that users should design their own systems. Does this mean that we actually undertake some of the tasks normally carried out by the analyst? The answer is definitely “yes.” Our recommended approach raises two questions: first, why should users assume this role, second, how can users do so? Our experience indicates that users are capable of responding to this approach and that successful results can be achieved (Lucas, 1974c).

There are a number of good reasons for participation and user design of systems. User involvement should not be criticized, only the way in which

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 11.2

As a new attempt at designing a planning system is about to begin, Robert Johnson is contemplating a previous disaster. Johnson is the director of planning for Petrochem, a diversified manufacturer of petrochemicals. The company has an ambitious acquisition program and frequently enters joint ventures with other firms.

Planning includes detailed computations of various possible outcomes from entering these ventures. The return to the company and forecasted cash flow are of particular importance to the firm. Johnson and his staff currently use calculators to make projections, and each suggested project requires a monumental clerical effort to evaluate.

Several years ago, Johnson approached the firm’s information services department to ask for help. His project was rejected a number of times in favor of what he considers mundane, low-return projects, for example, putting the company telephone directory on the computer.

Finally, two years later, the information services department sent an analyst to study his problems. The analyst spent about one week in the planning department and then designed a simple batch system to automate some of the calculations. On attempting to use the system, the planning staff found that it would not perform any of the calculations needed. The staff could also not understand how to complete the input forms for the system.

After a great deal of work, Johnson persuaded the president of the company to intercede. Now, the information services department is back to try again. However, Johnson wonders how to avoid the same outcome that the last attempt produced.

What should Johnson do? Who is responsible for the problems at Petrochem? Is it Johnson, the information services department, the president, or all three?

involvement has been attempted in the past. Real involvement requires time; users must understand the system and their recommendations have to prevail.

### **Predicted Results**

User participation eliminates many potential difficulties of an organizational nature in systems design; it particularly helps to reduce problems created by power transfers and conflict. Users, by taking charge of the systems design activity, retain control over their information processing activities. All these factors combine to reduce the amount of power transferred from users to the information services department and the potential for conflict. Because of participation, we are not so dependent upon the information services department. Knowledge gained through participating in and influencing the new system means the information services department copes with less uncertainty for users, reducing the amount of power the users surrender in developing a system. Mutual dependence is actually changed to cooperation in an effort to accomplish the common goal of developing and implementing a successful system. The user understands the system better by being in charge of it, and therefore, the amount of uncertainty associated with the project is reduced.

By performing some of the information services department tasks, user departments reduce task differences between them and the information services department and thus reduce the potential for conflict. By working together, the information services department and users develop more understanding of each other's problems, and thus help to reduce ambiguities. Heavy user participation also leads to more understanding of the jobs of information services department staff members, and vice versa, reducing job differences. Users also become more familiar with computer jargon, so that communication obstacles are reduced between the information services department and users.

Heavy user participation is not always necessary or advisable. Certainly some systems have been successfully designed with limited or no user involvement. For systems in the transactions processing and operational control category it is possible to design a system with less user input because the application is so highly structured. However, user involvement can still help in creating acceptance of the changes produced by the new computer system.

As information systems design moves toward managerial and strategic planning applications and less structured systems in general, as discussed in Chapter 2, we predict that user participation will become more important. Less structured applications need more input and enthusiasm to succeed, since the use of many of these systems is voluntary. A certain amount of user participation in the design of any system is important. However, the nature of the application influences the extent to which users need to be involved.

How can we participate where conditions are not favorable, for example, in a single application to be used by many different individuals? Imagine a grocery store planning to install point-of-sales terminals for check-out operations. If a chain has several hundred or thousand checkers, all of them cannot participate in the design of the system. However, in this situation representatives of the checkers could participate in the design. These representatives can meet with

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 11.3

The information services department at Madison Drugs is trying to stimulate heavy user participation in the design of information systems. A new system for financial management is in the planning stages, but problems with users seem to occupy most of the planning sessions in the department.

One of the key figures in the new system is a user named Keith Ryan. Keith has been at Madison for 20 years and is responsible for all financial transactions. The information services department chose him as the most obvious user to head the design effort. Keith is in sympathy with this selection, but says, "I don't have time to spend designing a system: I work 60 hours a week now."

The information services department recognizes the extent of Keith's efforts and devotion to the company. However, they ask why cannot additional staff be hired to remove some of the load from Keith? Keith says that he has tried to break in new people, but the demands of the job are too rigorous and they all leave.

The president of Madison wants to know why the design of this new system is taking so long. What should the manager of the information services department do? What can he suggest to the president?

their coworkers at each store as the system is implemented; any questions or problems during the design process can be explored with the checkers using these representatives as liaison agents.

There can, of course, be problems with user participation to the extent advocated here. An individual whose ideas are rejected by the group may become alienated. It may not be possible to satisfy expectations raised about future participation in, for example, operation of the system. Certainly, participation is time-consuming and costly; added time for participation means that systems will cost more to develop. We are usually under pressure when we request a system; now it is necessary for users to take time to design a system when they are already under time pressures. Finally, there are the dangers of pseudoparticipation. Where users do not have a real say, but only the motions of participation are evident, there will be increased frustration. On balance, it seems fair to say that there is too little participation at present. Whether we agree on the extent of user design or not, most organizations should be striving for more user input in the design process.

Does a user have enough knowledge and training to participate in the design of a system? In the next section we discuss the user requirements of this philosophy of systems design. They are not too severe, since the user is specifying parts of the system that are familiar; the user is certainly not programming a system.

A more serious problem with this approach is the attitude of the analyst: the ideas above may seem quite radical. Management will have to adopt the approach suggested here and influence the information services department to implement these user-design procedures. While the analyst may perceive a diminished role at first, the more successful systems and better relationships with

the users which should result from the approach will help to assuage the analyst's misgivings.

The proposed extensive involvement and influence of users is time-consuming and costly. Systems undoubtedly take longer to develop in this manner and cost more. However, we must examine the incremental costs and time of this approach and compare them with those for the conventional method of systems design. If user-controlled design results in successful systems, then a fairly small increment in cost and time should be worthwhile in view of the problems of many information systems developed under conventional procedures.

### Required Knowledge

What does the user have to know to be able to design a system? There are four components of design for which users should have elementary knowledge and extensive control:

- 1 Output
- 2 Input
- 3 File contents
- 4 Processing logic

First, users should consider the different aspects of information and decision-making discussed in Chapters 2, 3, and 5. What output from a new information processing system do we desire? Working with an analyst who explains different alternatives, users develop drafts of the output, for example, a printed report or display for a CRT. Review the various hardware alternatives in Chapter 7 to see the range of possibilities.

All information and output must come either directly from an input, from a file, or from computations based on input and file data. With the output defined, the contents of files and inputs can be determined. Users then design actual input forms; see Chapter 7 for discussion of various alternatives for input.

Analysts may define the files by working with users. However, with no more background than Chapter 9, users should be able to make a major contribution to the definition of file contents and structure. Certainly users are in the best position to indicate the size of data items and to specify updating needs.

The response time, the degree to which data must be up to date, and the volumes of input/output activities help determine the type of system needed, for example, batch, inquiry, or on-line updating. If data do need to be up to the minute, or various geographical locations must be coordinated as in a reservations application, an on-line updating system is necessary. If a user must be able to obtain immediate response, then at least on-line input/output for inquiry purposes must be provided. We have also found that many organizations are moving toward on-line systems to reduce the heavy volume of input/output processing. Input can be captured on-line and posted to files later, and the files can be made available for inquiry without on-line updating. See the discussion of systems alternatives on pages 47 to 49.

Our final task is to specify basic processing logic. What computations does

the user require to produce the desired output? How can output fields be derived? What file data are updated on a regular basis? What editing and line checks should be performed on the input?

A user who has understood and mastered the material in the text so far is in an excellent position to design input, output, processing logic, and file contents for a computer-based information system, with guidance from a computer professional.

### **Design Team**

To coordinate users and the information services department staff, we recommend the formation of a design team with a user as head of the team. Having a user in charge makes the user role apparent, ensures that time will be available from other users, and demonstrates a strong commitment to users on the part of the information services department. Normal job activities should be reduced for the user placed in charge of the design team.

In cases where there are too many individuals for all of them to be involved, liaison representatives are suggested. These people interview other users and brief them on the system as it is developed. They are responsible for soliciting participation in phases where it is meaningful.

The information services department systems designer guides the design team, teaching the tools and techniques necessary to complete the design and providing required technical advice and support, for example, by developing detailed file structures after users complete the logical file design. Systems designers monitor the project, describe the different stages, and help to schedule them. However, the actual analysis and design work is done by the users with the assistance of the analyst, rather than vice versa as in conventional systems design.

### **DATA COLLECTION**

What techniques are available to the design team for collecting data? As discussed earlier, the objective is to develop an understanding of key decisions and how they are supported with information. The team needs to examine decisions, the flow of information in the organization, and the types of processing undertaken.

One way to collect data is through careful observations. It is fruitless to ask managers what information they need, or even to expect them to say, "I made the following decision using these data." We may actually have to observe the decision maker in action and diagnose ourselves what type of decisions arise and the method of problem solution. In fact, the same observational techniques used by Mintzberg (1973) in his studies can be used to collect data for systems design purposes.

### **Flowcharting**

A flowchart provides a picture of a sequence of activities, and we shall use flowcharts in later chapters to illustrate different examples of systems. We

usually accompany a flowchart with a narrative describing the various activities on the chart.


Flowcharts can be used in a number of situations, for example, to provide a picture of manual activities such as the receipt of an order and subsequent processing. We also use flowcharts to diagram mechanical procedures such as an assembly line. Finally, flowcharts are used to illustrate the overall processing of a computer system and show the logic of individual programs.

Flowcharts can be developed in various levels of detail; for example, we might have a very high level flowchart showing the connections among programs in the system. There would be a series of lower-level charts showing the modules of an individual program, and, finally, a detailed flowchart would be drawn for each module. Figure 11-1*a* shows the most important flowcharting symbols which will be used in the text, and in later chapters we shall see several examples of flowcharting.

### Decision Tables

In addition to flowcharts, decision tables are sometimes helpful in expressing logic. Figure 11-1*b* shows the basic decision table format. A header identifies the table, and condition stubs describe various conditions. A rule is a procedure for checking the different conditions, and the action statement tells what action to take when a rule is true. The table is read until the conditions for a rule are met and the action described is taken; then the next scan of the table begins.

The decision tables in Figures 11-2 and 11-3 represent the logic for a credit-card purchase authorization. In this example, a purchase of under \$50 is approved automatically, while purchases between \$50 and \$100 are given an authorization number. Finally, if the purchase is over \$100 we give an authoriza-



**Figure 11-1a** Simple flowcharting symbols.

| Header           | Rules |  |                     |
|------------------|-------|--|---------------------|
|                  |       |  |                     |
| Condition stub   |       |  | ← • Condition entry |
|                  |       |  |                     |
|                  |       |  |                     |
| Action statement |       |  | ← • Action entry    |
|                  |       |  |                     |

**Figure 11-1b** Decision table format.

tion number and place a “hold” on the customer’s account for the amount of the purchase.

Figures 11-2 and 11-3 illustrate two different forms of decision tables. Figure 11-2 is a limited-entry table which allows only “yes” or “no” entry for the rules. In this type of table, each possible condition has to be expressed in a statement. The extended-entry form in Figure 11-3 allows us to use logical conditions as entries and saves space. Readers should assure themselves that these tables adequately describe the logic for the credit card example, and that the two tables are equivalent.

### Questionnaires and Interviews

We encourage the use of questionnaires and interviews to obtain both design and attitudinal data before the analysis and design are actually begun. Knowing attitudes helps us prepare for different individual’s responses to a new system. In fact, we could use attitudinal ratings to include some of the least receptive people who are important potential users on a design team. One can also include questions on systems design in the questionnaire and interview. For example, what priorities do users assign to different features of a new system?

In summary, the design team has a number of ways to collect data on existing operations and for use in designing a new system. All of these techniques

| Credit card authorization          | Rules |   |    |
|------------------------------------|-------|---|----|
|                                    | 1     | 2 | 3  |
| Is purchase less than \$50         | Y     | N | N  |
| Is purchase between \$50 and \$100 |       | Y | N  |
| Is purchase over \$100             |       |   | Y* |
| Approve with no action             | X     |   |    |
| Give authorization no.             |       | X | X  |
| Place hold on account              |       |   | X  |

\*It should be noted that for completeness there should be one more rule corresponding to three No (N) conditions. However, in this example, such a rule would represent an error condition.

**Figure 11-2** Limited-entry example.

Rules

Credit card authorization

| Is purchase p          | $p > \$100$ | $\$50 \leq p \leq \$100$ | $0 < p < \$50$ |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Approve with no action |             |                          | X              |
| Give authorization     | X           | X                        |                |
| Place hold on account  | X           |                          |                |

**Figure 11-3** Extended-entry example.

may be used together; in fact it is often helpful to collect data to estimate the same quantity from several sources, using different methods in order to check the validity of the results. The most important point is that data should be collected both on the technical components of design and on human and organizational considerations.

**KEY WORDS**

|                 |                   |                        |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Analysis        | Enhancements      | Preliminary survey     |
| Analyst         | Estimates         | Programmer             |
| Budgets         | Feasibility study | Programming            |
| Conversion      | Flowcharts        | Systems life cycle     |
| Data collection | Installation      | Testing                |
| Decision tables | Maintenance       | Training               |
| Design          | Operations        | User-controlled design |

**RECOMMENDED READINGS**

Davis, G. B.: *Management Information Systems: Conceptual Foundations, Structure, and Development*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974. (This book has many useful ideas on systems analysis and design.)

Kanter, J.: *Management-Oriented Management Information Systems*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1972. (An active computer professional presents a number of suggestions for systems analysis and design.)

Lucas, H. C., Jr.: *Toward Creative Systems Design*, Columbia, New York, 1974. (A short monograph containing many more details on user-controlled system analysis and design.)

Mintzberg, H.: *The Nature of Managerial Work*, Harper & Row, New York, 1973. (This book describes managerial activities and is helpful in the design of systems for managerial decision making.)

Yourdon, E.: *Design of On-Line Computer Systems*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1972. (A thorough discussion of the design of on-line systems.)

**DISCUSSION QUESTIONS**

- 1 What are the advantages of user-controlled design?
- 2 What are the disadvantages for the systems design staff and users of user-controlled design?
- 3 Would you expect user-controlled design to be more or less costly than conventional approaches?

- 4 What is the role of top management in managing the information services department?
- 5 What type of planning should be undertaken by the information services department?
- 6 Does a long-range information services department plan make any sense when technology is rapidly changing?
- 7 How should new systems development projects be charged in the organization? Should overhead, the information services department, or user department budgets absorb the cost?
- 8 Why have so many existing systems concentrated on information flows and transactions processing?
- 9 What are the problems of putting a user in charge of a design team for a new system for the information services department?
- 10 What are the implications of user-controlled design for the information services department? What view will have to be adopted by this department to make the recommended approach to systems design work?
- 11 Develop a questionnaire for obtaining data from potential users of a system on their attitudes, expectations, and thoughts for the goals of a new system.
- 12 The design of information systems is one of the few activities in most organizations best accomplished by a team. What possible conflicts might this create for other employees? How should the team structure be presented to reduce these problems?
- 13 If users design systems, will changes still be necessary after conversion when the system is in operation? Should as many changes be needed as under conventional design? Why or why not?
- 14 How can users be heavily involved in systems analysis and design in a large organization when there are many potential users who should be included?
- 15 Can top managers also participate in systems analysis and design if they will be users? Is this activity important enough for their participation?
- 16 How can management help a user to participate in the design of systems? What are the major factors inhibiting full participation?
- 17 How should the information services department budget be developed for new applications and enhancements?
- 18 Are there decisions in the operation of existing systems which should be influenced by users?
- 19 How does scarce machine capacity influence decisions on new applications?
- 20 To what extent can personnel resources be reallocated within the information services department to provide more flexibility in meeting demands for service?
- 21 There are programs available which will translate a decision table into a COBOL program which contains the logic expressed in the decision table. How would the use of such a program increase programmer productivity?
- 22 What are the disadvantages of flowcharts and decision tables?
- 23 Why do many members of the computer profession resist making changes requested in operational systems?
- 24 When on a cost-cutting drive, management will sometimes dictate an across-the-board budget reduction of some number, say 10 percent. Does this approach make sense for the information services department? What alternatives are available?
- 25 What is the most likely reason that a proposed system will be infeasible?
- 26 How do changes in technology, especially advances in hardware, affect the feasibility of new computer applications?
- 27 Where are the largest bottlenecks in the systems life cycle; that is, where are the most problems and delays probably encountered in developing a system?

INCEPTION, SURVEY, AND FEASIBILITY STUDIES

DETERMINING FEASIBILITY AND CHOOSING AN ALTERNATIVE

    Steering Committee

    Problems with Committees

    Committee Responsibilities

THE SELECTION PROCESS

    Developing Proposals

    Decision Information

    The Decision

SYSTEMS ANALYSIS

SYSTEMS DESIGN

    Output Considerations

    Input

    Manual Procedures

    Errors

    Backup

    Security and Fraud

SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

KEY WORDS

RECOMMENDED READINGS

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# Inception through Specifications

In this chapter we examine the first stages of the systems life cycle. We begin with the preliminary survey and the feasibility study. The output from these two studies is used to determine whether to proceed with the design of a computer-based information system and to select one processing alternative if the system is approved. If a system is feasible, the analysis and design stages are undertaken, and the design team prepares detailed specifications.

## **INCEPTION, SURVEY, AND FEASIBILITY STUDIES**

The first major activities in the life cycle after a system is proposed are the preliminary survey and feasibility study. These tasks are conducted by the information services department with the cooperation of users. Each of these documents consists of two parts, the present system and alternatives. The alternatives section should present several potential alternatives and evaluate them on technical, economic, and operational criteria.

From a technical standpoint, for a new system, what is the state of the art? This analysis is related to risk, since very few organizations should be pioneers in the development of all their new systems. Some pioneering may be desirable, and an attempt should be made to estimate exactly what is involved. Will existing

technology, in the experience of members of the organization, be adequate for the application under consideration?

Economic considerations address the question of cost versus benefits. We must look not only at tangible cost savings, but also consider intangibles and unquantifiable savings. This analysis is particularly important as we move from transactions processing toward operational and managerial control systems where intangible benefits are more important.

The following list of benefits may prove helpful in this analysis (Kanter, 1972):

- 1 The ability to obtain information previously unavailable
- 2 The receipt of information on a more timely basis
- 3 Improvements in operations
- 4 The ability to perform calculations not possible before, for example, the simulation of production schedules
- 5 Reduction in clerical activity
- 6 Maintenance of a competitive position
- 7 Improvements in decision making
- 8 Improvements in image, customer service, etc.

A study of operational feasibility addresses the question of whether we can run the system. Are schedules for processing realistic; can input data actually be collected, errors corrected, and the system run on schedule? In one example, an inventory system was planned in which files would be updated every 3 days. There were many errors in the input which took so long to correct that the system had to move to a weekly updating schedule, reducing some of its benefits.

One of the major activities during the survey or feasibility study is to sketch possible alternatives for a new information processing system. Each of these alternatives has to be evaluated on the criteria selected in advance by a steering committee, as discussed later in this chapter. Reports should show manual procedures, input and output files, and processing schedules characterizing each alternative.

Development time and effort must also be estimated, and, unfortunately, making these time and effort projections is a very difficult task. At least one author provides guidelines on program productivity for making estimates (Kelly, 1970); however, there is a wide variance in programmer performance among organizations and individuals. There are some new approaches to programming and project management; see Chapter 14. However, since these approaches are not in widespread use yet, there is no historical data on which to base estimates. Our suggestion is to record development data as projects are implemented so that each organization can make estimates based on its own past experience.

The survey and feasibility studies should include estimates of the potential costs and effort involved in operating (as opposed to developing) each alternative. Because there is more accurate data and better experience in operations,

this estimate presents less of a problem than making cost estimates for the design of the system.

An attempt should also be made to estimate the impact of each alternative system on the organization. What departments and individuals will be affected by the system and what jobs are likely to be altered? Will any existing work groups be reassigned and what will happen to any employees who are replaced by a system?

The contents of one possible format for a survey or feasibility study are outlined in Table 12-1. The summary section presents a brief overview of the reasons for the study, and ranks each alternative, including the present system, on criteria established by a steering committee. The existing system should be described according to the analysis above, and each alternative should then be presented in detail. Here it is helpful to include a scenario, that is, a short story, on how a new system would actually be used, including management, user, and information services department activities under each alternative.

Earlier we advocated user-designed systems. The outline makes it appear as if the analyst does all the work of the survey and feasibility study. In the spirit of user-oriented design, the analyst should present tasks involved to the design team which then assigns responsibilities to various members. The analyst needs to spend little time analyzing the existing system, since users are already familiar with it. The analyst serves as technical resource in developing alternatives, given user goals, assesses technical feasibility, suggests what processing alternatives are available, and works on estimates for the time and cost for the development and operation of each alternative for a new system.

**Table 12-1 Outline of Preliminary Survey and Feasibility Study Contents**

---

|     |  |
|-----|--|
| I   | Summary  |
| A   | Goals  |
| B   | For each alternative evaluation on standard criteria |
| II  | The existing system                                  |
| A   | Problems   |
| B   | Goals of new system                                  |
| C   | Decision consideration                               |
| D   | Information flow                                     |
| E   | Processing   |
| III | For each alternative proposed                        |
| A   | Overview—percentage of goals achieved, benefits      |
| B   | Decisions  |
| C   | Information flows                                    |
| D   | Technical (files, I/O, processing)                   |
| E   | Development effort, schedule, and cost               |
| F   | Operational aspects                                  |
| G   | Impact on the organization                           |
| H   | Total costs and benefits                             |

---

## **DETERMINING FEASIBILITY AND CHOOSING AN ALTERNATIVE**

In the early days of computer systems, the information services department usually decided what applications to undertake. As demands for services increased, problems began to develop because some user requests had to be denied. As more systems of importance to the organization developed, many information services departments felt they were placed in a difficult position if forced to choose among competing applications. The information services department is not in a position to decide whether a system is feasible or which processing alternative should be chosen if the system is to be developed.

### **Steering Committee**

One answer to the information systems selection problem is to convene a steering committee of users and other managers and information services department personnel. When representatives of various functional areas are included, each department is able to see why certain decisions are made. Selection of applications seems less arbitrary under these conditions. With management guidance, the committee can select applications and processing alternatives which it feels are consistent with functions currently emphasized in the organization.

### **Problems with Committees**

If the ideas expressed above are good, why have a number of organizations become dissatisfied with steering committees? First, the goals of the committees are often not clear, resulting in little direction or continuity during meetings.

## **MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 12.1**

The order processing department manager at Leisure Clothing has a serious problem. She cannot understand why the information services department is so unresponsive to her requests. The firm has an elaborate on-line order-entry system which serves the entire United States. For the past 6 months, the order processing manager has logged the requests she has made for changes to the system. The total number of requests now stands at 15 and only three of the changes have been implemented!

When the manager of the order entry system from the information services department stopped to see her, she indicated displeasure over the lack of progress and suggested two new modifications.

The weary systems manager asked, "Do these new changes have priority over the five you suggested last week, or should we try to do those first?"

The conversation grew more heated until finally both parties were shouting at each other. What do you suppose is responsible for this conflict? What steps can each individual take to resolve the conflict? What does the department manager need to appreciate? What action should the information services department take?

Frequently the committees appear to be ratifying decisions already made by the information services department. No alternatives for a given application are suggested. Instead, the information services department presents the option of developing a complete, elaborate system or doing nothing. Almost no systems are rejected at the feasibility stage.

When decisions are made, there seems to be a failure to apply consistent decision criteria. Finally, in making any decision where costs and benefits are difficult to estimate, it is important to include subjective considerations. Members of steering committees have reported the lack of a mechanism for successfully including subjective factors in the decision process.

### **Committee Responsibilities**

The first problem stated above is a lack of a purpose for the steering committee. There are three distinct functions to be fulfilled by such a committee:

- 1 Approval of information services department plans and budgets
- 2 Selection of new information systems applications
- 3 The approval of enhancements

These tasks are varied and should not be mixed in the same committee meeting. In fact, some organizations have separate committees for each purpose.

The approval of information services department overall plans and budgets is best undertaken by a committee of top management. Here is the opportunity to establish policy for the development and operation of information systems. How much of the budget should be devoted to systems in different areas? What are the organization's priorities? Where should we be in 5 years with regard to information systems activities?

The selection of new applications should be undertaken by a committee with management input and widespread representation from users. In a large organization, there may be two committees, one for small projects with an estimated cost under a certain dollar amount and one for larger projects. The approval of enhancements may also be undertaken by a separate committee consisting of users of current systems.

## **THE SELECTION PROCESS**

The two tasks discussed above, the approval of information services department plans and budgets and the selection of enhancements, are amenable to a reasonably well-structured decision process. In this section, we discuss a procedure which applies to the selection of new information systems, although some of the same ideas can be generalized to the problem of allocating resources to enhancements.

### **Developing Proposals**

It is desirable to have all new systems suggested and investigated at one point in time so that all possibilities can be considered and some subset selected for

implementation. Unfortunately, ideas for systems arise almost at random; some length of time is required to study the suggestions before a decision is made on whether to undertake a suggested application. The decision process recommended below, therefore, concentrates on the selection of an alternative for a single proposed application. It does not attempt to evaluate an entire portfolio of projects because we are rarely in a position to compare the entire set of proposed projects at one point in time. The commitment of resources to past projects and the characteristics of systems currently under development can be reflected in the weights assigned to the criteria described below.

### Decision Information

The approach suggested here can be used for decisions at either the preliminary survey or the feasibility study stage. The major difference between the two studies is that the feasibility study contains more data than the preliminary survey and presents more refined cost estimates.

The first task of the steering committee is to agree with the information services department on the number of alternatives for a single project and how the alternatives should be developed. As an example, suppose that one user department has proposed an inventory control system. The alternatives might include (1) doing nothing, (2) setting up a very basic batch system, (3) purchasing a packaged program from a computer services vendor, and (4) establishing an on-line system. Each of these alternatives for an inventory control system meets some percentage of user needs at different costs. Probably three to five alternatives for each proposed application are sufficient; however, there should always be more than one alternative for a new system. The selection of the first alternative, doing nothing, is equivalent to a decision that a new system is infeasible.

The next step is for the committee to agree on a set of criteria to be used by the information services department in evaluating each alternative. Table 12-2 contains examples of possible criteria, although criteria will probably be unique for each organization. The set of criteria should be as complete as possible so that no important evaluation factors are overlooked. However, the steering committee should avoid enumerating too many criteria or the data collection and processing requirements for evaluation become a burden. The inclusion of relatively minor criteria similarly should be avoided.

\* There is no one correct number of criteria to use, but experience indicates that five to ten should be adequate. Criteria can be voted upon by the committee and rank-ordered for selection, or a group consensus on important criteria may be possible without the voting. It is also desirable to avoid as much overlap in the criteria as possible to avoid overweighting one factor.

Each criterion should be measurable on a natural or artificial scale. It is necessary to assign a value to each criterion, such as a dollar amount or a number from a questionnaire scale. Criterion measurement is a difficult and time-con-

**Table 12-2 Some Potential Criteria for Evaluating Alternatives in Project Selection**


---

|                                  |
|----------------------------------|
| Tangible and intangible benefits |
| User satisfaction                |
| Percentage of needs met          |
| Maximum potential of application |
| Costs of development             |
| Costs of operations              |
| Timing of costs                  |
| Timing of benefits               |
| Impact on existing operations    |
| Development time                 |
| Time to implement                |
| Manpower required                |
| Analyst                          |
| Programmer                       |
| User                             |
| Probability of success           |
| Probability of meeting estimates |
| New equipment required           |
| Priority of function             |

---

Source: H. C. Lucas, Jr., and J. R. Moore, Jr.: "A Multiple-Criterion Scoring Approach to Information System Project Selection," *Infor*, vol. 14, no. 1, February 1976.

suming process; much effort is required to develop scales and assign scores during evaluation.

Once the criteria have been determined, it is necessary to develop weights which indicate the relative importance of each criterion in arriving at the application selection decision. It is unlikely that each criterion will be regarded as equally important by all committee members, and some method will have to be used to weight the criteria for different individuals. Approaches to this process range from simple rank-ordering schemes to partial and paired comparison. The weights are of paramount importance to project selection because they reflect the priorities of the steering committee. The committee, of course, cannot expect conditions to remain constant; shifts in management policies and user needs necessitate revisions to weights over time.

### **The Decision**

If the recommendations above are followed, the steering committee should be in a position to review a series of alternatives for each application proposed for implementation. Each alternative should have been evaluated on the criteria established by the committee. Consider the example in Table 12-3. In this hypothetical decision problem, the steering committee is considering three alternatives: a batch inventory-control system, an applications package, and an on-line inventory system.

The first column in the table lists the criteria agreed upon by the committee

**Table 12-3 Applications Selection Example**

| Criterion                 | Weight | Batch system | Package  | On-line system |
|---------------------------|--------|--------------|----------|----------------|
| Percent of user needs met | 0.35   | 60%          | 75%      | 90%            |
| Cost of development       | 0.20   | \$25,000     | \$12,000 | \$30,000       |
| Cost of operations        | 0.10   | \$ 3,000     | \$10,000 | \$ 7,000       |
| Workers to develop        | 0.15   | 3            | 1        | 3              |
| Probability of success    | 0.20   | .85          | .95      | .75            |

and the information services department, while the second column contains the weights assigned to each criterion by the committee. The remainder of the table contains the scores for each alternative as evaluated by the information services department.

There are several ways to arrive at a decision, given this information. One approach is to work toward a consensus among committee members. In this example, the applications package would probably emerge as the preferred choice because of its high rating on the important criteria of percent of user needs met, low development cost, and high probability of success. As an alternative to this qualitative approach to selecting an alternative, more formal methods are available in which historical and survey data are used to develop a single numeric score for each alternative (Lucas and Moore, 1976c).

As conditions change, the committee can modify the weights to reflect new priorities. Also criteria can be dropped and/or added to reflect new circumstances. The major advantage of the recommended approach is the fact that it forces an objective evaluation of several alternatives for each proposed application, while providing a consistent but flexible framework for making decisions on applications.

## SYSTEMS ANALYSIS

If a system is feasible and one alternative is chosen for development, detailed systems analysis is the next stage. There are few guidelines on the depth of analysis required when the design team examines present information processing procedures. All aspects of the present processing method must be understood and documented, and the analysis should seek to identify key decisions as well as flows of data.

It is important to sample existing documents and files of data. The design team should count all types of documents and classify the information contained on them; what are both the peak and the average flows of information? What time pressure is on managers who must make key decisions in the system? Some of these questions were asked in collecting data for the survey and feasibility studies; however, now the task is to obtain all relevant details.

The design team must also decide where to place the boundary on its studies; it is too easy to expand a simple processing problem into a huge system.

---

**MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 12.2**

The top management of Eastern Bank and Trust practices group decision making. The highest four officers, including the chairman of the board, the president, and two executive vice presidents, meet together to make all major decisions. This committee approves the budget for the information services department and also decides on new applications.

Because of pressing business, information-systems-related decisions often are postponed from one meeting until the next. The budget director for the information services department indicated that he waited in the reception area for four meetings before his budget presentation was reached on the agenda.

The budget director's major objective is to have the information services department budget approved with as few questions as possible. The budget includes the funding for major systems development projects in the bank for the coming year. Therefore, approving the budget also involves selecting the major new applications for the coming year.

The members of the management committee are very dissatisfied with the current approach to information systems project selection decisions. They admit that no project has ever reached the feasibility study stage and been rejected. The managers indicate that they are not really making decisions, they are just ratifying the proposals of the information services department.

How can the bank solve this problem and develop a more effective project selection procedure? Why is this management committee not working well for information-systems-related decisions?

---

What initially looks like an order-entry process turns into an accounts-receivable system and a production-control system because eager designers expand the boundaries of the problem into other areas. In some instances, an expansive boundary may be appropriate, but a design team is well advised to take steps slowly in order to outline a manageable task.

The designers should document their understanding of present procedures with informal memoranda and reports. Flowcharts and decision tables should be constructed and reviewed with users who are not on the design team. When satisfied with its understanding of the present processing procedures, in effect, the design team should hold a "walk-through" with all users involved as a final check on the analysis.

**SYSTEMS DESIGN**

The most creative part of the systems life cycle is the design of new alternatives for processing. While these ideas were sketched in the preliminary survey or feasibility study, they have to be developed in far more detail now. For example, in the last section we chose a packaged program for inventory control; see Table 12-3. Suppose instead that the on-line system had been selected for development.

The information in the feasibility study is very general: the details of that system must be designed before programming can begin. What equipment is required? What are the I/O formats and file structures?

One approach to the design task is to develop an ideal system unconstrained by cost or technology. Then this system is refined through successive iterations until it is realistic. It is in this creative process of design that a team of designers is most important; one individual cannot hope to originate all of the features of a system. It is through the synergy of the group that a new system is created. In the remainder of this section, we discuss some of the many different design alternatives and considerations for computer-based information systems.

### Output Considerations

The output of the computer system is the primary contact between the system and most users. The quality of this output and its usefulness determines whether the system will be used, so it is essential to have the best possible output. Through much of our research on the use of information systems, we have found that users have different requirements. Some users want exception reports; they wish to be notified only if sales fall, say, 5 percent from last year's level. Other users may want summary information, while still others prefer complete details. To determine the different types of output desired, the design team can employ user surveys or have user members of the design team observe how other users work with information. Users should be provided with samples of reports for several weeks so they can think about their contents and format.

How can designers provide output flexibility? Clearly, data have to be maintained at the lowest level of detail required for reporting purposes. There is no reason, however, why everyone must receive the same output. Summaries can be developed from detailed data for those who desire it. Designers should consider keeping a file of user preferences regarding report formats if there are substantial differences in requests for output. A report can then be produced according to the preferred format of each user.

Another possibility to enhance output flexibility is to employ file management packages or to develop a retrieval program which makes it easy to custom-tailor reports. The use of such packages also makes it much easier to change reports as experience with the system grows.

The design team should stress clarity in format and headings for output. One of the most frequent complaints we hear is that users cannot understand reports; it is essential to use clear, descriptive titles for different fields on a report and to avoid the use of obscure or little-known codes. If the data on a report are not obvious, a footnote should be added, explaining how the numbers were derived or referring to the appropriate documentation for an explanation. Perhaps the first page of the report should include a short description of how the computations were performed.

When it is necessary to print exception or error messages, the system should provide as polite a response as possible. Here again, it is not desirable to use

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 12.3

Susan Friedman, the director of sales for the Trumbull department-store chain, is concerned over the complaints she has been receiving from store managers about the company's sales information system. The system has been highly successful until now; it provides information for store managers that most competitors do not have.

However, a recent survey sent to each department store in the chain indicated significant differences in how the information on the report is used. Susan has been meeting with the computer staff to review the comments from users. It seems that there are two choices: either managers can be trained to use the existing batch report produced by the system, or a more responsive output can be designed.

Susan does not like the idea of forcing the managers to use the system in a predetermined manner. She says, "The real beauty of this system is that it contains enough data to make all of the different store managers happy. They all have different ways of managing and I don't think we would be successful enforcing uniformity."

The computer staff has indicated that there are a number of possibilities for changing the output from the system, but has asked for help from Susan and the managers to determine what changes are desired.

What different output alternatives might be examined? How should they be investigated? What are the implications of each alternative for the present system, particularly for the current system, which has a sequential file structure?

code numbers, such as "Error 13B." Instead, the error message should explain what is wrong and provide feedback so one can learn from mistakes.

Another chronic complaint is that there are too much data produced by computer systems. Information can be made available and printed only when needed. Will an exception report do? Are data needed only infrequently? Can they be saved on a tape and printed only if necessary? If we must maintain large amounts of archival data for historical or legal purposes, it may be possible to use microfilm. The design team should also consider whether the addition of an on-line inquiry facility would eliminate the need for many printed reports.

The discussion above has referred primarily to batch processing output, and there are a few additional considerations which should be included in the design of on-line output. First, there should be a key on the terminal the user can depress to obtain help. The "help" function provides an on-line set of instructions to show how to obtain information. In addition to a "help" key, there may be several levels of prompting in the system. For example, a more detailed mode of comments can be used for the novice and a terser mode for the frequent, experienced user.

Another important feature of an on-line system is adequate response time. Users who become dependent upon on-line processing are very sensitive to degraded response times; backup should therefore be provided. Users have been known to become enraged when a crucial on-line system is not functioning. It is

also helpful to use pleasing terminals; can a CRT be used if hard copy is not needed? Perhaps silent printers can be used where a permanent record is necessary.

## Input

In the past few years, the trend in information systems has been toward collecting data as close to its source as possible. The objective of this philosophy is to eliminate data transcription wherever possible, for purposes of avoiding errors and reducing the time required to enter the data into the computer. The ultimate in automatic data collection, of course, is sensors attached to the input of a real-time system, such as a computer monitoring a patient in a hospital. In most commercial computer systems, a popular source-data collection technique is to use an on-line terminal.

However, there are alternatives to on-line input which are usually less costly. It may be possible to use a turnaround document in dealing with customers. For example, a bill is punched on a card and sent to a customer who returns the card with payment. If the customer pays the amount due, the return card can be processed directly. If the customer does not pay the full amount, then some kind of a keying operation is necessary to record the amount actually paid.

Another method to avoid data transcription—one that is gaining rapidly in popularity—is the use of optical character recognition (OCR) equipment. For a large sales force, it would be too expensive to have computer terminals for each salesperson. Communications and equipment costs would be too high, and it is not clear that it would even be desirable for sales personnel to use a typewriter terminal to record sales. Instead, if numbers are printed carefully, the actual order form prepared by each person can be used as an input to the computer system through a scanner. In fact, the use of the scanner might actually be faster than an on-line terminal, since undoubtedly the sales personnel would have to write orders before they could key them into a terminal. By taking a few more minutes to write clearly, the order form itself is entered directly into the computer system.

It is also possible to use mark sensing for input where the user darkens a box or circle corresponding to the user's choice for input. However, optical character recognition is easier for the user to understand than mark sensing and generally is preferred except where input data are very simple. Unless there are just a few alternatives, the mark sense forms become clumsy, since a separate box or circle must be provided for each possible answer.

Batch input, unfortunately, suffers from rigidity. Since most batch input requires information in a strict format, there is also a lack of immediate feedback on errors with batch processing. However, batch input is very economical and these systems have several advantages, including backup and processing error control. Whenever possible, the design team should consider the use of existing documents for input, since these will minimize problems in understanding new

and possibly more complicated forms. It is important to be sure that data to be entered can be provided legibly on the form. If a document has to be transcribed by a keying operation, it is helpful to use boxes for each character. If this is not possible, a separate well-delimited space should be provided for each character since this helps the keying operator justify the input.

For input forms intended for optical character recognition or mark sensing, it is best to work with manufacturers' representatives to determine the demands for their particular machinery. OCR has very exacting requirements for the location of information and the quality of printing on forms.

On-line interaction should be clear and polite; one should try to avoid a cumbersome input command structure and emphasize natural response. For on-line data entry, the system should provide courteous error messages and the opportunity to rekey data that are in error; messages should be polite and explain the error. It might be advisable to give no explanation unless the user types a question mark or presses some other key after receiving an error message. That way those familiar with the system can avoid tedious error messages really designed for someone with less experience.

### Manual Procedures

The systems design is not complete until we specify the manual processing procedures surrounding the computer system. What volume of activity will there be? How much time is required to perform these manual tasks? We should specify what processing the user has to perform and indicate the flow of information and the time required to complete processing. Manual input procedures are an often-overlooked part of systems design, yet poorly planned manual procedures have caused many otherwise well-designed systems to fail.

### Errors

A well-designed system handles errors; that is, it corrects them or notifies someone of the errors and continues producing valid output. It is not unusual to find more than half the instructions in a program devoted to error detection and handling, especially in an on-line system. Detection of errors in processing is the responsibility of the information services department and these problems are usually technological in nature. However, the user design team should be aware of input error possibilities and design procedures to minimize the likelihood of their occurrence and any adverse impact on the organization. One of the advantages of batch input is the error control it allows, since the data are all entered together in batches.

Whenever data are transcribed by some keying operation, they are usually key-verified to check for reporting errors. The first operator keys the data and a separate individual verifies them, using a machine which compares the keying of the original operator with the keystrokes of the verification operator. The verification process shows discrepancies which are then corrected by the opera-

tor. We assume that the data are recorded accurately on the document being keyed; however, there is nothing that guarantees accuracy.

It is not always necessary or desirable to key-verify all input fields. The use of a check digit, described below, lets the computer catch transcription errors. Some input fields such as names or descriptive information are not crucial for every application. When batch totals are used, the designer must decide whether or not it is necessary to key-verify the amount field since the computer checks it when totaling the batches on input.

We also may include a set of checks after transcription to see that data were correctly entered into the computer. For crucial fields, such as those dealing with monetary amounts, we usually employ a batch control total. A batch total is computed by keeping track of the dollar amount of a field in one batch of around 50 input documents. The batch total is entered in a batch control record that follows the documents in a batch. The computer program reading the input adds the data fields and compares them with the batch total. If the fields do not match, the program indicates an error. Batch totals provide assurances that the data, as prepared, are entered into the computer and furnish another check on the keying operation.

After checking data transformations and making sure that the data are entered, a program should perform logical checks on the source of the information. Did the originator provide correct data? For numbers which are used as keys, such as an identification number, we can use a check digit. Assume that the identification number for a part in inventory is four digits long, for example, part number 4326. During processing, we add a fifth digit to the number to serve as a check. We might compute the check digit by dividing the identification number by 11 and using the remainder as the check digit. The remainder when 4326 is divided by 11 is 2, so the number should appear in a parts catalog, in all input documents and on file as 43262. The computer programs that process the identification part number perform the same calculation; that is, a program divides 4326 by 11 and takes the remainder, checking to see that it is the same as the digit 2 in the units position.

There are also a variety of other verification schemes employing check digits, such as weighting each digit by a certain number. These calculations all have the same objective: to detect transposition and entry errors. Check digits are especially crucial when optical character recognition or mark sensing is used because of the possibility that the machine might make reading errors.

During the editing stage, we examine individual fields to be sure that no alphabetic characters have been placed in positions which should be all numeric. For example, if all inventory part numbers begin with the letter A or M or R, we should check to see that the first input character is one of these characters. If it is possible, we place boundaries on the input data to recognize invalid data; for example, we may be able to say that no transaction should be less than 50 cents or over \$1,000,000. In a sequential update, it is likely that for many error checks we shall have to wait until the file is actually updated. The data at the edit

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 12.4

John Washington is the manager of sales for Farway Manufacturing Company, a firm specializing in the manufacture of yard and garden supplies. The firm's products are sold in hardware stores and nurseries throughout the world by a large force of field sales representatives.

Currently, Farway is involved in the design of a new order-entry and sales-information system, and John is the user in charge of the project. The design team is in the process of choosing a method for data input and is divided over which of two alternatives would be most desirable.

One group in the firm favors optical character recognition for order entry; the sales force would be trained to print carefully when preparing order forms. The forms would be input directly to an OCR scanner connected to the firm's computer system, eliminating the need for any data transcription. Adherents of this approach point to the savings inherent in not having to transcribe order information into machine-readable form. Those opposing this alternative worry that the sales force will be uncooperative because of the changes required and the added time to print orders very carefully.

A second group which is uneasy about OCR has proposed continuing with the same, familiar order form; all changes would take place at the factory and would not be noticed by the sales force. When the orders arrive at the factory, they would be grouped into batches of 50 and entered by operators using a CRT on-line to the computer. This alternative features the advantage of batch error control combined with immediate feedback as the data are entered.

John Washington is trying to determine what criteria to use in deciding between these two alternatives for input. Which alternative sounds best to you? How should John resolve this deadlock on the design team?

processing stage are likely to be unsorted, and the data affecting a single master file record will not be all together.

In later stages, when sorted input is being processed, we can check the number of transactions affecting a single record to see if the amount of activity is reasonable. If there are too many transactions, there may be a problem that should be checked. For example, if usage of a part exceeds 200 percent of the prior month's usage, human intervention may be necessary to determine the reason for such abnormally high usage.

**On-Line Systems** One of the major efforts in the design of on-line input is error detection; it is difficult to catch errors such as the transposition or transcription mistakes we discussed under batch processing. With on-line processing, transactions are frequently not entered together in a group, so batch totals are not possible. These applications will probably make use of check digits and will also require logical checks on input. Frequently, with on-line transactions, we have access to files, therefore more complete checks can be performed on the

data as they are entered. On-line input has the major advantage of providing immediate feedback on errors, however.

It is certainly possible to perform the same type of field checking as in batch processing, that is, checking the upper and lower bounds on the values of input numbers. Input fields can also be scanned to be sure that numeric fields do not contain alphabetic data. On-line processing generally includes inconsistency checks; if a room clerk books two hotel reservations, then the clerk should also enter the names of two guests. In the case of extremely critical data which cannot be verified, the system should echo them back to the user and ask for confirmation.

Because error control is difficult with on-line processing, some systems collect data on-line, post them to a transaction file, and update the master file later in batch mode. As long as the data on the files do not have to be up to the minute, this processing strategy presents an attractive alternative to a fully on-line updating system. It is also customary to record all input transactions on magnetic tape in an on-line system to produce an input audit trail and for backup.

A major advantage of on-line input is the immediate feedback it provides. The first on-line applications were developed to give individuals at different locations access to a centralized data base, generally for rather simple transactions such as making a reservation. Today, many organizations are applying on-line technology to what, in the past, would have been batch input. For example, consider an order-entry application in which the sales force sends a completed order form to the factory. In the factory, a day's receipts of orders could be placed in a batch and the number of pieces ordered added to provide a batch total.

Then an operator working on-line at a CRT enters the information from each order. First, the operator types in the customer number, and the computer retrieves and displays the customer name and address; the operator checks the computer-retrieved data against the order. If there is an error, the operator corrects the account number and continues. Each item on the order is keyed in, and the computer checks to see if the item numbers are legitimate. For example, do we make style 3245 in color 37 (blue)? Various totals are computed on the order as a further check; for example, all of the items entered from the CRT can be added and the total compared with that manually computed on the order. A listing of the day's orders with a batch total should correspond to the manual batch total computed for the orders before they are entered into the system.

This type of on-line input combines the advantages of batch control checking with on-line interaction. The interface with the operator entering information is much more pleasant than a keypunch device and very little special training is needed for most applications. We expect to see a continuation of the trend toward more on-line data entry for this type of operation.

**Output Errors** If input is correct, and processing up to the output stage has been carefully checked, we expect correct output to result. However, it may still be necessary to take certain precautions. It is likely that a report program will

read data from the output of some other programs. We may want to have control totals on the different amount fields if report contents are written into an intermediate file. The report-writing program computes the same totals and checks them against the intermediate file. When the reports are actually printed, the report-printing program checks different calculations, for example, by “crossfooting” various output totals (that is, computing the same total more than one way—perhaps horizontally and vertically on a statement). We should also be alert to rounding problems, although most computer languages for information systems now feature decimal arithmetic capabilities. Some older machines that had only binary arithmetic created errors when financial statements were off by several pennies because of poor rounding procedures in programs. In one company, the inaccuracies were not appreciated by the accounting department, which lost all faith in computer processing because of this easily corrected error.

**Error Action** Many of the input and processing checks described above are designed to ensure file integrity, that is, to avoid the introduction of erroneous data or the destruction of portions of a file. This goal must be balanced against having a system that is overcontrolled, that is, a system with so many error checks that it is never able to run to completion.

For gross processing errors, such as an incorrect file, it is necessary to avoid processing until the problem can be corrected. If a series of transactions appears to be incorrect when a file is updated, the particular record in error can be skipped and an error notification issued. Alternatively, that record can be updated, but a field on the record should be used to indicate that some type of authorization for the change must be received on the next updating cycle. If control totals do not match at the end of a run, then appropriate notices have to be issued and the operations staff has to decide whether a rerun is necessary.

**Backup**

In addition to error controls during processing, we must consider the availability of backup. An audit trail is necessary; that is, there must be some way to trace transactions through a system from input to output. In an on-line system, one reason for keeping a tape of transactions is to make sure there is an audit trail. Special audit transactions may be created as a legitimate type of input for use by auditors in checking the system.

Batch updating provides automatic backup and security in the form of the old master file plus a record of transactions; we can recreate the new master file easily if anything happens to it. Usually two versions of the master file are kept, giving rise to what is called “the grandfather-son backup strategy.” For on-line systems, the contents of the file are dumped to tape, possibly several times a day. If a catastrophic failure occurs, the operator reloads the dumped files and uses the transaction-log tape to restore file changes.

Because batch computer systems also occasionally fail for hardware and software reasons, batch file updates may make use of checkpoint procedures so that a complete rerun is not necessary if an error is encountered. Some computer

languages feature automatic checkpoint facilities; during a sequential update, for example, a request for a checkpoint causes the recording of all data areas and the program status on a file. In addition, information on the records which are finished processing is recorded. If the system fails for some reason, the operator can restart the program at the last checkpoint without returning to the beginning of processing.

The major problem with restarting on-line systems is that we do not know how many transactions were in process at the time of failure. Because of the time involved, we may not want to reload the dump tape, but would like to recover from a minor failure as quickly as possible. It may be feasible to ask terminal users to verify their last transactions after we update the file. Alternatively, the time of update may be a field on the file and the recovery program could identify suspected transactions which were entered, but not completely processed, at the time of the failure. On-line error control is a very specialized topic; for further discussions see Yourdon (1972).

**Security and Fraud** There has been a great deal of publicity about the problems of fraud and security with computer systems. In designing a system, we have to take reasonable precautions to avoid the possibility of fraud. Independent programmers should be used for critical parts of the system, and multiple users should be involved. Procedurally, we should avoid giving authorization for sensitive changes to only one person. One of the easiest ways to develop reasonable precautions is to include an internal auditor on the design team. Security is enhanced not only by having backup files, but by storing them in separate physical locations.

## SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

The final design must be converted into system specifications, a task best undertaken by the staff of the information services department. The specifications must reflect the processing logic of the new system and describe the format, contents, and structure of each file in the system. Input and output must be specified in detail including the form, medium, format, and examples. The designers should explain programming requirements, and both users and the computer staff can work on the documentation of manual procedures. An example of system specifications is presented in Chapter 15, and Table 12-4 contains a list of the items which should be included in this report.

## KEY WORDS

|                |                    |                    |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Analyst        | Check digit        | Data transcription |
| Alternatives   | Control totals     | Demands            |
| Backup         | Conversion program | Documentation      |
| Batch controls | Criterion          | Error control      |

**Table 12-4 Detailed Systems Design Specifications**

|  |                                |                        |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Output                                 | Errors                         |                        |
| Destination and use                    | Design decisions               |                        |
| Medium                                 | Modules                        |                        |
| Reports (samples)                      | Processing                     |                        |
| Frequency                              | Conversion programs            |                        |
| Input                                  | Input                          |                        |
| Source                                 | Output                         |                        |
| Medium                                 | Errors                         |                        |
| Document (sample)                      | Design decisions               |                        |
| Fields                                 | Modules                        |                        |
| Estimated volume                       | Processing                     |                        |
| Files                                  | Manual procedures              |                        |
| Medium                                 | Error control                  |                        |
| Contents                               | Input error conditions         |                        |
| Record format, field names             | Processing errors              |                        |
| File structure (linkages, directories) | File integrity                 |                        |
| Estimated file size                    | Output errors                  |                        |
| Estimated activity                     | Backup                         |                        |
| Updating frequency                     | Security                       |                        |
| Processing                             | Work plan                      |                        |
| System flow                            | Program schedule, milestones   |                        |
| Program specifications                 | Time estimates                 |                        |
| Input                                  | Personnel required assignments |                        |
| Output                                 |                                |                        |
| Exception reporting                    | Processing alternatives        | Source data collection |
| Feasibility study                      | Reasonableness checks          | Steering committee     |
| Flexibility                            | Resource allocation            | Verification           |
| Input specifications                   | Resources                      | Weight                 |
| Manual procedures                      | Response time                  |                        |
| Priorities                             | Risk                           |                        |

**RECOMMENDED READINGS**

- Ackoff, R. L.: "Management Misinformation Systems," *Management Science*, vol. 14, no. 4, December 1967, pp. B140-B156. (This classic article describes a number of myths about information systems design.)
- Lucas, H. C., Jr., and J. R. Moore, Jr.: "A Multiple Criterion Scoring Approach to Information System Project Selection," *Infor*, vol. 14, no. 2, February 1976, pp. 1-12. (This paper describes in detail the scoring model referred to in this chapter.)
- Martin, J.: *The Design of Real-Time Systems*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1967. (In this and several other books referenced in the bibliography, Martin describes many of the considerations in the development of on-line systems.)
- Moore, J. R., Jr., and N. R. Baker: "Computational Analysis of Scoring Models for R&D Project Selection," *Management Science*, vol. 16, no. 4, December 1969, pp. B212-B232. (This paper presents the results of a simulation of some of the properties of

scoring models; it is technical, but provides good background on this type of model compared with others.)

Yourdon, E.: *Design of On-Line Computer Systems*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1972. (This book contains a great deal of detail on the design of on-line systems.)

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 Why is the selection of an information systems project so important to an organization?
- 2 What are the major sources of frustrations in selecting computer applications?
- 3 How does the conflict model of earlier chapters apply to selection of information systems projects if the information services department is in charge of this process and makes unilateral decisions?
- 4 What is source data collection? What are its advantages?
- 5 How would you expect an information services department to react to the idea of evaluating several different alternatives for a single system?
- 6 What kind of output equipment has the most pleasing user interface, in your opinion? What is the cost of more desirable equipment compared with that of a less pleasant interface?
- 7 Can systems design be described as a science? What is scientific about it? What characteristics make it appear to be an art?
- 8 What type of computer system—for example, batch or on-line—is most flexible in meeting user needs when in operation? What type is most flexible when changes are made after implementation?
- 9 What is the drawback for users in serving on a steering committee and/or design teams?
- 10 What other approaches to selection of information systems projects can you suggest?
- 11 Who should be on a steering committee for project selection decisions?
- 12 Suggest a mechanism for deciding which enhancements to existing systems should be undertaken. How does this problem differ from selecting new applications? How are the two decisions similar?
- 13 Why present multiple alternatives in preliminary surveys and feasibility studies?
- 14 How do projects already under way influence decisions on undertaking a proposed application?
- 15 How can one find out what applications packages might be available as a possible source of processing in a proposed system?
- 16 How should risk be considered in evaluating proposed applications? What are the risks in systems analysis and design? Should an organization have a portfolio of projects balanced on risk?
- 17 What is an audit trail in an information system? Why is such a trail of transactions necessary?
- 18 What are the reasons for using on-line technology if there is no need for decentralized coordination of users who access a common data base?
- 19 Are there manual procedures with an on-line system? If so, what type? How important are they?
- 20 Why are some managers unsatisfied with exception reports? How can their fears be eased?

- 21 Are error checks more demanding for a batch system or for a system which operates on-line? What types of error checks differ for the two systems?
- 22 What contribution can the user make to a preliminary survey and feasibility study? How can the use of this information lead to biased recommendations?
- 23 How can the amount of computer output be reduced while still meeting user needs?
- 24 What other creative tasks are there in the organization in addition to the design of new information systems? How do they differ from this activity?
- 25 What are the prospects for automating systems design tasks? Where could automation be fruitfully applied in the systems life cycle?
- 26 Design a procedure for developing criteria and assigning them for project selection.
- 27 Does a system have to use the most modern technology to be successful? Why or why not? Are there disadvantages to utilizing the most up-to-date technology?

## PROGRAMMING

- Programming Goals
- Egoless Programming
- Programmer Teams
- Structured Programming
- Top-Down Programming
- Reviews

## TESTING

- Unit Testing
- Combined Module Testing
- Testing Manual Procedures
- Acceptance Tests

## TRAINING

## CONVERSION AND INSTALLATION

## DOCUMENTATION

- Design Documentation
- Training Documentation
- Operations Documentation
- User Reference Documentation

## POSTCONVERSION ACTIVITIES

## KEY WORDS

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# Programming through Installation

The next stages in the development of a new information system are programming, testing, training, conversion, and installation. Programming is a highly technical and time-consuming task, and in this chapter we suggest some modern approaches to programming to improve productivity. Careful testing and planning for installation are essential; many systems have failed because attention was not paid to these activities. What role does the user have in testing and planning for training, conversion, and installation? In this chapter we explore user activities during these stages.

## **PROGRAMMING**

The most technical parts of computer project management and the greatest amount of uncertainty are associated with the task of writing and testing programs. In the past, we have not been able to estimate completion times effectively or to coordinate people working on different parts of a program. In this section we present some new ideas and approaches to these tasks which should help to improve programming productivity.

### **Programming Goals**

In many development projects, management does not realize that there are different objectives in writing programs and does not inform programmers what

they should adopt as a design goal. In an experiment, Weinberg (1972*b*) found that by giving a group of programmers explicit objectives, each group ranked first on the achievement of its objective. The objectives in this experiment were minimum core usage, output clarity, program clarity, minimum number of statements, or minimum hours of development time. The study also showed that some of the goals were incompatible, for example, the goal of output clarity and the use of minimum number of statements.

The implications of this experiment for management are quite significant: managers should make programming goals clear and explicit. Lacking goals, the programming staff may make different assumptions; one programmer may stress minimum completion time while another tries for the minimum number of statements in the program. For most organizations developing information systems, the overriding goal probably will be clarity of program coding and output. In developing information systems we usually do not want elegant and sophisticated programs. Clarity of output is important to the users, and clarity of coding is important to programming management so that program changes can be made easily.

### **Egoless Programming**

For the most part we have always thought of programming as an individual task. Programmers are often detached from their coworkers, but are highly attached to the programs they write. Errors in programs are taken personally and programmers tend to be highly defensive about their programs. Weinberg (1972*a*) has suggested a new approach to programming (he calls it "egoless programming") which treats programming as a group activity rather than as an individual effort. Management must create an environment in which the programmer expects errors in the code and recognizes that help is needed to find them. Programmers in a group trade programs and look at each other's codes. Many examples are cited by Weinberg which show the advantages of this approach in terms of increased efficiency. There are other advantages aside from easier debugging and faster completion time. First, each programmer becomes better aware of the entire system and develops an understanding of how different modules fit together because of this involvement in the construction of other parts of the system. Also, more backup is provided, since several people are familiar with each module.

### **Programmer Teams**

A structure that incorporates egoless programming teams and has the potential for greatly improving project management has been suggested by Baker (1972). The chief programmer team consists of a senior chief programmer, one or more backup programmers, one to five junior programmers, and a programming secretary (Mills, 1971). The chief programmer directs the activities of the group, holding a position similar to that of a senior engineer. Programming is often viewed as a profession leading to a system analyst or management position, but

one of the advantages of the team concept is that a highly paid chief programmer position can be viewed as a senior staff position in an organization. This approach presents a better career path for programmers and recognizes that skilled programming is a worthy profession in itself.

All other programmers report to the chief programmer, whose job is to design and code programs, and who will program the most critical segments of the system. This person also works with other programmers to define modules for them. The chief programmer is responsible for the management of the team as a whole, and has the opportunity to be a high-level creative programmer and, at the same time, a professional manager.

A backup programmer who is equivalent in talent with the chief programmer becomes totally familiar with the activities of the chief programmer and provides backup. As long as the chief programmer is able to continue to manage the project, the backup programmer serves as a research associate to help develop new ideas and program test data.

A programming secretary maintains records of the project, including a complete file or library of programs, flowcharts, and program specifications. An index or directory to each part of the library is also maintained. The librarian is responsible for keeping a list of all the data elements so that all data are called by the same identifier in each program. Old versions of programs are maintained in the archives so that useful information is not thrown out. For the same reason, copies of test data and test runs are filed in an archive; this practice maintains the status of the programs and the project to facilitate programmers' work. Therefore a complete and accurate directory or index to the items in the file is required.

The entire programming team functions as a group. Various programmers follow the guidance of the chief programmer; they work on different modules and read each other's programs. Heavy use is made of the library to build, store, and retrieve information for the team. For large projects it may be necessary to have several levels of teams, with the highest level reporting to the project manager. To implement this approach management must make an extensive effort to provide an environment for and to encourage group-related activities.

### **Structured Programming**

We have presented several approaches to improving the programmer organization, and now we turn to technical solutions to some programming problems. Modularization refers to breaking a complex program into a number of parts, each of which can be tested independently. Since each module has a limited number of tasks to perform, it is easier to program than a monolithic program. In defining program modules, we should follow the practice of hiding information from various parts of the system so that each program module is insulated as much as possible from changes in other modules. The major problem, of course, is defining the modules and coordinating them in the proper manner.

In addition to modularization, we also suggest structured programming (Baker, 1972; Mills, 1971). Structured programming has yet to be precisely

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 13.1

David Schwartz is controller of Play Toys, Inc., a manufacturer of high-quality children's toys. He has recently served as the user in charge of the development of a new integrated accounting system for the company. The specifications are complete, and the system is now being programmed by the information services department at Play Toys. David, while not managing the programming, has tried to stay in touch with progress.

He is very concerned about the problems the computer staff apparently is having with the project. There are four programmers assigned to write different portions of the system. As the computer staff manager explained it to David, there has been a great deal of frustration when the components written by each of the four programmers do not fit together. As David understands it, the programs fail to "cooperate" in the way they should.

David now has the feeling that the manager of programming for the new accounting system really is no longer in control of the project. This manager is just responding to problems and pressures. When David asks to see a schedule or tries to obtain a status report, the manager of programming is evasive and does not appear to know the answer. David feels the company needs the system very badly. If the company misses the conversion date, it may be necessary to wait an entire year because they are planning to install the new system at the beginning of the fiscal year.

What can David Schwartz as a user do to rescue the accounting project?

defined; however, it represents a modular, disciplined, and planned approach to writing programs. Technically, structured programming is based upon the fact that any program can be constructed from the following statement types:

Sequential operations (including procedures calls)  
IF THEN ELSE  
DO WHILE

The most conspicuously absent type of statement is the GO TO; by eliminating GO TO statements a much more readable program results. It is not possible to find a transfer of control within a program segment; the only transfer is an exit at the end of the block of code. Therefore, a programmer can read a program segment from top to bottom without worrying about intervening jumps.

Clearly, it takes more skill and planning to write such a code, but it is much easier to understand and change. This approach to programming without the use of GO TO statements should be combined with modularization, the use of program comments describing what each segment of code is meant to accomplish, and code indentation to make the structure of the program clear.

### Top-Down Programming

Most systems are designed from the top level down; that is, a general plan is developed and refined to greater levels of detail. But systems tend to be imple-

mented from the bottom up; that is, basic modules are written first and then integrated into subsystems.

There is some evidence to suggest that it may be better to reverse the implementation process (Baker, 1972; Mills, 1971). Under this top-down approach, we write the highest-level programs and test them while the next lowest level is being written. Dummy subroutine and procedure calls are used for lower-level modules which have not been written yet. Top-down programming makes the status of work clear and shows the functions that must be performed by lower-level routines. The interface between modules can be defined before the functions are actually coded. We can avoid the redesign of lower-level modules that would have been required because of some oversight discovered when lower-level modules were combined for use by a higher-level routine.

## Reviews

Design reviews in which processing is described in detail should be scheduled for different parts of the system. For example, the logic of an input error check could be presented by the programmer in charge of the module to an audience of other programming team members and perhaps a user representative from the design team. The audience notes errors and inconsistencies and makes suggestions for improvements in the module. Someone in the meeting records these comments for the person making the presentation. The purpose of these reviews is constructive; we are trying to find what has been omitted or what errors in logic are included in the design. The review is not held for employee evaluation; the goal is to improve the product, not to criticize the speaker. Clearly, this approach requires an open and nondefensive attitude which is consistent with the environment required for "egoless" programming.

## TESTING

Users have an important role to play in testing. While programmers design a certain amount of test data, users should help develop test data for system verification. Users should not provide only average transactions, but instead should generate test data with errors and data that encompass as many different conditions as possible. For example, one should try to violate rules for input by putting wrong characters in columns, such as alphabetic data where numeric data belong. These test practices ensure that programs have adequate error checking and editing features.

Next, the user should try to make illegal changes in files, for example, by updating an invalid field and using incorrect transaction codes. These errors will occur in actual operations of the system, and we should be sure that the system has been programmed to detect them so they will not damage files and lead to incorrect output.

Testing also includes checking the basic logic of each program and verifying that the entire system works properly. We cannot be exhaustive in program testing because there is an overwhelming number of paths in a program. Testing

individual programs involves an attempt to be sure that the most likely paths work properly. Programmers facilitate testing by coding as clearly as possible; however, we should remember the saying that no program is fully debugged. Systems should be planned so that errors are easy to find and correct. As users, we must expect to encounter occasional errors, even after installation of the system; the purpose of testing is to reduce their frequency and severity.

### **Unit Testing**

After the systems design, programmers write and test basic program modules. At this stage programmers usually construct their own test data or use a program which generates these data. One problem is common to all testing: if programmers make up their own test data, only the conditions thought of in advance by the programmer will be tested. Unit testing with programmer data is necessary, of course, but it is not sufficient. While it is important to know if the logic included in the program works properly, we are also concerned about conditions that the programmer did not include in the program. Egoless programming and team approaches help here, as they provide for input from other programmers who might think of missing logic. Structured review sessions also point out omissions. However, it is still best to have an independent source of test data for users to augment each programmer's tests, and one activity for the backup programmer is to generate data for testing individual programs.

### **Combined Module Testing**

Modules are combined for testing after they pass individual unit tests. Top-down programming helps here, since errors frequently occur in calling sequences. Programming lower-level modules first creates major changes when we program higher-level routines and recognize an omission in a lower-level module. By carefully specifying the interface for high-level modules, programming them first, and by keeping a systems library up to date, many interface problems are avoided.

At this point in combined module testing, we also stress independent test data generation. It is important for users to be included in developing test data because the data will be less contrived and more realistic. In one instance, management offered a prize to the user who designed the best error-detection scheme and the best processing module for the user's department's processing. The idea was to create input data which would cause another department's processing logic to fail (Lucas 1974c). This "game" resulted in the development of very realistic test data to run against the program logic developed in each user area.

### **Testing Manual Procedures**

The testing described above is concerned with programs. Another major activity occurring simultaneously with the development of programs is the design and testing of manual procedures. Manual procedures are sometimes overlooked, but they can determine the success of a system.

In one case, an airline developed an automatic seat-assignment option as part of its on-line computer reservations system. This on-line CRT-based system replaced the old approach of using cardboard cutouts of the seats torn from an outline of the plane's body. The new system was implemented at a peak holiday travel season. At one San Francisco gate, a spotlight was directed on the CRT screen at the check-in counter—a lighting effect that made it difficult to read the CRT. In addition, the device was positioned so that the agent operating the console could not stand straight; he had to bend over slightly. Long lines formed while a nontypist agent tried to assign seats and enter passenger names on the terminal. The old way of tearing off the seat stub from the cutout and handing it to the passenger was several times faster.

What happened in this example? The airline spent millions of dollars on a computer system and obviously spent no time on testing manual procedures. The design team could have employed a very simple queuing model, or even written a small simulation to test manual operations. In fact, the airline might even have programmed a simple version of the system on a time-sharing computer and actually had airline employees or real passengers simulate the boarding process.

Where there are major changes in existing manual procedures, pilot testing is essential, especially with a terminal with a system that interfaces directly with customers. It is relatively easy to simulate parts of a system by developing a few input forms and having users work with these forms. However, it is important to design pilot tests so they are real experiments. One company conducted a pilot test, but had the entire computer department present in the small user department to answer questions and help with the test. Because of the attention and extra help, the experiment succeeded; it could not really have failed. However, when the system was introduced in the whole manufacturing plant, it was a total disaster. The experiment was not a fair test because it was not representative of what would happen during actual operations.

Users are an essential component of manual procedures testing since they are the individuals who will execute these manual procedures. Can we simulate the procedures to be sure they work properly? The process of testing procedures results in users who are well trained before conversion, since they will try the procedures before they actually have to be used.

### **Acceptance Tests**

Some formal procedure is generally employed to certify that a system is ready for installation; users must view the test results and “accept” the system. When feasible, a parallel test may be conducted in which the new system is run along with the old. The results of the two systems are compared to assess the validity of the new system. Parallel testing requires much extra work for users, since two systems are operated simultaneously. In the case of some systems—for example, those which are on-line—parallel operations may not be possible logically. Other approaches to acceptance testing include the development of special data by users who then validate the output of the system; such test data must be carefully developed and as comprehensive as possible. Regardless of the

approach used, some type of acceptance test is necessary before the conversion and installation of a new system.

## TRAINING

Heavy user participation in design pays dividends during training. All individuals who will be affected by a new system should receive some training. The design team develops training materials such as sample forms to be completed by users or samples of screens which will appear on a CRT. For terminal-based systems, users should have the opportunity to operate a special training version or simulated version of the new system in order to gain familiarity with it.

Several on-line systems, including the one discussed in Chapter 15, have successfully employed computer-aided instruction (CAI) to train terminal operators. CAI is a programmed learning approach in which the computer is a tutor that drills the student while recording student progress. For large systems with many operators distributed widely from a geographic standpoint, CAI may be the only economical way to provide training. The CAI programs maintain a record of progress and can be designed to let operators exercise only those functions for which they have received training.

In planning for training, all people potentially affected by the system should

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 13.2

The new manager of information services for a major West Coast bank is trying to improve relations with users. The bank has a history of conflict between the computer department and user departments. One approach the manager has adopted is to increase user participation in systems design. However, his own observations have confirmed those of the computer staff: users are woefully ignorant of computer systems. Furthermore, they really do not want to learn about them because of the stormy computer history of the bank.

The president of the bank has given her commitment to the project and has offered whatever resources are needed. The manager of the information services department is trying to solve the following problems:

- 1 How does he gain the support of department heads to encourage users to participate in training and systems design?
- 2 What are the most essential topics for user training?
- 3 What kind of courses should be offered? Who should teach them and when?
- 4 Who should attend the training sessions—should only those users who will be working on a system next be invited, or should there be general invitations?
- 5 Is similar training needed for the information services department staff?
- 6 How can he evaluate the results of the training program?

Can you help answer these questions and design an action plan for the manager?

be considered. One approach is to predict the reaction of each individual, for example, in the form of a balance sheet (Mumford and Ward, 1968). What are the costs and benefits of the change for each affected individual? A change plan can then be customized to each individual; in some instances the design team may change the system in order to minimize the costs to certain users.

## CONVERSION AND INSTALLATION

Conversion is the process of preparing for the first live operation of the new system; what activities are required for the system to begin running? Usually new files are created from either manual or existing machine-readable records. Existing procedures have to be terminated and the new ones phased into operation. The conversion date is a target which should be well publicized in order to encourage readiness.

The major consideration in planning for conversion and installation is to proceed gradually; never convert and install a system at the peak season for the organization. It may be possible to phase in a system by department, geographic region, or on some other basis. A great deal is learned from the first unit implemented, which can be used to prepare for the next portion of the cutover. Full-scale cutover of an entire system at one point in time runs a high risk of disaster. If the system has to be installed at once because of some special requirements, then extra effort should be taken in training and users should have a chance to work on a simulated version of the system.

## DOCUMENTATION

Documentation is a term used to describe all the instructions programs, and narratives, that is, virtually anything written about the information system. Documentation serves a number of purposes. First, during systems design, it is the evolving product developed by the design team. After implementation, it is the basis for making changes to the system. The quality of documentation determines in part how much flexibility the information services department has in responding to user requests. Good documentation serves to reduce conflict between users and the information services department, since a well-documented system is easier for users to understand. Good documentation means that an adequate reference is available when problems arise, and this helps us learn how to solve problems with the system.

If a user is in charge of the design team, it is that user's responsibility to see that good documentation is developed as part of the design effort. Unfortunately, the information services department staff generally does not like to document systems; this activity is viewed as a tedious job and something that does not contribute to progress on the system. Like programming management, documentation is an activity to which time must be devoted if the system is to succeed. It is interesting to note that the new technology for programming management discussed earlier in the chapter requires far more documentation than older,

more haphazard approaches. The user leader of the design team must be aware of the types of documentation needed and work to influence the information services department to prepare it. Users on the design team can help by preparing the training and user-reference documentation for a system.

### **Design Documentation**

During design, the purpose of documentation is to aid in control by providing a record of what has been developed and of what has been changed. It is important to be sure that all parts of a system are considered and that those responsible for the components affected by the change are notified. What program modules and what programmers are involved if a file format or the contents of a file are altered?

Control is also the ability to retrieve past test runs and old versions of programs or files. Design documentation builds an excellent data base for making future estimates of how long it will take to develop similar systems. The systems librarian should maintain a copy of all runs and progress reports so that a complete description of project activities can be found easily.

### **Training Documentation**

Training documentation prepares us for conversion, installation, and the eventual use of the system. Most of the information needed for training can be developed from the systems documentation discussed above. User-training documentation is used to bridge the gap between old existing procedures and those required for the new system. This documentation should be developed by user members of the design team in conjunction with other users in the organization.

We have found it best to begin training by looking at the output of a system. Output documentation should focus on key decisions and reports. Having discussed output, we can cover the input and the files necessary to produce the desired output. Finally, the computer processing logic and other procedures involved are discussed. It is important to include error conditions and the remedial actions taken in training documentation.

User training should also include considerations of transitional effects, especially testing and conversion. These problems can be presented to the user group for help in developing a solution. In fact, it is best at first not to have a completely detailed plan formulated. After plans have been jointly developed for these stages, they can be documented as conversion procedures.

### **Operations Documentation**

The operations section of the information services department has to operate a system after it has been converted. The operations group needs information on normal operating procedures and how to respond to errors. This information is best prepared by the systems analysts and programmers, and much of it can be derived from design documentation.

## User Reference Documentation

The last type of documentation that should be developed is for user reference after the system has become operational. This information should be referred to first when we have a question or a problem. If this documentation is of sufficient quality, users can answer their own questions without having to contact the information services department, and thus the potential for conflict is reduced. There is a tremendous amount of frustration when something goes wrong with an information system and we do not understand why, or know how to fix it.

Fortunately, most of this material can be taken directly from other documentation, for example, the procedures parts of the training documents. This documentation should be assembled into a reference manual such as a loose-leaf binder that can be updated easily as the system evolves over time. A detailed table of contents is necessary to make this documentation easy to use as a reference. We should make available a complete discussion of the input, output, and processing logic. One of the most important components in this documentation is a list of error conditions and "fixes" (how to correct the error). It is helpful to include the names of the most knowledgeable user and the maintenance programmer who is now in charge of the system.

## POSTCONVERSION ACTIVITIES

At some point near the cutover, it is necessary to "freeze" a system temporarily as far as changes are concerned. During this critical period, all resources have to be devoted to conversion. However, the freeze in changes should be considered clearly temporary in nature; we hold changes that are suggested in abeyance until conversion is completed.

If systems are to serve us, we shall make continuing requests for changes. In fact, a responsive information services department looks for changes and suggests them to users. The fact that users are heavily involved with the design should reduce the number of modifications necessary. However, we shall make important requests for alterations as we gain experience with the system. Another reason for structured programming and good documentation is to facilitate these changes. A system is literally never finished, but as it matures changes should become less frequent.

After the system appears to be working smoothly, it is time to examine what was accomplished and the resources required in a postimplementation audit. Now is the time for the design team to assess reactions to the system. What could be done better next time? Were the tangible savings which were forecast achieved? How do we evaluate the intangible benefits?

We should also look at the original estimates and determine if they were achieved. The accuracy of forecasts and the experience with this system provide the data from which to make estimates in the future. These data reflect what can be done by this design team in this organization. Past experience is the best

source of information available for estimating the requirements for the next system for this team and organization.

**KEY WORDS**

|                             |                          |                        |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Backup programmer           | Independent test data    | Structured programming |
| Changes                     | Modularization           | System library         |
| Chief programmer team       | Operations documentation | Top-down programming   |
| Combined module testing     | Parallel testing         | Training documentation |
| Conversion and installation | Participation            | Unit testing           |
| Documentation               | Postimplementation audit | User-reference         |
| Egoless programming         | Programming goals        | documentation          |
| Impact of system            | Review session           |                        |

**RECOMMENDED READINGS**

Baker, F. T.: "Chief Programmer Team Management of Production Programming," *IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 11, no. 1, 1972, pp. 66-73. (An article describing the uses of a chief programmer team and many of the techniques discussed in this chapter to develop a retrieval system for the *New York Times*.)

Mumford, E., and T. Ward.: *Computers, Planning for People*, B. T. Batsford, London, 1968. (This excellent book presents suggestions for planning for the impact of a system on individuals.)

Nie, N., C. Hull, J. Jenkins, K. Steinbrenner, and D. H. Bent: *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences* (2d ed.), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975. (This package program for statistical analysis is one of the best-documented systems in existence. It is a standard by which other documentation can be judged.)

Weinberg, G. M.: *The Psychology of Computer Programming*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1972. (A revolutionary book suggesting the concept of egoless programming.)

———: "The Psychology of Improved Programmer Performance," *Datamation*, vol. 18, no. 11, November 1972, pp. 82-85. (An experiment is described in which different programming teams are given different goals in writing a program.)

**DISCUSSION QUESTIONS**

- 1 What are the reasons for user participation in testing a system and planning conversion and installation?
- 2 What issues should be examined in a postimplementation audit?
- 3 Who should conduct the postimplementation audit and what should be done with the results?
- 4 Who should accept a system; that is, who is responsible for indicating that a system is working properly and can be considered fully converted?
- 5 Describe different approaches to the gradual implementation of:
  - a A batch computer system
  - b An on-line system
- 6 What are the problems and disadvantages of parallel testing?

- 7 After conversion, are the responsibilities of the design team ended? If not, what other tasks should the team attempt to accomplish?
- 8 Why is it unwise for programmers to design all of their own test data?
- 9 Do conversion programs need to be documented carefully? Why or why not?
- 10 What action should a design team take if it expects sabotage or user resistance for some aspects of a new system? Of what is such resistance a sign?
- 11 Why is it unwise to make a major change in the organization (such as departmental restructuring) concomitantly with the conversion of a new information system?
- 12 How does good documentation serve to reduce user conflict with the information services department and staff?
- 13 Why is documentation generally the weakest part of a system's design?
- 14 How does the presence of a systems librarian aid documentation?
- 15 What can be done to facilitate and encourage documentation besides employing a systems librarian? Are there any mechanical tools which will encourage documentation?
- 16 What is the role of documentation after a system has been converted successfully?
- 17 What role does documentation play in subsequent changes to a program or system?
- 18 How should program changes be controlled? Is it necessary to have more than one person agree on the change? Why or why not?
- 19 Why should test results be kept as part of the documentation of an information system?
- 20 Why do users need to have documentation on the data files in the system?
- 21 How can the computer operator use documentation to determine if an error has occurred because of a programming problem, a data problem, or a malfunction of the computer itself?
- 22 How can the design team leader influence documentation standards of the information services department? Why should agreement on documentation formats and contents be reached?
- 23 Why should users design the training program? How can users on the design team gather data on potential change resisters and evaluate different personal and situational factors which are likely to affect implementation?
- 24 How does the development of the chief programmer position change the career path for computer professionals? What benefits does this have for users?
- 25 Is programming such a craftlike trade that it defies management?

MANAGEMENT TASKS

Uncertainties

User Responsibilities

PROJECT SCHEDULING

Estimates

AUTOMATED DESIGN APPROACHES

KEY WORDS

RECOMMENDED READINGS

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# Project Management

We have already seen that a computer project should be managed jointly by users and the information services department during its early stages. The information services department directs the preliminary survey and feasibility study. Analysts guide users, who control most of the design activities. The final schedule is agreed upon jointly; the exact amount of time required depends on the nature of the system and the availability of analysts and user representatives on the design team. What tasks are required for managing the project? What is the role of the user in these tasks? In this chapter we explore the answers to these questions.

## MANAGEMENT TASKS

Unfortunately, there has been a notable lack of success in managing systems design projects. A number of problems have been reported: schedules are not met on time, systems do not meet original specifications, and there are often high cost overruns. Programming was regarded at first as a craftlike trade which did not need managing; today, the need to manage the entire development process of a system is recognized.

### Uncertainties

One of the major problems in systems analysis and design is the high level of uncertainty associated with these activities. Users are often unaware of the problems creating the need for an information system, yet they must work with the design team to develop the data for designing the system. The activities of the design team also create uncertainty for users who are asked to describe their information needs. Can users adequately explain their decision and information processing requirements?

Lack of certainty is also reflected in the development of the system itself. Is the model developed by the design team close to reality; that is, do the specifications adequately represent the needed information processing procedures? Once given the system design, programmers have to interpret the specifications and write programs. It is difficult to forecast what is involved in writing, testing, and debugging a program. There is usually uncertainty about the time required, whether or not the program will work, and whether or not it will meet specifications.

If the program and system meet specifications, then user acceptance tests are undertaken to see if the design, as represented by systems specifications, fits user needs. The task of project management is to attempt to reduce all these uncertainties, coordinate the activities of the diverse parties working on the project, and ensure that the project is completed within time and cost estimates.

### User Responsibilities

As mentioned above, users in the systems design staff can to some extent control progress through the development of specifications. Under the approach of user-controlled design, who should manage the remaining tasks? Can the user be expected to manage technical activities such as programming? Clearly the answer to this question is "no." We do not expect or advocate that users be placed in this role.

However, individuals in the organization expect that under user control, users are responsible for all aspects of the system, including management. While users do not necessarily have detailed technical knowledge, they can still monitor a project with assistance from technical staff members from the information services department. First, users can ensure that modern tools and techniques are being used by the programming staff by influencing management in the organization and the information services department. They should see that project control techniques are used and should be kept advised of critical milestones and progress. When it is clear that there are problems in maintaining the schedule, the manager should work with information services department management to see that resources are reallocated and/or new resources are added.

In the rest of this chapter, we discuss a scheduling technique for project management. Users do not have to be expert in these areas, but they should be aware that technical and management aids exist and should urge the information

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 14.1

Jane Braun works for the information services department at National Insurance Company. This large insurance firm specializes in life and casualty insurance and has been very successful since its founding in the early 1900s. The company has a number of modern computers, although most existing applications deal with transactions processing as opposed to decision-making applications.

Jane is excited about the new system she has helped to design for National. The application is for the investment department and appears to have a significant potential for supporting some of the important decisions made by this group. Having worked on the design, Jane was chosen to manage the programming phases of the project.

There are five programmers working on the new system, four of whom seem to cooperate very well. The fifth programmer, Stan Elton, has been with National for 10 years and is considered to be an experienced programmer. Stan's past work has generally been on systems where he was the sole programmer or where he worked with one junior partner. This is his first real assignment with a larger group of programmers, and it is the first time he has not been left on his own devices for managing his own programming efforts. Computer management at National, realizing the importance of the new system, did not want to have problems with it. Therefore, they asked Jane to manage the programming part of the project.

Jane was having a great deal of trouble communicating with Stan. For every suggestion she made, Stan responded with some reason why it was not possible. Jane also refused to give estimates for when his programs would be complete. The other programmers indicated that it was difficult to coordinate with him since he preferred to work alone. What should Jane do to solve this problem with Stan?

services department staff to employ them. Because programming is the area where most difficulties have been encountered, the suggested scheduling techniques will be applied to this stage in the life cycle. Of course, any project management technique for scheduling and monitoring progress can be applied at other stages in development as well.

### PROJECT SCHEDULING

In order to establish control over a project, it is necessary to have a schedule, milestones, data on progress, and some structure for analysis purposes. Consider the programming stage as an example; a schedule should first be estimated during the feasibility study. As systems design progresses, the schedule becomes more concrete as the magnitude of each task becomes clearer. During the feasibility study, the developers do not know how many programs are needed or how complex they are. However, by the time program specifications are completed, these requirements will be known with greater certainty.

The selection of milestones for managing programming and testing has been a difficult process. Some possibilities are:

- Program modules defined
- Program module flowcharted
- Program module coded
- Program module compiled
- Testing with programmer data
- Tests with independently generated data
- Tests with other modules
- Tests with "live" data

We have not had great success in estimating completion times for these steps nor in achieving them. Studies of programmer performance have shown high variances among individuals, which complicates forecasting. It is hoped that programmer teams will help to reduce some of the individual variances and make estimates more accurate.

Having defined milestones, we are faced with the problem of knowing that a milestone has been achieved; the 90-percent complete program that remains that way for a year is legend! The milestones suggested above are designed to be concrete and their achievement can be determined easily. However, the milestones do not reflect quality; it may be easy to write a poor program module on schedule. Thus, in the final analysis, we are dependent on the technical staff's cooperation in managing programming. If accurate reporting is rewarded rather than punished, then programmers should cooperate. The first step is to include programmers in management and let them see the need to keep track of a project. When milestones are not met, additional resources should be furnished in the form of assistance, a shift in workload, more teams, and so forth. In this fashion, honest reporting will be encouraged. Finally, we need a formal mechanism for analyzing the data of progress and determining where there is slack available, that is, where added resources can be obtained and where resources are in critically short supply. One useful project management technique is the "critical path" method.

The critical path method, or CPM, was developed from a military system called PERT, for Project Evaluation and Review Technique. PERT uses probability distributions to compute the most likely completion time for the major events in a project. CPM is conceptually simpler than PERT and is better suited to the development of computer systems. The critical path method breaks a project down into a series of subtasks and arranges the activities according to precedence relationships. We indicate that one task must precede another task, as a survey precedes a feasibility study. The length of time required for each task is estimated, and the various tasks are arranged in a network reflecting precedence relationships. The critical path, that is, the path through the network requiring the greatest amount of time, is identified. As tasks are completed and estimates for completion times for remaining tasks are changed, the critical path also changes. Project management can add extra resources to critical activities on the path.

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 14.2

The president of American Moving and Storage Co. has just dismissed the company's manager of information systems. The president commented, "I have finally had enough with these computer types. We have spent millions of dollars on the design of new systems, and we never seem to implement one. The trucking system was the final straw. It is over a year late and has cost us 50 percent more than the original estimates."

The president turned to his administrative vice president and said, "It's your responsibility now. From this point on, the computer department reports to you. I want things straightened out; you have 3 months."

The vice president of administrative services has no computer experience. He has been a user of some of the systems developed by the computer department and shares the president's concern over their lack of success in meeting deadlines and budgets. However, the vice president wondered what to do now. Should he try to run the department himself or hire a new manager for it?

If he takes responsibility, will the lack of any computer background hinder his ability to understand the problems of the department? What actually is responsible for all of the computer difficulties at American? If he hires a new manager for the computer department, what characteristics and skills should this new employee possess?

The major reason CPM has not been widely used in managing programming projects is that it requires time to measure and report on progress. The approach to obtaining progress reports described above should help ameliorate some of the data collection problems. Users who are familiar with management can appreciate the need to devote resources to the management of the programming task itself. Users may have to see that time is taken to obtain estimates and update the CPM chart. Fortunately, there are a number of time-sharing programs available which perform all of the calculations and print the charts so that mechanical computations for CPM are automatic.

### Estimates

For all stages of project management, we need estimates of the time required for various tasks. Historically, these estimates have been difficult to develop and have been inaccurate because of the uncertainty involved. For example, because of the way in which programming has been viewed, the time required for a program to be written is highly dependent on the talent of a single programmer. There are various rules of thumb for estimating analyst and programmer time, such as the number of lines of debugged code expected per programmer per month. However, these old ideas are not too helpful if we plan to follow the new approaches suggested in the last chapter. In fact, it is not clear that such generalizations across all organizations and projects will always be possible.

Instead of these rules, we need to develop data within each organization. The systems librarian already maintains some of the data needed to develop estimates, and should also keep track of original estimates and the actual results. These results are used not to embarrass programmers, but to adjust future estimates to make them more accurate. Gradually, we can build a set of data to determine if estimates are unique to the staff, the design team, the project, or the organization. We do not have enough information at the present time to develop valid guidelines for the number of lines of debugged code per programmer or to know if such guidelines will ever be meaningful.

## **AUTOMATED DESIGN APPROACHES**

Many of the technical activities we have described in systems design are highly repetitive among systems—for example, the conversion of specifications into programs. The most creative part of the systems design task is the definition of requirements and specifications. This is, at present, a highly unstructured and unprogrammed task. However, a number of researchers have tried to develop tools to assist in the technical part of systems development.

We have discussed some simple techniques, such as the use of flowcharts and decision tables. However, there are also many single-purpose design aids which assist in program development, such as test data generators and programs to convert shorthand notation to COBOL programs. Project management should evaluate these tools carefully to be sure they contribute to improved productivity and do not make the systems development effort dependent upon some unique or nonstandard approach. Some of these products have the potential to improve the technical aspects of systems design, and they should be used where they make a positive contribution.

In addition to the products described above, there have been several efforts to automate a large portion of the technical part of systems development (Couger, 1973). Approaches to automation of the systems design project differ widely. One commercially available product requires the systems analyst to complete structured forms containing system specifications, including a definition of file records and data elements. These specifications are input to a proprietary program which produces as output a COBOL program to do the processing described in the input specifications. Another automation approach is based on a special high-level design language used by the systems analyst. A translator accepts this language as input and produces computer programs for the problem described by the input. This very high level language allows the analyst to concentrate on information processing problems rather than on specifications for a computer system.

These automated techniques offer exciting possibilities for systems design, particularly since we are currently limited by the availability of personnel in developing systems. Systems design is a very labor-intensive task, and these approaches, far from replacing the computer professional, allow more time for the development of information systems of high quality. Any resources freed

from technical tasks can be applied to creating new systems and improving the productivity of those who work on information systems design projects.

## KEY WORDS

|                  |                     |             |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Automated design | Librarian           | Schedule    |
| Completion time  | Milestones          | Subtasks    |
| CPM              | Network             | Tasks       |
| Design aids      | Precedence relation | Uncertainty |
| Documentation    | Productivity        | Variance    |
| Estimates        |                     |             |

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

- Improved Technology for Application Development: Management Overview*, IBM Corp., 1973. (A summary of various techniques for improving productivity in the development of applications.)
- Lucas, H. C., Jr.: *The Design, Analysis, and Implementation of Information Systems*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1976. (See especially the chapter on project management.)
- Olsen, R. A.: *Manufacturing Management: A Quantitative Approach*, International Textbook, Scranton, Pa., 1968. (See the chapter on project management and CPM techniques.)

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 What motivated the development of network project management techniques such as CPM?
- 2 Why should users review schedules during project execution?
- 3 Why do we suggest that most organizations are interested in the program goals of prompt completion and clear and readable code?
- 4 What is the precedence relationship among the major tasks in systems analysis and design?
- 5 Compare and contrast information systems development projects with a project to design a new airplane.
- 6 In the systems life cycle, what are the points where the most serious delays are likely to occur? How do these points relate to uncertainty?
- 7 Where are the major risks in the development of a manual information system? How can these risks be reduced?
- 8 From a management standpoint, what are the disadvantages of using assembly language for information system projects?
- 9 What advantages are there to using package programs from the standpoint of project management? What are their major disadvantages?
- 10 Why do programmers resist making changes in a working program after an information system has been installed? How do the techniques suggested in this chapter affect this resistance?
- 11 What reporting from a programming team is necessary for project management? How can this output be obtained without disrupting progress on the programs?

- 12 Why do so many information services departments avoid formal project-scheduling techniques?
- 13 Does user management have to understand programming to be able to monitor the programming part of a project? What knowledge is required?
- 14 What impact will automated design techniques have on users and on the information services department?
- 15 Are there any types of systems for which the user should not have any role during programming? What types? What are some of the management problems expected for these systems?
- 16 Occasionally, organizations have used managers with nontechnical backgrounds as chief executives for the information services department. What problems does such an executive encounter? Compare these problems with those of a user who is in control of a systems design project.
- 17 The previous chapter discusses the design team and special management tools. How does this special task force and project organization contrast with normal managerial activities? What problems are created for the user managing such a project?
- 18 How does the design team facilitate making future estimates of completion times for programming projects?

# Examples of Information Systems

This part of the text attempts to integrate the material in the previous chapters through a series of examples. The first chapter in the section presents two different information systems, one a simple batch application and the other a nationwide, on-line system of great complexity. Chapter 16 describes three decision support systems which illustrate the use of computers to aid more sophisticated and less structured decision problems than the applications in Chapter 15. These two chapters and their examples illustrate how decision requirements, information needs, users, organizational considerations, technology and the process of systems analysis and design combine to make computer-based information systems.

## HARDSERVE

Background

Preliminary Survey

## SPECIFICATIONS

### AN ON-LINE ADMINISTRATIVE SYSTEM

Background

Objective

Special Features

The System

## SUMMARY

## KEY WORDS

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# General Information Systems

In this chapter we discuss two different information systems to support transactions processing and operational control decisions. Our purpose is to integrate material covered so far on computer technology and systems design. The first example presents specifications for a hypothetical system, and because the system was designed for illustrative purposes, it is simple enough to be presented in some detail. The second system is a large, on-line administrative application featuring many terminals, large files, and multiple computers for processing and is one of the most advanced systems currently in operation.

## **HARDSERVE**

### **Background**

Hardserve is a company that specializes in the wholesale distribution of merchandise to retail hardware stores.<sup>1</sup> Hardserve buys goods from manufacturers and stocks them in a warehouse; retail hardware stores order merchandise from Hardserve which then ships it from inventory. If Hardserve does not have the goods requested by the retail store, the store will go elsewhere. Hardserve has

<sup>1</sup>Hardserve is a subsidiary of a diversified holding company named Manhold.

usually been the first choice for retail stores trying to find an item. Because of its efficient operations, it has been able to keep prices slightly lower than competitors. Naturally, the demand for goods fluctuates drastically by season. For example, tree stands are a big item just before Christmas but there is very little demand for them in July. By the same token, lawn mowers move very rapidly during the spring while only a few western stores need them in stock all year long.

The company recently developed an inventory-control system. This system provides better service for customers and assists in reordering and controlling inventory balances.

### **Preliminary Survey**

A design team was formed of users and of information services department personnel, and a steering committee judged the recommendations of a preliminary survey and feasibility study. Below we present the results of the preliminary survey.

## **Hardserve Preliminary Survey**

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

For several weeks we have been conducting a preliminary survey on the advisability of developing a computerized inventory-control system. This document presents our findings for consideration by the steering committee.

#### **Goals**

We have identified the following goals for an inventory system:

- 1 Reduce inventory levels while maintaining a desired level of customer service
- 2 Improve reorder policies
- 3 Improve inventory management for seasonal and slow-moving items
- 4 Capture sales data so purchasing can analyze trends and stock the proper merchandise

#### **Alternatives**

We identified one package program and developed two alternatives for new systems for consideration by the steering committee. The results of our analysis are summarized below.

- 1 Minor improvements in the present system
  - a Percentage of goals met—5 percent
  - b Tangible savings—\$5000 per year
  - c Intangible benefits\*—10 percent

\*Intangible benefits were rated on a scale of 0 to 100 percent and include consideration of such things as the user interface with the system, ease of use, improved decision making, and so forth.

- d Time to implement—3 months
- e Total cost—\$10,000
- 2 A batch computer system with a simple economic order-quantity model
  - a Percentage of goals met—75 percent
  - b Tangible savings—\$20,000 per year
  - c Intangible benefits\*—30 percent
  - d Time to implement—18 months
  - e Total cost—\$50,000
- 3 Computech inventory-control package
  - a Percentage of goals met—60 percent
  - b Tangible savings—\$15,000 per year
  - c Intangible benefits\*—25 percent
  - d Time to implement—6 months
  - e Total cost—\$55,000 (\$40,000 for package, \$15,000 to install and modify)
- 4 An on-line inventory system
  - a Percentage of goals met—95 percent
  - b Tangible savings—\$15,000 per year
  - c Intangible benefits\*—50 percent
  - d Time to implement—24+ months
  - e Total cost—\$80,000

## THE EXISTING SYSTEM

### Problems

The existing system is illustrated in the flowchart of Exhibit 1. At the present time we have manual processing of papers and no real inventory control. For some items we tend to overstock out of fear of running out, while for others we miss a reorder point and incur a stockout. Purchasing hears from the warehouse when a particular item has reached a reorder point marked on the bin. This reorder point is set by the warehouse manager and is based on experience. We also have no real sales forecasting because we don't know what items are moving. Accounting analyzes the physical inventory and at the end of the year purchasing looks at what items have sold. However, it's too late to do any good by that time.

### Goals

The goals for improvement in the system are stated in the Executive Summary.

### Decision Considerations

We have identified the following crucial decisions in processing inventory:

- 1 What should be ordered for each new season?
- 2 What should be reordered during the season and when?
- 3 How much should be reordered each time?
- 4 What items should be dropped from inventory?

### Information Flows

Information processing at Hardserve is shown in Exhibit 1. The warehouse supervisor notices when the physical stock has dropped below the reorder point and prepares a report




Exhibit 1 of Survey

which is sent to purchasing at the end of the day. The purchasing agent either reorders the item, if it is not on order, or expedites it if an order has already been placed. At the end of the season, the purchasing department analyzes purchase orders and estimates what quantities will be needed for the next season. Decisions on the reorder amount are based on the purchasing agent's negotiations with the supplier. Approximate volumes of orders and other related data are given in Exhibit 2.

ANALYSIS OF EACH ALTERNATIVE

Improvements to the Present System

**Overview** With minimal impact and cost we can make some improvements to the present system. For example, we can set up tables for economic order-quantity amounts and put better reorder points on the bins.

**Exhibit 2 Approximate Monthly Values**

|                                   | Average number of orders |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| January                           | 4,100                    |
| February                          | 6,700                    |
| March                             | 7,800                    |
| April                             | 8,400                    |
| May                               | 5,400                    |
| June                              | 4,600                    |
| July                              | 5,000                    |
| August                            | 5,100                    |
| September                         | 6,200                    |
| October                           | 9,500                    |
| November                          | 10,100                   |
| December                          | 8,200                    |
|                                   | 81,100                   |
| Average orders per month          | 6758                     |
| Maximum orders in a day           | 500                      |
| Average orders per day            | 311                      |
| Average number of items per order | 5.1                      |

**Decisions and Information Flows** These improvements would help us reorder a more economic quantity of goods each time, and we would do so at a better reorder point. However, these improvements would do little for other decisions or information processing.

**Technical** There will be no computer processing involved in this alternative.

**Development Schedule** A very few months would be required to implement these changes. We might use a computer program to set the economic order quantities and lot sizes, but this could be done very inexpensively on a time-shared system.

**Impact on the Organization** There should be minimal changes and a very small impact on organizations or on jobs. The purchasing agent would have to consult a table, which would make decisions on economic order quantities more routine. However, this lets the purchasing agent shop for a better deal, for example, by looking for a better discount or lower price. Warehouse managers should be happy to have a better system of reorder points, since the current haphazard way of establishing them is of concern to the manager.

**Operational Aspects** The only change this system needs is for purchasing to use a series of tables on the proper economic order quantities. Instead of the warehouse supervisor deciding on the reorder point, a more scientific calculation could be used.

**Costs and Benefits** The costs in the Summary were estimated to include computer programs and printing the tables for the economic order quantity. We can use the computer for this task. The benefits from this system are estimates of savings through economic ordering and reductions in the number of stockouts.

## **A Batch System**

**Overview** This system would be updated once or twice a week with inputs from order processing providing data on usage. Information from the warehouse would show arrivals of merchandise. When a reorder point is nearing, the computer would notify the purchasing agent, who would place the order and notify the computer that goods were on order. Whenever desired, we could produce a usage report.

**Decisions and Information Flows** This system would provide data for all decisions discussed in the Goals section. Information flows would be altered; the computer department would receive information from the warehouse on order processing and would send information to purchasing.

**Technical** We would use punched card input and reports would be printed for purchasing. We would send copies of the inventory report to accounting as well. We would probably have one file on tape with all the data on inventory.

**Development Schedule** Development should take about 12 months, but we have allowed 18 to be safe. The system would be run on our holding company's computer and we would have a remote batch terminal here for input and output. It should be easy to manage two or three updates a week and we could vary the number of updates depending on the season.

**Impact on Organization** It would be necessary for us to add a data preparation and control department at Hardserve and we would want to have a liaison here to interact with the central computer facility. We would remove the reorder notice burden from the warehouse supervisor. In interviews, the supervisors indicated that this would be a welcome change. It is a tedious and error-prone job now, and they would rather spend time supervising their employees. The purchasing agents, too, indicated that the idea of better planning and sales data would be very appealing. They now are confronted with too many emergency orders and the necessity to expedite orders all too frequently.

**Operational Aspects** The major changes in operations have been described. Almost all departments are affected, although the changes are relatively minor. There will be a number of reports produced, and certain existing documents will have to be sent to keypunching for input into the computer system. However, there is very little additional work created for any individuals as far as manual processing is concerned.

**Costs and Benefits** Benefit estimates were based on savings on inventory balance and a reduction in the number of stockouts. We did not really include a better service level for customers, although we think that this will be provided. Cost estimates are based upon a comparable system developed by our holding company's computer staff.

## **A Package Program**

**Overview** We also looked at several package programs and selected the best one for consideration by the steering committee. This package does much the same thing as our batch system, but has some functions we don't need and lacks certain features we would like to have.

**Decisions and Information Flows** Basically, a package program would cover the same decisions as the batch system discussed above. In fact, all of the items discussed above are similar for the package except for the development schedule, costs, and benefits.

**Development Effort** The development effort includes installing and modifying the program to produce the types of reports we would like to have. We estimate this will require less time than developing a system from scratch.

**Costs and Benefits** The benefits of the new system would be much the same as the batch system described above. A large portion of the cost is the purchase price for the package. We would also have a small operating cost from subscribing to changes and improvements that are made in the package over time.

## **An On-line System**

**Overview** This alternative is the most sophisticated one presented for consideration. It offers the advantage of not having to transcribe any data by keypunching. Instead, there would be terminals in the warehouse, receiving department, and purchasing department. Data would all be entered by the user.

**Decisions and Information Flows** We will again cover the same decisions and have basically identical information flows except that now we would not use existing documents. Instead, information would be keyed into the system by various users.

**Technical** The input and output are clearly different for this system. We would have to use direct-access files. Output would be selective, although we would probably still want to print some batch reports for a historical record.

**Development Effort** This system will take more effort to develop, even though our holding company has several on-line systems running now. Moving to on-line processing requires a more sophisticated technology, and this is reflected in the development effort.

**Operational Aspects** Operationally, the system will be easier to use and there will be far fewer documents. However, we are somewhat concerned about whether people will be able to use the terminals in the various locations. In some respects, paper processing may almost be easier since it is already familiar.

**Impact on the Organization** This system would have the greatest impact on the organization because purchasing agents, warehouse personnel, and others would use terminals directly. There is also the possibility of problems with backup and computer downtime.

**Costs and Benefits** Benefits are the highest here because data are captured at the source and are up to date when on-line. We would expect to have the same tangible savings as with the batch systems, but operating costs are somewhat higher, reducing total benefits.

## SPECIFICATIONS

After the design team completed the Hardserve preliminary survey, they submitted it to the steering committee. Members of the steering committee read the report and discussed it at length. They decided that a new system would make a positive contribution to the company, and that it should be implemented. The steering committee rejected the package system for a number of reasons<sup>2</sup> and asked the design team to compare two alternatives in the feasibility study: the batch and the on-line systems. The design team spent two weeks refining the estimates in the preliminary survey. Further study convinced them that the cost and the time estimates had been too conservative. The estimates for the batch system were revised to 12 months and \$40,000, while the new estimates for the on-line system were 18 months of development time and a cost of \$65,000.

The steering committee examined the feasibility study and focused primarily on the percentage of the original goals met and the impact of the system on the organization. They felt that, given Hardserve's limited experience, the best approach would be to develop a batch system and implement it successfully before moving on to a more sophisticated system. However, the committee did ask the design team to develop a system which could be converted easily to on-line inquiry in the future. Below we present the specifications developed by the design team.

In the specifications which follow, the reader should note that all parts in inventory are currently identified with a seven-digit number. The first two digits of the number are the class code for the type of item (such as lawn care) and the remaining five digits are the item number within the class. For the purposes of the computer system, a check digit will be added to this number.

**Output** The major reports from the system are shown in Table 15-1. (Normally samples of report formats would be developed by the users on the design team for inclusion in the specifications.) Users agreed that they needed an inventory balance report to check inquiries and for historical records. However, several users confirmed that this report would be referred to infrequently. The systems design team suggested the use of microfilm with one reader each in order processing, accounting, warehousing, and purchasing.

One of the major goals of the system was to speed reorder information to purchasing on a regular basis, and the reorder report will be prepared each updating cycle to accomplish this. The recommended order quantities and the reorder point are computed in a program by a simple formula (see Buffa, 1961):

$$Q = \sqrt{\frac{2RS}{C_i}}$$

<sup>2</sup>In a real situation, the committee would have seriously considered the option of the package, as it is a good way to quickly implement a system and gain experience. However, for the purposes of the example, we shall eliminate the package so we can illustrate the design of a system in detail.

**MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 15.1**

Howard Atkins just became chief executive officer of Dorman's Dairies. Dorman's began as a small local dairy in the Midwest and gradually expanded to include several cities. Atkins came to Dorman's when it was acquired by a major conglomerate in the food industry.

Dorman's is fairly conservative and has no computer processing. All production, inventory, and order information is processed manually. Atkins, on the other hand, had extensive experience as a user of information systems at the parent company and felt that Dorman's profit margins could be improved substantially if the costs of information processing could be reduced. Fortunately Atkins is on good terms with the computer department at the corporate headquarters of the conglomerate so that obtaining computer time and service should be easy. In addition, Dorman can use the parent's computers until it becomes necessary to acquire its own.

Atkins is faced with two major problems. First, the employees of the dairy are not used to thinking in terms of systems. He recognizes that there will be significant problems in obtaining enthusiasm and cooperation in designing systems. The other problem is the selection of applications. What areas are most crucial? Where is the greatest potential for savings? What types of information systems should be developed? Atkins is searching for some mechanism to solve these two problems. What do you recommend?

where  $Q$  = order quantity

$R$  = requirement or usage rate

$S$  = ordering cost

$i$  = the carrying cost as a percentage of inventory

$C$  = cost of an item

All of these data needed to compute  $Q$  are readily available from purchasing records.

The economic order quantity is first computed when a new item is added to the inventory, or when costs change. When the balance on hand reaches the reorder point, a reorder notice is included on the order report for the item. The reorder point computation is based on the estimated usage during the lead time. To compute this point the usage for the last month is divided by the number of days in the month. This figure is multiplied by the lead time in days to obtain the expected usage during the lead time as the reorder point. A percentage is included in the calculation to let us increase the safety stock if we experience too many stockouts. There are more sophisticated approaches to setting a reorder point and safety stock; however, this rule of thumb should be adequate for Hardserve.

The order analysis report was developed by the purchasing agents to assist in planning. The agents hope it will allow them to see trends and decide what to order during each season. Purchasing agents will override the reorder report on seasonal items; for example, they do not need to stock many lawn-care items during November. When it wants, the purchasing department can request the

**Table 15-1 Output Reports****1 Inventory balance report**

Distribution: Order processing  
 Warehouse  
 Purchasing  
 Accounting

Form: Microfilm

Sequence: (1) Inventory class (first two digits of item number)  
 (2) Item number (next five digits of item number)

Frequency: Each update

Contents: Item number  
 Description  
 Units  
 Previous month's balance  
 Current month's balance  
 Current month's usage  
 Current month's receipts  
 12 months' usage  
 Reorder quantity  
 Reorder point  
 Cost  
 Physical location in warehouse  
 On-order quantity  
 Average balance  
 Leadtime

**2 Reorder report**

Distribution: Purchasing

Form: Printed

Sequence: (1) Inventory class  
 (2) Item number

Frequency: Each update

Contents: Item number  
 Description  
 Units  
 Previous month's balance  
 Current month's balance  
 Current month's usage  
 12 months' usage  
 Reorder quantity  
 Reorder point  
 Cost  
 On-order quantity  
 Number of stockouts  
 Average balance  
 Expedite (if already on order)  
 Leadtime

**3 Order analysis report**

Distribution: Purchasing

Form: Printed

Sequence: (1) Inventory class  
 (2) Item number  
 or

(1) By number of units ordered  
or

(1) By number of units ordered by class

Frequency: As requested

Contents: Item number

Description

Units

Yearly orders

Reorder quantity

Reorder point

Number of stockouts

#### 4 Inventory turnover report

Distribution: Purchasing

Accounting

Form: Printed (summary or detailed)

Frequency: As requested

Sequence: (1) Class

(2) Item number

Contents: Item number

(or class)

Description

Description

Units

—

Balance

Balance

12 months' usage

12 months' usage

Reorder quantity

—

Reorder point

—

Cost

Cost

Average balance

Average balance

Total usage

Total usage

Average usage

Average usage

Turnover

Turnover

#### 5 Physical inventory adjustment report

Distribution: Accounting

Form: Printed

Sequence: (1) Inventory class

(2) Item number

Contents: Item number

Description

Units

Previous 12 months' balance

Current balance (book)

Previous 12 months' usage

Current month (usage)

Date of physical inventory

Adjustment quantity

order-analysis report, and it will be prepared as a part of the update. The report will be produced by item number in detail or will be sorted and presented by decreasing usage. The purpose of this inventory review report is to allow analysis of items that are selling well. The report can be obtained by inventory class summarized for all the items in the class, or by individual item.

The inventory adjustment report was requested by accounting. Periodically, accounting takes physical inventory and adjusts the book inventory to reflect quantities actually in storage. (Discrepancies come from loss, damage, etc.) Not shown in Table 15-1 is a generalized retrieval report for management. In observing management, the design team found requests for inventory information were erratic. It would be very difficult to satisfy management with a formal, prescribed report. Instead, managers wanted an inquiry capability to support their decision making. At first the information services department staff members would formulate inquiries for the program; later, perhaps, managers will learn to prepare the input themselves.

**Input** Four types of inputs in the system are shown in Table 15-2. First, customer orders come into order processing; these orders represent the demand for goods. Receipts for merchandise reflect items which have been ordered by purchasing to replenish inventory; these items arrive at the warehouse, are uncrated and put on shelves. On-order data come from purchasing so that the reorder program will know whether something has actually been placed on order. Error corrections come from a number of places; these corrections include file maintenance for correcting errors and for physical inventory adjustment.

**Files** Table 15-3 lists the three major files in the system. First, there is a file created from editing the input transactions (file a in Figure 15-1). This file is the input to a sort routine which places transactions on input file b in Figure 15-1 in the same order as the master file for updating purposes. Finally, there is the master file itself (file c in Figure 15-1).

The master file for this application is placed on disk for two reasons. First, there is a plan to move to on-line inquiry in the future. Second, the president makes unusual requests for information on fairly short notice. He spends much of his time meeting with customers and vendors. With vendors, the president tries to obtain the best price possible, and in times of short supply tries to get what Hardserve has ordered. It helps him to see what types of items are purchased from a particular vendor before meeting with that vendor. The president is curious to find out both the amount and dollar volume of business that is done with the vendor firm so that he can negotiate better service. To answer his inquiries on short notice, the designers included a directory and a linked list of pointers on vendor number for up to three vendors per item. A study showed that, in general, no more than two vendors were ever used for a single product.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>3</sup>This is a fairly unusual request and is included to illustrate use of directories and a linked-list file organization.

**Table 15-2 Inputs**

| 1                      | Orders   |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
|------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------|------|-------------|-----|---------|----------|------|---------|---------------|-------|---------|---------------------|-------|---------------------------------|
| Source:                | Current purchase order   |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Media:                 | Card (one card per item ordered)   |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Fields:                | <table><tr><th></th><th>Columns</th><th>Type</th></tr><tr><td>Item number</td><td>1-8</td><td>Numeric</td></tr><tr><td>Quantity</td><td>9-15</td><td>Numeric</td></tr><tr><td>Vendor number</td><td>16-21</td><td>Numeric</td></tr><tr><td>Price</td><td>22-29</td><td>Numeric (two decimal positions)</td></tr></table> |                                 | Columns | Type | Item number | 1-8 | Numeric | Quantity | 9-15 | Numeric | Vendor number | 16-21 | Numeric | Price               | 22-29 | Numeric (two decimal positions) |
|                        | Columns  | Type                            |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Item number            | 1-8  | Numeric                         |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Quantity               | 9-15   | Numeric                         |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Vendor number          | 16-21  | Numeric                         |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Price                  | 22-29  | Numeric (two decimal positions) |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Estimated card volume: |  |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Average                | 1600 per day   |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Maximum                | 2500 per day   |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| 2                      | Receipt of merchandise   |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Source:                | Warehouse copy of Hardserve purchase order   |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Media:                 | Card (one card per item received)  |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Fields:                | <table><tr><th></th><th>Columns</th><th>Type</th></tr><tr><td>Item number</td><td>1-8</td><td>Numeric</td></tr><tr><td>Quantity</td><td>9-15</td><td>Numeric</td></tr><tr><td>Vendor number</td><td>16-21</td><td>Numeric</td></tr><tr><td>Purchase order date</td><td>22-27</td><td>Numeric</td></tr></table>           |                                 | Columns | Type | Item number | 1-8 | Numeric | Quantity | 9-15 | Numeric | Vendor number | 16-21 | Numeric | Purchase order date | 22-27 | Numeric                         |
|                        | Columns  | Type                            |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Item number            | 1-8  | Numeric                         |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Quantity               | 9-15   | Numeric                         |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Vendor number          | 16-21  | Numeric                         |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Purchase order date    | 22-27  | Numeric                         |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Estimated card volume  |  |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Average                | 800 per day  |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Peak                   | 1500 per day   |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| 3                      | On order   |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Source:                | Purchasing department  |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Media:                 | Card (one card per item ordered)   |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Fields:                | <table><tr><th></th><th>Columns</th><th>Type</th></tr><tr><td>Item number</td><td>1-8</td><td>Numeric</td></tr><tr><td>Quantity</td><td>9-15</td><td>Numeric</td></tr></table>   |                                 | Columns | Type | Item number | 1-8 | Numeric | Quantity | 9-15 | Numeric |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
|                        | Columns  | Type                            |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Item number            | 1-8  | Numeric                         |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Quantity               | 9-15   | Numeric                         |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Error correction       |  |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Source:                | All users  |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Media:                 | Card   |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |
| Fields:                | To be designed by update programmer  |                                 |         |      |             |     |         |          |      |         |               |       |         |                     |       |                                 |

Note: The programmer should number transaction type and assign the numbers to columns, for example, 79-80.

**Processing** Figure 15-1 presents the overall system flowchart. All input enters the editing program and is edited at one time. Then the input transactions are sorted to update the file. During the file update, various reports are spooled to an intermediate file and error notices are printed. The report print program uses the report file to output each report while a special retrieval program uses the master file to produce custom-tailored output reports.

**Manual Processing** Basic manual processing operations are shown in Figure 15-2. Order entry in Figure 15-2a begins with the receipt of a customer order and the production of copies. The order is divided into warehouse locations where the different items can be found. That is, orders are divided into the regions of the warehouse where the merchandise is shelved to make picking easier. Four copies of the order are filed for the day on which they are to be filled (picked) in the warehouse.

**Table 15-3 Files****1 Master file**

Media: disk

Fields:

|                                  | Size    | Type                         |
|----------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|
| Item number (key)                | 8       | Numeric                      |
| Description                      | 50      | Alphanumeric                 |
| Units                            | 5       | Alphanumeric                 |
| Previous month's balance         | 8       | Numeric                      |
| Current month's balance          | 8       | Numeric                      |
| Past 12 months' balance          | 8@ = 96 | Numeric                      |
| Current month's usage            | 6       | Numeric                      |
| Past 12 months' usage            | 6@ = 72 | Numeric                      |
| Reorder quantity                 | 8       | Numeric                      |
| Usage during leadtime            | 6       | Numeric                      |
| Most recent cost                 | 9       | Numeric (two decimal places) |
| On-order quantity                | 8       | Numeric                      |
| On-order date                    | 6       | Numeric                      |
| Number of stockouts              | 3       | Numeric                      |
| Three most recent vendors        | 6@ = 18 | Numeric                      |
| Number of orders this year       | 3@ = 9  | Numeric                      |
| Dollar value of orders this year | 7@ = 21 | Numeric                      |
| Vendor address pointers*         | 6@ = 18 | Numeric                      |
| Leadtime (days)                  | 3       | Numeric                      |
| Delete indicator                 | 1       | Numeric                      |
| Expansion space                  | 20      | Numeric                      |

**2 Transaction file**

Medium: Tape

Fields: Card image input—see forms

**3 Directories (item number and vendor number)**

Medium: Disk

Fields: To be designed by programmer

\*For linked-list direct-access file.

Before that date the orders are removed from the file and sent to the warehouse. As the order is filled, the picker marks whether the full order requested is shipped or not and turns in the form with the shipment. One copy of this form now goes to the information services department and this is the only change in procedures for order processing.

The activities in placing an order are shown in Figure 15-2*b*. The purchasing agent makes out an order, but now works from a computer report instead of a notice from the warehouse. Four copies of the purchase order go to the warehouse to be filed under purchase order number for receipt of the item. One copy goes to the information services department, and this is the only other change in purchasing procedures.

Receipt of the merchandise in the warehouse is shown in Figure 15-2*c*. When the item is ordered, four copies of the purchase order are placed on file in the warehouse by purchase order number. When a shipment arrives, purchase orders are pulled to check whether it is a complete or partial shipment. If it is a






Figure 15-1 System flowchart.



(a) Order processing

Figure 15-2a Order processing.



(b) On order


**Figure 15-2b** On order.

partial shipment, two copies are filed to be used when the back order arrives. The extra copy of the marked purchase order indicating arrival is sent to the information services department to indicate receipt, and this is the only change in receiving procedures.

Error correction procedures are shown in Figure 15-2d. The supervisor in each user area must authorize the change in the file by signing a form. An individual in the data control section of the information services department also examines and authorizes each change.


## AN ON-LINE ADMINISTRATIVE SYSTEM

International Business Machines (IBM) Corporation operates our next example of an information system. This on-line system performs many of the administra-



(c) Receipt of merchandise

Figure 15-2c Receipt of merchandise.



(d) Error corrections

**Figure 15-2d** Error corrections.

tive functions of branch and regional offices and features CRT terminals. Because of the immense size and complexity of this system we cannot present it in the same detail as the Hardserve example; however, in the remainder of this chapter we shall try to describe the system's functions and enough of its underlying technology to provide a feeling for its capabilities. Much of the discussion comes from Wimbrow (1971), although this source has been updated through demonstrations and company material on the system.

**Background**

IBM is a large manufacturer of business and computer equipment. Branch offices sell computer equipment and maintain contact with customers. Before the development of the Advanced Administrative System (AAS), IBM's order entry

---

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 15.2

Hardserve is developing a batch processing system for inventory control. Management has a number of good reasons for this decision, especially the desire to begin working with computers in a modest way. However, management feels that at some point in the future, an on-line system will probably be justified, but is unsure at what point on-line response becomes desirable.

They have asked for assistance in outlining the criteria which should be used to decide when to operate on-line. Management knows that a commitment to on-line processing will be costly, and wants to be certain that there is an adequate return. Some of the important criteria in the decision to adopt on-line processing, they feel, are the volume of input and output and the need for instantaneous updating. However, management feels there must be other factors to consider. They have asked your help to outline a decision framework for when to replace the new batch system with an on-line version.

---

system<sup>4</sup> was being strained by increasing sales volume and the increasing complexity of computer equipment which could be ordered by a customer.

For these reasons, AAS was developed to perform order entry and some 450 other logical transactions interactively. The order entry process consists of the following steps:

- 1 An order is sent to the processing center.
- 2 The order is checked for validity.
- 3 The order is exploded into components for manufacturing.
- 4 A delivery date is assigned and the order is sent to the branch office.
- 5 Inventory records are updated.
- 6 The order is summarized for manufacturing and sales control.

There are many opportunities for delay in this process. In the original system, acknowledgements and delivery dates were transmitted through the mail. The validity check for an order was very time-consuming when performed manually. A validity check is necessary because of the complexity of computer systems; each system usually involves a number of systems components which are interdependent. For example, certain peripherals and controllers can be used only on certain central processing units. A validity check is performed to ensure that all prerequisite devices are present on the original order and to be certain that all configurations ordered can be manufactured and operated. In the original manual system, errors in orders resulted in a cyclical revision which delayed order processing further. These revisions also created inventory control and management difficulties in reconciling on-order backlogs and order totals at manufacturing plants.

<sup>4</sup>The reader should not be confused because the company in this example is a manufacturer of computer equipment. The system under discussion is an application of computers within the company.

Management and inventory control in the original system consisted of three files: (1) open orders, (2) installed inventory, and (3) uninstalled inventory (manufactured but not yet installed). The installed inventory file is the source of rental invoices sent to customers. The original system had difficulty coping with geographic mismatches between payment receiving centers and customer paying centers, which complicated the billing process.

All of these problems were compounded in the mid-1960s when IBM planned the introduction of the 360 computer system, which dramatically increased the number of possible configurations for systems. Projections indicated that the complexity of the order entry process and a growing volume of orders would seriously overload existing information processing procedures for order entry.

### **Objective**

By 1965, a study group recommended a new order system that would (1) operate interactively, that is, on-line; (2) connect branch, regional offices, plants and headquarters, some 320 geographical locations requiring about 1500 terminals; and (3) operate in a conversational mode.

One important feature of the new system would be conversational, on-line interaction. A user provides one item of information at one point in time and in several minutes enters more data. The underlying computer system associates the information with each operator without exclusively dedicating itself to any one terminal. The approach is the same we observed with time-sharing and on-line systems in general. The on-line computer appears to the user as if it is maintaining a continuous and exclusive conversation with that user, although actually there are several hundred users having "exclusive" conversations at the same time.

The system was originally designed to handle order-entry processing, inventory control, and accounts receivable. However, the design expanded to approximately 850 applications, including order entry, delivery scheduling, territory assignment, payroll, commission accounting, validation of computer group configurations, accounts receivable, customer master record, inventory of installed machines, billing, customer-student enrollment in IBM courses, and user training through computer-aided instruction (CAI).

The system has dramatically reduced the prior 2 to 4 week order-confirmation cycle. Four years after installation, IBM was processing 30 percent more transactions with 18 percent fewer people, a productivity gain of greater than 12 percent a year! The flexibility of the system is illustrated by the ability of the company to completely reorganize the assignment of clients to branch offices over a weekend.

### **Special Features**

One problem with a large-scale system is ensuring that only those with authorization are permitted to use it. Managers are authorized to have access, but they usually delegate this authority to operators. The manager registers employees

who then receive security cards generated by the system. The manager and operator must be recognized by the system, and neither can perform functions for which not authorized.

The system gives the operator two attempts to enter a security code. An attempt to execute actions for which the operator is not authorized or for which the operator is untrained brings a reminder on the first attempt from the system. If the operator makes a second attempt to enter an unauthorized action, or to log on with an error, or makes any other security error, the system locks the terminal. The terminal remains locked until an authorized security individual unlocks it.


The need to train over 5000 operators in branches throughout the country led to the inclusion of computer-aided instruction in the system. Classroom training would have been too expensive and time-consuming. Training courses are designed in a modular fashion for the overall system and for each different application. The system keeps track of operator progress and training, and an operator is not allowed to execute transactions for which he or she has not completed the appropriate CAI course. Some 5 percent of machine cycles are used for training the clerical staff, demonstrating the importance of training and the effort devoted to it.

### **The System**

The closest analogy to the type of system envisioned by the designers was SABRE, the first on-line airline reservation system. This second generation system was developed on a dedicated computer using a specially coded supervisor in assembly language. Because of the progress between the second and third generations of computers in the development of operating systems, IBM utilized a more general-purpose operating system for the on-line AAS system. The AAS system was first developed under an operating system developed for real-time operations for NASA. When the version of a standard IBM operating system (OS/MVT) became available, the designers converted AAS to it.

Input/output devices for the system include over 2400 CRT terminals and low-speed printers in over 200 branch offices, plants, and corporate headquarters. There are some 12,000 users of the system. The data are sent over low-speed lines and concentrated by nine geographically distributed computers for transmission to the central computer site over high-speed lines. System design parameters include a 5-second response to 95 percent of the input. Originally designers estimated it would be necessary to process 1½ million inputs per 12-hour day or an average of 50 inputs per second. By 1977 the system was processing 750,000 transactions per day with an average response time of 5 seconds.

Because processing loads on the system are so great, multiple computers are involved. The workload is divided into two logical components: message processing and data management. The "front end" consists of multiple message-processing computers which handle all terminal input and output. Message processors develop all file requests and pass them to the data management computer as shown in Figure 15-3. Formatted data retrievals from files become




**Figure 15-3** Original AAS computer configuration. (From Wimbrow, J. H.: "A Large-Scale Interactive Administrative System," 1971, courtesy IBM Systems Journal.)

responses to message processor requests (much of this information is transmitted to terminals by the message processors). There is a spare message processing computer and spare machines for data management. Normally the spare machines do off-line work unless one of the on-line computers malfunctions.

The basic programming structure of this system is illustrated in Figure 15-4. There is an expanding number of applications, each having a group of transactions. For example, order entry consists of a number of transactions, such as locating a customer record and entering a new equipment order. The lowest level consists of action programs which interact with the operator at the terminal. An example of an action program is one that lists available colors for a computer system. By 1977 there were about 10,000 applications programs in total.

The message processing computer's memory is allocated to the teleprocessing module, operating system action programs, data management for message processing, and program buffers for communications with the data management computer. The operating system controls all the operations of the message processor, while the teleprocessing monitor controls communications with terminals. The system maintains working-area storage on a secondary storage device for each terminal. The message-processing data-management routines control the movement of working storage data between primary and secondary memory.

The system stores action programs on secondary storage and fetches them into primary memory as needed. Action programs are the applications programs; they provide all logical operations necessary to service the user at the terminal. Each message processor has a series of entry blocks which are used for entering messages from terminals, processing information, and transmitting responses back to terminals. There are fewer message and data blocks than terminals, so the system must process messages rapidly enough to prevent delays. The system assigns messages awaiting service to a queue in the teleprocessing monitor. (If the input queue becomes full, the teleprocessing monitor stops polling terminals,



**Figure 15-4** Organization of administrative system programming. (From Wimbrow, J. H.: "A Large-Scale Interactive Administration System," 1971, courtesy IBM Systems Journal.)

so the system runs out of time, but not space.) Enough capacity is provided for most transactions to be processed in 5 seconds. Message processors transmit data management requests to the "backend" data management computer and this computer returns the desired record from the data base to the message processor.

The data management processor receives inputs (data management requests) from the message processor and responds with an output. The data management programs are all reentrant (see Chapter 8) and reside in primary memory of the data management computer at all times.

The data base is structured hierarchically with individual records, strings, groups, and files. A record is a series of logically related fields (see Table 15-4). One record, for example, is for a 2401 tape unit installed at a particular customer location. This record contains complete information about the unit, including description, manufacturing date, system number, serial number, color, and so forth. All fields in the record are stored contiguously in the data base.

All records related to installed machines are stored in the same file, and the records in Table 15-4 constitute the installed machine file. The format and the length of the records vary widely. However, all records of the same type adhere to the same format and length. Each record has a unique key such as the serial number in Table 15-4.

Table 15-4    Installed Machine File

| Record key (serial number) | String key (system number) | Group key (customer number) | Description     | Date of manufacture | Color |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------|
| 1234                       | A9421                      | 27123.00                    | 2401 tape unit  | xx/xx/xx            | Blue  |
| 2345                       | A9421                      | 27123.00                    | CPU card reader |                     |       |
| 3456                       | A9421                      | 27123.00                    |                 |                     |       |
| 0112                       | B0942                      | 27123.00                    |                 |                     |       |
| 0479                       | B0942                      | 27123.00                    |                 |                     |       |
| 4823                       | B0942                      | 27123.00                    |                 |                     |       |
| 7894                       | B0942                      | 27123.00                    |                 |                     |       |
| 3168                       | A9111                      | 87941.00                    |                 |                     |       |

Source: IBM Systems Journal

Logically associated records in a file are called strings. The tape unit in Table 15-4 is associated with its control unit CPU, and so forth, to make up a configured computer system. This system is identified by the system number (the string key in the table).

We also have associations among strings called groups. A group could be used to relate all systems (string keys) belonging to a specific customer (group key). In Table 15-4, a customer with group number 27123.00 has more than one system, each of which in turn consists of several machines. The file logic is illustrated in Figure 15-5.

Collections of identical types of records, which are possibly associated by strings and groups, form files. The complete data base has about 200 such files. A




Figure 15-5    AAS file logic.

file may have up to three keys (record, string, and group), although it does not have to; for example, only two levels of association are found in the accounts-receivable file. Naturally there are directories to connect keys to logical record numbers in the file. Each key field has its own directory or index file. For record keys there must be one entry in a directory for each record. String keys, however, take advantage of physical contiguity in the file, and pointers in the directory reference only the first record in a string. The system retrieves subsequent records in the string by reading sequentially.

The system places new records in an overflow record it creates, and modifies directory records to reflect the addition. Periodically files are reorganized as retrieval time degrades because of the necessity to refer to overflow areas. As discussed in Chapter 9, reorganization consists of reading the old file, writing data in proper sequence in another location, and eliminating the original file. The system recreates directories to point to the new locations. The size of the data base in the early 1970s was over 20 million data records and about 27 million directory records; there are over 2.5 billion characters of data in the system and over 0.5 billion characters of directories.

It is also necessary to provide for reconstructing the data base in an on-line system in case of failure. The designers of the AAS system chose to minimize day-to-day backup costs and incur higher emergency reconstruction costs. The system also includes a trail to allow determination of what data were changed, by whom, and when. All changes to the data base are journalized in the data file journal and appropriate cross-references are noted. Changes include additions,

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 15.3

Astro Electronics is a major producer and retailer of electronic equipment, specializing in audio and television products. The firm sells to department and hi-fi stores throughout the United States. There are regional offices and warehouses in almost all major U.S. cities. Business has been expanding rapidly because of the increased level of affluence in general and the increasing consumption of audio and TV equipment by young adults.

The firm is designing a new sales information system to keep track of sales to retailers and to maintain information on the status of inventories. Because of the large amount of input and output and the need for recently updated information, the company decided to develop an on-line system.

Currently, Astro is organized on a regional basis for sales. However, management feels that, at some time in the future, it may be necessary to organize both by region and by product line. For example, TV equipment might be handled separately from audio products.

Top management of Astro wants the design team for the new system to be sure that they do not constrain the firm's prerogatives by creating an inflexible system. The computer department feels the most likely problem area will be in the file structures of the new system. How can they design the files so that the company can easily reorganize its sales activities?

modifications, and deletions of records. The system creates journal records and logs them on to tape. This record consists of the new version of the record after a change, and the signature of the “requester” (program, terminal, and user ID).

Each record of the data base has a control field to record a folio number for the last update. When a record is created, modified, or deleted, the system posts the number of the data-file journal tape currently being used by the system to the folio field in the record (each journal tape is sequentially numbered from the time the system became operational). The previous contents of the folio field associated with the record are also recorded on the journal to show where the previous journal entry for this record is located.

The journal fields forming the audit trails can also be used to recreate the files, although, because of the volume of activity, it would be impractical to begin from where the system first started. Therefore, periodically the system copies the data files to tape. Whenever a file is reorganized, the system creates an image tape containing an exact copy of the new file. The time of reorganization and the first folio number reflecting the reorganization are recorded. If files are damaged, the system processes journal tapes since the last reorganization to remove and compact records affected by the damaged file (compaction is used to eliminate duplicate updates, since only the most recent version of the record is needed). The system reloads the latest image tape and uses the transactions affecting the file to update it.

SUMMARY

In this chapter we have reviewed in some detail two systems for transactions processing and operational-control decision making. The AAS system is also used for managerial control purposes. Each of these systems has inputs, files, processing, and output. The hypothetical Hardserve example is very simple and was presented in detail. The AAS system is extremely complex and demonstrates some of the advantages of on-line interaction in a high-volume transactional system: there was a need to coordinate geographically decentralized activities on-line. Another major advantage of this on-line system is its ability to collect data at its source and to make immediate corrections interactively. In the next chapter we turn to more decision-oriented information systems to further illustrate different types of applications.

KEY WORDS

|                                  |                         |                            |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Action program                   | Directories             | Packages                   |
| Audit trail                      | Entry blocks            | Preliminary survey         |
| Computer-aided instruction (CAI) | Economic order quantity | Records                    |
| Concentrator                     | Feasibility study       | Steering committees        |
| Criteria                         | Groups                  | Strings                    |
| Data management processor        | Manual procedures       | Telecommunications monitor |
|                                  | Message processing      |                            |

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

- IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 12, no. 2, 1973. (This issue is devoted to various financial models.)
- IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 14, no. 1, 1975. (An issue containing an interesting series of articles on an operational-control, transactions-processing system for grocery and retail store point-of-sale data collection systems.)
- Lucas, H. C., Jr.: *The Analysis, Design, and Implementation of Information Systems*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1976. (See Chapter 9 of this reference for complete details on the Hardserve system.)
- Wimbrow, J. H.: "A Large Scale Interactive Administrative System," *IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 10, no. 4, 1971, pp. 260–282. (An article containing details and a description of the IBM AAS system).
- Yourdon, E.: *Design of On-Line Computer Systems*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1972. (This text presents detailed considerations in the design of an on-line system.)

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 How would you classify the Hardserve system? What decisions are supported in each department?
- 2 Where should the greatest savings come from using the new system at Hardserve?
- 3 Develop a procedure for taking physical inventory at Hardserve. How do you enter physical inventory information into the system accurately, given the lead time between counting the items in the bin and updating the computer system?
- 4 How would you identify each of the different input cards and number the transactions for Hardserve?
- 5 How can a request for information on how much business has been done with each vendor be satisfied without using a chained direct-access file in the Hardserve example?
- 6 Why are so many existing forms continued in the new Hardserve system?
- 7 What extensions of the Hardserve system do you recommend for accounting, warehousing, and purchasing areas?
- 8 Is there any way to eliminate manual files of purchase orders in the warehouse and receiving station at Hardserve?
- 9 If the Hardserve system is placed on-line at some time, what kind of inquiries would you expect from each department? Design the files and directories to answer these questions.
- 10 Beside the files and directories, what other major changes will be needed to develop an on-line version of the Hardserve system?
- 11 Where do you anticipate the greatest behavioral problems in implementing a new system at Hardserve?
- 12 What conversion steps will be necessary before the new Hardserve system can begin operating?
- 13 Design a training program for the users of the Hardserve system.
- 14 What role should the members of the Hardserve steering committee play during the implementation?
- 15 Does it make sense for Hardserve to begin planning other applications now? Why or why not?

- 16 How does extensive user involvement in design prepare Hardserve for the implementation and operation of a system?
- 17 Why are such stringent authorization procedures necessary in a system like AAS?
- 18 Is a backup processor often required in on-line systems?
- 19 What is the purpose of a concentrator in the AAS system?
- 20 Why is a printer also used at branch offices in the AAS system along with CRTs?
- 21 Would transferring accounts among branch offices be difficult or easy in the AAS system? How would such a change be accomplished?
- 22 Compare and contrast AAS with an on-line airline reservation system.
- 23 Could a system like AAS be developed using packaged programs, for example, for telecommunications and data-base management? If so, why were such packages not used?
- 24 Why is CAI successful in training people for the use of AAS? How does this training differ, say, from training students in high school or college?
- 25 How can a company like Hardserve afford on-line systems? What advances in technology make this option a possibility for small firms?
- 26 What kinds of inquiries do you think customers would make of AAS? Are the record keys and directories sufficient to answer these requests?
- 27 Why do you suppose one computer is dedicated to data management and a series to message processing in AAS? Why are there not multiple computers, each processing messages and accessing files?
- 28 Are there manual procedures in the AAS system? What controls do you recommend over orders and order-entry processing?
- 29 How could AAS backup procedures be modified to reduce the cost of recovering from damaged files? What added costs would your solution incur?
- 30 Why is an audit trail needed in an on-line system? What is its equivalent in a batch system, specifically in the Hardserve example?
- 31 How is the Hardserve system backed up in case of failure?
- 32 How would you estimate requirements for on-line systems equipment? What data would you collect?
- 33 How is conversion to an on-line system different than that for a batch system?
- 34 What is the purpose of the preliminary survey and feasibility studies?
- 35 What are the advantages from the user's standpoint of on-line systems for data entry and retrieval; what are the drawbacks?
- 36 Would you predict that the two systems described in this chapter would upgrade or downgrade the skills required of clerical users?
- 37 What management information could be developed from each of the systems discussed in this chapter?

## A PRODUCTION PLANNING SYSTEM

Background

A New System

Results

## A PORTFOLIO SYSTEM

Background

A New System

Results

## A STRATEGIC PLANNING SYSTEM

Background

An Example

The System: An Overview

Subsystems

Summary

## IMPLICATIONS

## KEY WORDS

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# Decision Support Systems

In this chapter we discuss three systems which are markedly different from the two in the last chapter. The first system is relatively old, but was one of the first major decision support systems developed. This research project is included here because of its historical significance and because many of its features are highly relevant today. The second system was also developed as a research project, but was soon converted into a proprietary product for commercial purposes. Various banks in the United States are currently installing revised versions of this system. The third system was recently developed to assist strategic planning in a large company. All of these systems exhibit the strong user-orientation required of a decision support system, and the use of these systems is clearly discretionary on the part of the decision maker.

## **A PRODUCTION PLANNING SYSTEM**

### **Background**

The development process for this system undertaken by Scott Morton (1971) was discussed in Chapter 6 as an example of a creative design approach. The reader should review that discussion, on page 85. The setting for the system is a major manufacturing company with some 70 divisions marketing products rang-

ing from electric toothbrushes to industrial turbines. The company is decentralized into divisions, each of which is a profit center. The particular division in the study manufactures and sells washers and dryers.

Three managers of this division must solve a complex planning problem. They need to develop a plan each month to allocate resources for production and marketing. The marketing manager is primarily concerned with sales, while the production manager wants to minimize production costs and inventory levels. The market planning manager has to coordinate the planning process and resolve differences arising from the different goals of the other two managers.

Every month this group develops production and sales plans for the next 12 months. The plans are used to determine work-force levels, schedule production, set prices, and establish merchandise and marketing strategy. The situation features a number of variables, such as promotion and advertising, production schedules, purchasing, inventory control, and expected demand. Under the original decision procedures, there was much manual information processing; the managers met, generated solutions, and gave new data to clerks for analysis. Then the process was repeated several times before a feasible solution was found. It was not unusual for planning for the next month to require some 20 working days of elapsed time, six of which might be spent in meetings. This sequential decision process with many interruptions led to losses in continuity and bottlenecks in the decision process.

### **A New System**

The approach for developing this system was discussed in Chapter 6. The researchers identified bottlenecks in the decision process and tried to design a solution to remove them. The bottlenecks included:

- 1 A large data base
- 2 A large quantity of computations
- 3 Data with low information content (key variables really unknown)
- 4 Different performance criteria (managers have different goals)
- 5 A time requirement for the decision

The system is constructed around a graphics terminal which operates interactively and features three principal displays: specification, graph, and reconcile. Each of these capabilities is important for a particular part of the decision process. A control point in each display allows the user to shift modes and a light pen provides the user with a flexible and convenient method for communicating with the system interactively. Hard-copy output is also available by request, although it is printed at the computer center.

Examples of some of the graphical output can be seen in Figures 16-1 through 16-3. Figure 16-1 is a working forecast of sales displayed on the CRT. Figure 16-2 shows a plan with unacceptable differences between the sales plan for each model and the aggregate sales plan. ("Total" is the sum of the individual plans, "tumblers" is the aggregate plan, and "difference" is the difference




Figure 16-1 Sales forecast. (From Scott Morton, M. S.: Management Decision Systems, 1971, courtesy Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University.)




Figure 16-2 Production plan. (From Scott Morton, M. S.: Management Decision Systems, 1971, courtesy Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University.)

|            | 1967 |      |      | TUMBLER : MODELS |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |  |
|------------|------|------|------|------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--|
|            | JAN  | FEB  | MAR  | APR              | MAY  | JUN   | JUL   | AUG   | SEP   | OCT   | NOV   | DEC   | TOTAL  |  |
| T-1        | 2764 | 3126 | 2896 | 3702             | 3343 | 3643  | 4279  | 5117  | 6032  | 7258  | 6973  | 5441  | 54574  |  |
| T-2        | 2574 | 2664 | 2646 | 2686             | 2927 | 3249  | 2925  | 2064  | 1053  | 309   | 207   | 207   | 23511  |  |
| T-3        | 2763 | 2323 | 2584 | 3116             | 2884 | 3466  | 2686  | 2049  | 1147  | 382   | 114   | 207   | 23721  |  |
| T-4        | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0                | 0    | 0     | 328   | 2405  | 5131  | 5297  | 6032  | 4288  | 23481  |  |
| T-5        | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0                | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0      |  |
| T-6        | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0                | 0    | 0     | 437   | 1440  | 2601  | 2405  | 2265  | 2405  | 11553  |  |
| T-7        | 302  | 146  | 136  | 422              | 110  | 157   | 64    | 30    | 85    | 54    | 33    | 12    | 1551   |  |
| TOTAL      | 8403 | 8259 | 8262 | 9926             | 9264 | 10515 | 10719 | 13105 | 16049 | 15705 | 15624 | 12560 | 138391 |  |
| TUMBLERS   | 8403 | 8259 | 8262 | 9926             | 9264 | 10515 | 10719 | 13105 | 16049 | 15705 | 15624 | 12560 | 138391 |  |
| DIFFERENCE | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0                | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0      |  |

• PROCEED

• INITIALIZE

• SPECIFY

• FINISH

• REFRESH

• INSERT

• RATIO

• RETURN

• FREEZE

• GRAPH

• CHANGE-PTS

**Figure 16-3** Reconciliation. (From Scott Morton, M. S.: Management Decision Systems, 1971, courtesy Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University.)

between the two in Figure 16-2.) From Figure 16-2 the user might ask the system to spread May's difference of 719 units through all models in proportion to their present relationships and make similar changes for the other months, June through December. This action produces the reconcile display of Figure 16-3.

### Results

The managers, as mentioned in Chapter 6, were extremely pleased with the system and integrated it into their decision process. The amount of time spent by managers actually working on the problem was dramatically reduced by a factor of 12 to 1, from 6 days to a half day. Elapsed time for the decision, also, dropped from 22 days to 1 day. The researchers observed that the quality of decisions appeared to increase; there was continuity to the decision process since it did not have to be interrupted to perform calculations. Managers could test alternatives and see the results graphically. The managers did not accept the first feasible solution as under the previous manual methods, but explored a number of feasible alternatives. Communications among the managers was also enhanced and the managers appeared to gain a clearer understanding of the decision process and a greater commitment to the resulting plan (Scott Morton, 1971).

## A PORTFOLIO SYSTEM

### Background

The system described in this section was developed as a research project and is documented in a paper by Gerrity (1971). The system has been expanded and

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 16.1

Sheila Renati joined Kaufman Brothers, an investment banking firm, after obtaining an M.B.A. from a leading business school. Sheila majored in finance and minored in information systems. At Kaufman she has enjoyed working on a number of different projects. Her most recent challenge is the design of a small decision-support system for a group of managers working on client mergers and acquisitions.

This system works on a time-sharing computer and provides many different analyses for the managers involved. It is particularly useful in making the projections necessary to analyze a merger between two large firms. The managers remarked that the computer had allowed them to save significant amounts of time and explore many different possibilities for mergers and acquisitions. As a result, they were serving clients better and had more time to handle additional business.

Sheila worked very closely with the three key managers in the department and the system reflected their approach to decisions. Fortunately, much of the programming needed for the computer system was not original. The time-sharing company offers a number of interactive packages and Sheila was able to interface these packages to satisfy the needs of the managers.

A recent reorganization has resulted in one of the three key managers moving to another department while a new member of the firm has taken his place. The new merger and acquisition team is worried because its newest member has many ideas for changing the computer system. They are surprised, however, when Sheila states that she expects to make changes and that the modifications will not be too costly. What makes it possible for Sheila to respond in this manner?

converted into a commercial product, and more recent information for our description was developed from a study of the system. The prototype version of this portfolio management system was developed for a pension-fund management section of a major bank. The trust officer manages assets for a trust, buying and selling securities to maximize the objectives of the trust, such as growth in capital and maximum return. The bank receives a management fee for its efforts.

Under the conditions existing before the system was developed, managers of the portfolio had three main sources of information: (1) portfolio-related information showing the holding structure of each portfolio, (2) security-related information of historical and predictive variables for alternative investments, and (3) security prices.

The accounting group provided the portfolio information while security data came from investment research groups. Newspapers furnished security prices. Managers received only fully priced portfolio status reports monthly from the accounting group.

The available information was fragmented and focused on individual security holdings rather than total portfolio status. Management tended to define problems in terms of single security holdings, although from a normative view, overall portfolio structure is what determines performance.

The manager's activities were carefully analyzed through observation and

the administration of psychological tests. A number of problems with the current system were discovered in addition to those above.

- 1 The prices on status reports were often out of date and had to be updated manually.
- 2 Data were fragmented into two files, one on portfolio holdings and the other on stock history and performance data. The managers needed to see the research information juxtaposed with the account information.
- 3 There was a lack of an aggregate measure of portfolio status and structure which would enable a manager to look at the distribution of the portfolio on a single dimension or to compare two variables.
- 4 There was a lack of formal mechanism to compare portfolio status with goals.
- 5 There were rigid report formats; for example, the holdings were listed only by industry groups. It was not possible to obtain a listing of portfolio contents, say, in order by earnings per share.
- 6 Managers tended to search very locally for stock buy and sell candidates. They rarely considered the entire list of 350 approved stocks for investment because of the effort involved in searching the list for stocks with certain criteria, for example, a price/earnings ratio less than 20.
- 7 There was no method to consider alternatives, that is, to develop and monitor a hypothetical portfolio.
- 8 In general, information sources exhibited a slow response.

### A New System

The designers tried to solve some of the problems above by providing an interactive decision system with a graphics CRT. The original system operated on an existing time-sharing computer, although present versions run as a part of a standard operating system capable of supporting mixed batch and on-line systems. Most potential users can operate this system on their own internal computer.

One version of the system currently in use has the following functions:

**1 Directory** This function provides a tabular overview of all accounts under the manager's jurisdiction. The table generated can be sorted on a number of fields, such as account identifier, market value, or fixed income performance. The manager can compare whole portfolios in a number of different ways in addition to simply listing the ones under the manager's jurisdiction.

**2 Scan** This operator allows the user to view the holdings of a particular security across a group of accounts. The manager selects the security, a sort key, and other information; a report is produced which includes the units of the security held for each account and certain data on that security, such as the percentage of the account devoted to the security.

**3 Groups** This operator produces a picture of the distribution of the holdings of an account by broad industry groups, such as consumer, petrochemical, and so forth. The display is a graphic histogram.

**4 Table** This function provides a way for the managers to design their own reports for reviewing the holdings of an account. The user types the account name and a list of the data items desired for each holding, and a report containing this information appears on the screen.

**5 Histogram** The histogram operator allows the manager to view the distribution of any available data item for all the holdings of an account; for example, the user might want a histogram of the total market value of accounts.

**6 Scatter** The scatter operator provides the manager with the capability of viewing the relationship between two data items associated with the securities in an account. An example of such a plot is the relationship of current price/earnings ratio against 10-year average price/earnings ratios for the holdings in the account.

**7 Summary** The summary function displays various account summary data such as holdings, type of account, and account description.

**8 Issue** The issue operator displays all the information pertaining to a specified issue on the list of issues approved by the bank for investment. Examples of such information include price/earnings ratio, historical price/earnings ratios, and dividends.

It is interesting to note that the designers did not take a fully normative approach. The theories of normative portfolio construction and the "efficient market hypothesis" are not included in the system. Instead, a true decision support system was developed so that the managers could use information in a manner consistent with their own decision styles.

## Results

A monitor in the experimental version of the system showed that managers made heavy use of the graphics commands and switched back and forth among portfolios. Sessions tended to be lengthy and to generate a number of reports. Almost all of the functions were used; there was no concentration on one function, for example, obtaining the status of the portfolio. Later studies of the system in full-scale operation found that the number of sessions dropped, as did the number of reports produced per session.<sup>1</sup> There tended to be much more of a focus on a single function by each user.

The designers of the original system felt it would provide the tools necessary for managers to change their approach to decision making. They could now focus on a single portfolio; they were not forced to look only at a single stock. The follow-up research indicated that this type of change did not occur. However, from our discussion of change and model of information systems in the organization, these results are not too surprising. The system could be used to support

<sup>1</sup>Charles Stabell, discussion at MIT Conference on Implementation, April 1975.

existing security-by-security approaches to decision making. There is no reason why a normative portfolio-centered approach should necessarily be adopted unless the individual decision maker feels that it is best. Did the managers all desire to adopt a more portfolio-centered view, or were they basically content with their current decision process?

We have said that organizational change should not be implemented through information systems. Organization and behavioral changes should be made and then a system to support the new style can be developed. It appears in this case that the new system could be used to improve existing decision approaches or to change one's approach to decision making. Apparently there was no pressing need felt by the decision makers to change their approach, so they used the system to support existing patterns of decision making.

## **A STRATEGIC PLANNING SYSTEM**

There have been few examples of computer-based information systems which support decision making at the strategic level; the system described in this section is a notable exception. This planning system features a number of advanced operations research techniques integrated through a user-oriented interactive computer system. We hope to illustrate some of the exciting possibilities of how information systems can be used to support management decision making with this example.

### **MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 16.2**

Jim Gilmore is executive vice president of Precious Metals, a firm that buys and processes rare metals such as gold and silver. Recent price fluctuations on the world market have created many problems for Precious Metals and Jim has tried to find some way to predict price changes.

He knew that some firms had been successful building computer models of various economic markets. With this in mind, he hired Management Models, a consulting firm, to investigate the possibility of building models for each of the commodities purchased by Precious Metals. The company and industry have very good data on historical prices and other economic indicators.

The modeling effort proceeded very smoothly. The resulting model produced valid results when confronted with the rapid price fluctuations of recent years. Management Models indicated that short-range forecasts should be very good, but the model should not be trusted for extrapolations past one year.

Jim Gilmore now wondered how to integrate the model into purchasing decisions. For a long time, the brokers at Precious Metals had based their decisions on intuition and experience with the market in making purchases. The new model was available on a time-sharing computer system and Gilmore wanted the brokers to use it. However, he felt sure that none of them would take advantage of it if just told that it existed. Jim wondered how to obtain acceptance of this new tool.

## Background

Strategic financial planning is an important activity for an organization, especially large multidivisional firms. The decision maker is confronted with many competing alternatives for investment and numerous sources of funds. Government regulations and other conditions place restrictions on these decision makers (Moses, 1975). One of the most important goals of a system for planning is to increase the effective use of executive time by:

- 1 Focusing attention on key variables
- 2 Providing rapid feedback
- 3 Evaluating alternative allocations of resources
- 4 Providing computer capacity to analyze a large number of alternatives

For planning purposes, alternatives can be classified into the following categories (Hamilton and Moses, 1974):

- 1 Momentum strategies representing continuation of the present lines of business
- 2 Development strategies representing incremental effects of proposed changes in momentum
- 3 Financing strategies including alternatives on how to fund existing and proposed activities for the corporation and divisions
- 4 Divestment strategies including the sale of a unit in an effort to discontinue a policy
- 5 Acquisition strategies for different ways to enter a new activity or expand.

## An Example

Before discussing the structure of the planning system, we shall present a scenario to demonstrate how the system can be used. Assume that a user has created the necessary data bases and wishes to run an operations research optimization model contained in the system.

First, the user logs onto the system; the system responds with guided instruction after the user types the key words "OPT. PHASE" to select the part of the system to be used. The instructions ask the user to specify the different files for this run of the model (to provide flexibility, designers separated models from data so that the models can be used on many sets of data contained in different files). File specifications are required for a permanent file and a temporary file and to guide preparation of the output reports.

Next the user has the option to print or modify the data base. In this case the user does not wish to make changes; however, if changes were desired, an edit program would be called automatically.

The system asks for specifications of different data and options; for example, what predefined strategies are to be included? Is group-level financing to be in the form of a long-term debt or common or preferred stock? The user proceeds

interactively by answering questions. If the answer is long-term debt, the user responds to questions about the year of debt issue, interest, principle, compensating balances, and so forth. When all parameters have been specified, the system saves the data as an input file for an optimization run.


The user types the key word "OPT. PHASE" and the system requests file names and performance options. The user again is given the opportunity to change input parameters. The system asks the user to choose between two objective functions, maximizing either earnings per share or return on equity. After answering several more questions, the system begins its optimization calculations. The user can wait for the results or come back later to display the output. The user can run several analyses changing parameters to reflect different strategies.

When finished with the runs, the user can undertake postoptimality analysis. This analysis might include changing the "right-hand side" constraints of a model by providing a range of parameters to test. The system responds with results for each parameterization step. In the reporting stage, the user can graph various results using bars, lines, or special characters. The user can also generate standard or custom-tailored printed reports to display results. Finally, at the completion of the run, the user logs off.

### **The System: An Overview**

One of the major advances represented by this system is its large number of integrated components. It is not just a single model, but instead the planning system represents a collection of models coordinated by a computer system. The corporate level focus, financial orientation, and long-range planning horizon clearly make this a model to support strategic planning. (See Figure 16-4.) The central analytical component is a large mixed integer mathematical programming model which maximizes corporate performance over a multiperiod planning horizon. The model selects appropriate operations, acquisitions, and financing strategies. A corporate simulation model computes the detailed implications of alternatives and projected financial statements for each set of inputs. A third econometric model supplies external data and projections for the national economy, specific industries, or subsidiaries. The user can employ risk-analysis models to evaluate the business mix and the implications of various strategic alternatives.

To use the model, corporate management communicates its assumptions on planning to the group management and subsidiary management which control strategic planning units. This communication process ensures uniform global assumptions. The strategic planning units submit as a minimum (1) a profit-and-loss statement, balance sheet, and sources and applications of funds for the case where all of proposed marketing, development, acquisition, and financing strategies were accepted; (2) an abbreviated profit-and-loss statement showing the source and application of funds for each development and acquisition strategy; (3) financial data for each existing or proposed financial investment showing principal amount, payment schedule, compensating balance, category type, and restrictions on funds.



**Figure 16-4** The strategic planning system. (From Hamilton, W. F., and M. A. Moses: "A Computer-Based Corporate Planning System," 1974, courtesy The Institute of Management Sciences.)

These data are assembled at corporate headquarters and used as input to economic models which generate alternative data bases. The risk-analysis system prepares other data bases to determine confidence levels for the performance of selected strategic planning units. Information from these activities is transferred to the optimization subsystem to formulate a goal constraint plan for each alternative data base. The simulation subsystem determines the financial impact of the plans in the form of profit-and-loss statements and various other financial output. Nonfeasible alternatives will arise, and an iterative process is required to develop a plan acceptable to management.

### **Subsystems**

There are several discrete subsystems in Figure 16-4. The information subsystem controls all information flows in the planning system. It contains an executive program, input editors, output generator, data-editing routines, and the system data base. The executive program organizes the flow of information and controls the conversational time-sharing mode of operation. The input editors organize raw inputs in card-image form on strategic planning units and place them in the data base. These data are edited for reasonableness and compared with historical data and economic projections. The input editor organizes data in the strategic planning unit files, strategic files, and financial files. (There are also files for historical data at the corporate level and for strategic planning unit management and environmental data such as tax rates, prime interest rates, and so forth.) The output generator is responsible for all output report preparation and includes a graphics option for producing graphs and charts.

The consolidated simulation subsystem conducts deterministic, as opposed to stochastic, financial simulations for predetermined sets of strategies. This model is based largely on accepted financial accounting variables and relationships. A rebuilding facility allows the consolidation and definition of new strategic planning units. These units can be configured into a group and then the model is used to generate group financial projections. A consolidation model is used to eliminate interest flows, to finance deficits from a corporate pool, and to produce annual consolidated statements of corporate financial conditions.

The corporate optimization subsystem maximizes corporate performance over the multiperiod planning horizon. It selects an optimal set of strategic funds sources while considering a complex set of financial, legal, and operational limitations at the corporate and strategic planning unit levels. A mixed integer mathematical programming model is the most important component of this subsystem; several performance measures may be maximized by the model. Planning variables include all available momentum, development, acquisition, divestment, and financing strategies. Restrictions on the pattern of growth in earnings per share, return on assets and equity, corporate cash flow, and various ratios consistent with management desires are considered by the model. The data necessary for the model are drawn from the data base and placed in a matrix for analysis. The data in the matrix are frequently a function of basic input parameters, so there is a matrix modification module to perform computations and create new variables.

The economic subsystem provides projections for the economy and industry where the company is planning to operate or is currently operating. This subsystem contains national- and industry-level models. The economic models were not built especially for the planning model, but are among many commercially available economic forecasting models. Another part of the model uses computerized financial information available from proprietary sources to generate financial-planning data for companies being considered for acquisition.

The risk-analysis subsystem generates alternative data bases to show the effect of the variability present in the point estimates provided by planners. For example, one model in conjunction with forecasting models determines the probability distribution of performance for strategic planning units based on historical data and subjective input from management. These data are used to generate confidence intervals for different levels of profits.

New profit estimates are used by the simulation subsystem to create the required financial data. A worst-case minimum profit is derived for every strategy and becomes a constraint in the optimization analysis. All strategies selected during the optimization phase must exceed this minimum level by an amount specified by management. A separate model computes the proportion of corporate assets in businesses of different characteristics. A business-mix evaluation uses portfolio-type analysis to recommend the allocation of corporate assets to different lines of business to maximize expected return on equity for different

### MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 16.3

Nancy Swanson is vice president of planning for Beauty Aid, a major manufacturer of cosmetics. The top management at Beauty Aid recently heard a presentation on planning and felt that the firm should be looking into this area. Beauty Aid has grown rapidly through its own efforts and by acquiring several smaller companies. Because of its highly profitable business and good cash position, it has been able to make acquisitions easily.

However, top management realizes that business is becoming more complicated and that the next round of acquisitions will involve far larger companies than in the past. Therefore, the computational burdens of evaluating potential acquisitions and projecting conditions after the acquisition would become even more severe.

Nancy is investigating the use of computer models to help in the planning process. After conducting research on the possibilities, she has narrowed her consideration to the following alternatives:

- 1 Hire a group of consultants to build a model of the firm.
- 2 Develop the internal staff in the planning department to construct a model using a general-purpose computer language.
- 3 Design a model with the present planning staff using a higher-level planning language.

What are the advantages and disadvantages of each alternative? What course of action do you recommend to Beauty Aid?

variances. The optimization subsystem is used again to search for an optimal solution within the bounds of the new allocation of assets.

## Summary

The computer-based planning system described here is a sophisticated approach to top-management decision making. It can be used for periodic studies or as a part of the annual planning process. The system can also be used for special planning activities which arise on an ad hoc basis. The objective is to assist in developing strategies, and exact solutions with detailed accuracy are not as important as rank-order consistency. While a system like this is expensive to develop—in the neighborhood of one-quarter to one million dollars—it can provide significant benefits to management.

## IMPLICATIONS

The three systems described in this chapter differ considerably from the Hard-serve and AAS systems discussed earlier. The differences are not really pronounced in terms of computer technology; rather the major contrast is the type of decision supported. The immediate requirements for information in systems such as AAS necessitate instantaneous updating. In the decision support systems, most of the updating does not have to be done in real time. In these systems, it is the decision maker's need for on-line interaction that necessitates an on-line system; instant conversational response means that the decision process does not have to be interrupted to wait for the computer.

The systems dealing with transactions processing and operational control decisions in Chapter 15 become a part of the control process in the organization. They tend to embody a few decisions which are actually programmed in the procedures of the system; a certain minimal level of use is mandatory, since the systems are installed. Certainly the systems are capable of providing management with information for making decisions, but this is not the major reason the systems were developed.

On the other hand, the systems in this chapter provide very little in the way of routine information processing: their use is almost exclusively voluntary. These three systems provide information to support decisions; they do not actually make the decisions. A number of decisions are programmed into the systems, but they are required to evaluate different alternatives and process information which is presented to and acted on by the decision maker. This type of system is risky to develop, potentially expensive, and almost impossible to justify on a cost-benefit basis. What is the value of better planning? How do we know the decision makers using these systems perform better than under previous manual systems?

Will decision support systems be limited to research projects or to organizations with large amounts of capital to invest? Ten years ago the answer to this question would probably have been "yes." However, recent advances in technology may make decision support systems easier to develop for one-shot or

novel decisions. Now there are economical time-sharing systems available based on minicomputers which can be acquired for internal use in the company. If more computer power is required, a number of external time-sharing service bureaus offer services, and the user pays only for what is consumed. Low-cost graphics terminals are also available to provide a variety of output alternatives.

More suitable hardware for developing decision support systems has also been accompanied by better software. Simple time-sharing languages are available so that even a novice can program a small decision support application. Many service bureaus have packaged programs which can be used alone or in combination (for example, by interfacing them through a file) to solve management decision problems. For some applications, special-purpose higher-level languages, such as the planning languages discussed in Chapter 8, can be utilized. These languages are designed for the nonprofessional programmer who wants to develop a system in a language more natural than most general-purpose computer languages. As the complexity of the application increases, more elaborate packages and more computer processing power are available. Also, many organizations now have implemented data-base management systems, so much of the internal data for use in management decision making already exists. Only the analysis routines and external data need to be added.

As more transactions systems are completed and we look to support decision making, the technology is present to facilitate the development of advanced applications. These systems, it is hoped, will become regarded as a standard tool for management in the coming years. The manager who is aware of the potential of such decision aids and knowledgeable about computers and information systems should be at a distinct advantage.

**KEY WORDS**

|                  |                          |                         |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Alternatives     | Graphics                 | Parameters              |
| Bottlenecks      | Hypothetical portfolio   | Portfolio               |
| Conflict         | Integer programming      | Postoptimality analysis |
| Consolidation    | Interactive response     | Report generator        |
| CRT              | Mathematical programming | Risk analysis           |
| Decision support | Normative models         | Simulation              |
| Editor           | On-line updating         | Strategic planning      |
| External data    | Optimization             |                         |

**RECOMMENDED READINGS**

Boulden, J., and E. Buffa: "Corporate Models: On-line Real Time Systems," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 48, no. 4, July–August 1970, pp. 65–83. (This article describes a higher-level language for constructing planning models.)

Gerrity, T. P.: "Design of Man-Machine Decision Systems and Application to Portfolio Management," *Sloan Management Review*, vol. 12, no. 2, winter 1971, pp. 59–75. (An article describing the portfolio management system of this chapter in more detail.)

- Hamilton, W. F., and M. A. Moses: "A Computer Based Corporate Planning System," *Management Science*, vol. 21, no. 2, October 1974, pp. 148–159. (An article presenting useful insights on the planning system discussed in this chapter.)
- Moses, M. A.: "Implementation of Analytical Planning Systems," *Management Science*, vol. 21, no. 10, June 1975, pp. 1133–1143. (This paper describes some of the implementation efforts associated with the planning system in the last part of the chapter.)
- Scott Morton, M. S.: *Management Decision Systems*, Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University, 1971. (A short book describing the management decision system in the first part of this chapter in detail.)

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 Why was graphics necessary in the production planning system?
- 2 Does the level of technology of this early decision support system matter?
- 3 How would the systems design approach differ for Scott Morton's system and a batch system such as Hardserve?
- 4 How would a new manager react to custom-tailored systems like the production and sales planning decision-support system? What problems does this suggest?
- 5 Suppose that the decision support system helped the manager to understand problems better and the system could then be discontinued. Would such a system be a success or a failure?
- 6 What types of decisions are supported by each of the systems in this chapter?
- 7 Describe the underlying technology, for example, batch and time-sharing, for each of the systems in this and previous chapters. How does the technology compare with the types of decisions supported in the system?
- 8 What is the major difference between the production and sales planning system and previous manual systems? What are the advantages of the past systems from an implementation standpoint?
- 9 How could managers have been prepared to take a normative approach to portfolio selection prior to the introduction of the portfolio system described in this chapter?
- 10 All of the interactive applications create problems for users when not working because of computer or systems problems. Why do users become so dependent on these systems? How does the batch system provide a buffer between the user on the one hand and the information services department and the computer on the other?
- 11 What decisions have you encountered where one of these systems would have been useful?
- 12 How does an information system serve to integrate the components of the planning system in this chapter?
- 13 Is an operations research model a form of an information system?
- 14 How can management justify the expense of a strategic planning model that costs over a quarter of a million dollars?
- 15 One author has claimed that strategic planning information can come from a company's transactions-oriented data base. Does the example of a planning system in this chapter agree with this observation? What else is needed?
- 16 How has technology changed the cost-benefit ratio for these decision support systems since the early ones were developed in the late 1960s?
- 17 How might decision or cognitive style affect a manager's reactions to graphic output?

- 18 Why have information services departments generally not developed decision support systems? Why do they seem to concern themselves more with transactions-processing applications?
- 19 Why is on-line updating not always a requirement with decision support systems, while, most of the time, interactive response is necessary?
- 20 What software advances are needed to facilitate the development of decision support systems?
- 21 How can we evaluate the effectiveness of the systems in this chapter after they are installed?
- 22 Make a list of the types of computer systems we have discussed, from transactions through strategic planning, and describe them in terms of the benefits you would expect from each. What does your list suggest about problems with feasibility studies?
- 23 Why does it make sense for most organizations to develop transactions-processing systems before strategic planning applications?
- 24 One author has suggested that management information can never be automated. After reading about the systems in this chapter, do you agree or disagree? Has information really been automated?
- 25 What would be the capabilities of a general-purpose decision support system that could be used across a number of applications by different decision makers? Do you think such a system could be developed and would be advisable? What might the implementation problems be?
- 26 What type of system is a recent management-school graduate likely to develop, a transactions-processing or decision support system? What skills are necessary for the development of each system?
- 27 How would you approach the development of a personalized decision-support system for a superior?

# Special Management Concerns

We conclude the book with an examination of special management concerns about information systems. What is the role of management, other users, and the information services department staff in making information-systems-related decisions? What are the special problems of managing the information services department? We also examine some of the crucial considerations in a management audit of the information services department. Finally, the last chapter expands the boundaries of computer-based information systems beyond the organization to include society at large. What are the social implications which the manager should consider when making decisions about computer-based information systems?

## MANAGING THE INTERFACE

The Systems Life Cycle

The Information Services Department Manager

Managerial Influence

Responsibility of the Information Services Department

## A MANAGEMENT AUDIT

Computer Center Procedures

Processing

Input/Output Procedures

Documentation

Conclusion

## KEY WORDS

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

# Information Systems Issues for Management

In the preceding chapters we discussed a number of organizational, technical, and systems analysis topics to prepare managers to make intelligent decisions about information systems. Having covered these background matters, we are now in a position to review the role of managers, the user department, and the information services department in making information-systems-related decisions. In addition, we describe some of the problems faced by a nontechnical manager to whom the information services department manager reports. We conclude the chapter with a discussion of auditing and computer-based information systems. While many aspects of control should be established during systems analysis and design, there are also controls over computer operations which should be insisted upon by management.

## MANAGING THE INTERFACE

User and information services department staff members interact in a number of ways during the analysis, design, and operation of information systems. Although we have discussed various duties in different parts of the text, in this chapter we integrate earlier material and describe an appropriate role for each party—managers, users, and the information services department staff.

## The Systems Life Cycle

Table 17-1 restates the stages in the systems life cycle first presented in Chapter 11. What are the roles of users and the information services department in each of these stages? The user initiates the preliminary survey by suggesting a potential application. The information services department responds with a rough estimate of its desirability and with several alternative systems, for example, improvements to present information processing activities, a batch system, a package, or an on-line system, each meeting some percentage of user needs. A preliminary survey evaluates each alternative on criteria developed by the steering committee. The steering committee authorizes a feasibility study, possibly eliminating some alternatives suggested in the preliminary survey.

The information services department staff conducts the feasibility study with help and advice from users. Users conduct an analysis of the existing system and help the information services department evaluate various alternatives on criteria specified by the steering committee. The steering committee selects an alternative for implementation. Possibly the committee chooses the alternative of no new system, in which case the application may be held in abeyance until changing conditions make it feasible.

If the decision is to proceed with the development of a new system, users and the information services department staff collaborate to analyze the existing system. Users aid by explaining existing processing procedures and providing data. The computer staff uses this information to document the existing systems and help establish the boundaries of a new system.

Next the design of a new system begins; we advocate that users design their own output and input and basic processing logic. The information services department acts as a catalyst, presenting alternatives for users to consider. A plan for conversion, including a forecast of the impact of the system on all potential users, should be developed. A conversion plan can be started at this point, and users design manual procedures.

The information services staff develops detailed specifications based on the logic and requirements specified by users; the staff also prepares a technical conversion plan. The users on the design team review the technical plans and also work on the development of specifications for manual procedures.

The user role during programming is one of monitoring progress. Are modern techniques being used to manage programming? Is a project schedule maintained and are resources reallocated as necessary to achieve installation on schedule? However, the bulk of the responsibility during this stage rests with the information services department. The staff has to design programming modules, code them, and test them both alone and in combination.

During testing, users should define data for test programs and an attempt should be made to generate data with errors to be sure the system will catch them. Users should carefully examine test results and evaluate the adequacy of processing. Some kind of acceptance test should also be conducted by the information services department and the results evaluated by users. A parallel test of old and new procedures or pilot studies may be used for this purpose.

**Table 17-1 Responsibilities During the System Life Cycle**

| Stages                      | Responsibilities of  |   |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
|                             | Users  | Information services staff  |
| Inception                   | Initiate study, suggest application, sketch information needs, describe existing processing procedures   | Listen to requirements, respond to questions, devise alternatives, assess using rough estimates, prepare preliminary survey |
| Feasibility study           | Help evaluate existing system and proposed alternatives, select alternative for design   | Evaluate alternatives using agreed-upon criteria  |
| Systems analysis            | Help describe existing system, collect and analyze data  | Conduct analysis, collect data, and document findings   |
| Design                      | Design output, input, processing logic; plan for conversion and forecast impact on users; design manual procedures; remain aware of file structures and design | Present alternatives and tradeoffs to users for their decisions   |
| Specifications              | Review specifications, help develop specifications for manual procedures   | Combine user needs with technical requirements to develop specifications, develop technical conversion plan                 |
| Programming                 | Monitor progress   | Organize programming, design modules, code programs   |
| Testing                     | Generate test data and evaluate results  | Test program modules individually and in entire system  |
| Training                    | Develop materials, conduct training sessions   | Aid in preparation of materials and train operations staff  |
| Conversion and installation | Phase conversion, provide resources, conduct postimplementation audit  | Coordinate conversion, perform conversion processing tasks, help in postimplementation audit                                |
| Operations                  | Provide data and utilize output, monitor system use and quality, suggest modifications and enhancements  | Process data to produce output reliably, respond to enhancement requests, suggest improvements, monitor service             |

Training is essential for smooth conversion and installation. Users develop materials and actually conduct the training sessions. Training can often be combined with testing; the preparation of test data serves to help train users. The information services staff aids in the preparation of materials and has the responsibility for training the operations staff.

Conversion is a crucial part of the systems life cycle and should be phased if possible. For example, can one department or geographic area be converted first? The information services department coordinates conversion and performs conversion procedures such as creating initial files for the new system. Users and

the information services department should jointly conduct a postimplementation audit. How well does the system meet specifications? How good were the specifications; that is, how do users react to the system now? How do the original estimates compare with what was achieved? These data can be helpful in making estimates for future projects.

Finally, during operations, users furnish data for input and work with the output. The user will probably suggest enhancements and modifications to the system over time. The information services department processes the data to produce output reliably, as scheduled. The information services department should also look for improvements itself and respond to modifications suggested by users.

### **The Information Services Department Manager**

Many user and management problems with information services revolve around the manager of this department. Understanding this individual's position helps in developing a relationship between the information services department and users. In an insightful paper, Nolan (1973) has described the plight of managers of information services departments.

First, these managers have a wide variety of subordinates reporting to them, ranging from highly technical computer professionals to clerical personnel. Second, the department is responsible for a broad range of activities from creative systems design work to routine clerical chores. Third, the department impacts many, if not all, areas of the organization. The manager controls a large budget and is responsible for a major investment in equipment. As the department becomes larger and needs become less technical, the emphasis shifts more toward managerial problems. Unfortunately, we often find managers of information services departments with no management background because their experience is all on the technical side of computing.

Management of the organization has tended to treat the information services department manager as a scapegoat. More seriously, top level management often views the information services department manager only as a technical specialist; they assume that the manager has no desire or ability to progress further in the organization. Given this set of attitudes, the only option open to the information services department manager is to become the manager of a larger or better department in another organization.

How should the top management of the organization respond to the information services department manager? First, top management should see the manager of the information services department as change agent and give support to the manager's activities within the organization. Management must provide extra resources and encourage users to join design teams. Management should also consider the broad exposure that the information services department manager obtains to all other areas of the organization. Is this person not a good candidate for other managerial positions? Top management should not view the information services department manager as necessarily in a terminal position in the organization.

## **Mangerial Influence**

How does a manager who is not directly responsible for the information services department influence that department's activities? What can a user or manager do when faced with an unresponsive information services department? Earlier, in Chapter 5, we suggested several steps which could be taken to influence the information services department:

- 1 Urge the formation of a steering committee.
- 2 Request user representatives.
- 3 Insist that existing information systems work properly.
- 4 Request a schedule for services.
- 5 Conduct a user survey to rank various information systems and use the results to work with the information services department on improvements.
- 6 Insist on the inclusion of users as a part of the design team.
- 7 Review new systems input and output specifications and plan for implementation.
- 8 See that the user department staff is adequately trained.

Unfortunately, in many organizations the information services department has become so totally unresponsive that even these steps are hard to achieve. We see users who are totally frustrated with the information services department and turn to external services, for example, a batch or time-sharing service bureau.

Is there any other solution to the problems of an unresponsive information services department when they have reached this level of severity? The major difficulty in this situation is with top management; top management is either unaware of the situation or unable to act to correct it. One approach is to bring in an external consultant to provide some perspective on the information services department. However, there is no guarantee that the consultant will adopt a user-oriented set of criteria in evaluating the department.

A solution more under the control of the manager is to create an informal committee of managers within user departments. These managers should develop a program, including the steps recommended above. Then the committee should meet with the manager of the information services department to present ideas in a helpful manner. If the response is highly negative, the committee should meet with top management of the organization to discuss the problems and possible solutions.

This procedure serves two purposes. It brings problems to the attention of top management if they are unaware of the difficulties. Presenting a program for action also helps management formulate a solution. Top managers may know about the problem, but be unsure of what steps to take. Now they have concrete proposals plus evidence of the information services department manager's attitudes toward users and their needs.

## **Responsibility for the Information Services Department**

The discussion above refers primarily to managers who are in departments parallel to the information services department; they have no direct responsibility

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 17.1

Roberta Hobart is president of Fashion News, a major monthly magazine for the fashion industry and consumers. The company has a computer department which operates a number of systems in the areas of accounting, advertiser billing, and subscription processing. Recently, the manager of the computer department left to accept a position with another firm.

There are two good candidates to become manager of the computer department, Bill McDonald and Lynn Phister. Bill is really not a computer professional. He began his career as an accountant, but has been very involved in computer work. Roberta feels that he is probably quite knowledgeable except in the most technical computer areas. Lynn, on the other hand, is a true computer professional. His past jobs have included working for a computer manufacturer designing software and programming for several firms. At Fashion News, he has been manager of systems and programming. In this task, he has performed very competently, especially in solving technical problems.

Roberta feels that both men could do an adequate job. She is worried about Bill's lack of technical experience, but gives him high marks on management. The opposite evaluation applies to Lynn. He should be superb at solving technical problems, but Roberta is worried about his lack of experience as a manager, particularly in working with users.

What are the essential components of the job? Can you help Roberta make her decision? What additional information about each candidate would you like?

ity for the information services department. What about the problems of the nontechnical manager to whom an information services department reports? Some organizations have vice presidents of information systems or administration with computer backgrounds. However, there are many organizations where the information services department reports to a nontechnical manager.

The nontechnical manager is in an excellent position to implement the steps suggested above. For managerial decisions about information services department policy, the nontechnical manager needs no greater technical knowledge than that contained in this text. If management doubts the quality of the recommendations received from technical staff, then an objective opinion can be obtained from a consultant.

It is not appropriate for the high-level manager to deal with highly technical computer details. Rather, that manager's responsibility is to help set policy for the information services department and serve as a liaison with users and other managers. The recommendations we have made for management in the early part of this text—especially in Part Two, on organizational issues, successful implementation, and project selection—should be carefully considered by the top-level manager to whom the information services department reports. Also, the systems analysis and design approach recommended here can be strongly recommended to the information services department by top management.

The nontechnical manager in the position described here should see that a

plan is developed for information systems activities in the organization. Because of the pressure of new project schedules and the deadlines of computer operations, it is often hard for information services department management to take time to plan for computer activities. However, planning is an important part of good management, since it sets overall goals and directions. An information systems plan should be developed at least once a year and approved by the steering committee in a special session.

What should be included in the plan for the information services department? First, there should be a short management summary indicating where the department is headed. The summary stresses the goals of the department and the resources required to obtain these goals. One section of the plan deals with fixed-base activities. These are activities to which the department is committed because of systems which have already been implemented or new ones which are close to implementation. Variable-base activities represent new projects which are planned for the future or which are under development at the present time. It may even be desirable to divide different projects into classes and have plans for each type; for example, a major project may be considered one that costs over X dollars and requires more than Y years to develop.

Both fixed- and variable-base activities are combined to compute the

## MANAGEMENT PROBLEM 17.2

Cookwell is a manufacturer of cooking utensils; its products are sold in department and specialty stores throughout the world. The company has a large information services department and many computer applications in accounting, production, and sales. Historically, there have been a number of problems with computers at Cookwell. There have been five data processing managers in the last 4 years!

Systems seem to be late or are never implemented at all. Users in all departments are highly dissatisfied with computer services. Reports are always late and there seem to be an inordinate number of errors. The computer staff generally blames users for all the problems. Typical comments are, "The users never get us the input on time. When it does arrive, the data are wrong and we have to correct them. Then users get mad because the output is late."

Users, on the other hand, say, "The computer staff is the most arrogant group of people in this company. Whenever we ask them to do something, there is always some excuse why it cannot be done. Every new suggestion is rejected; if an application looks good, they come back with such an unrealistic cost estimate that no one will pay for it. We would be better with no computer at all."

The president of the company has avoided computer problems as long as possible. However, things have become so serious that some action is required. Rather than fire the present manager of the department, who has been on the job only 4 months, the president has decided to try a new strategy. The president has hired a vice president for administration and the computer department now reports to him. This man has no computer experience, but he is a competent general manager. What should he do to solve the computer problems?

resources required to achieve the plan's goals. The plan should also show scheduled accomplishments over time, including technical considerations and staffing levels. In addition to short-run plans, which will probably have a 1- to 2-year horizon, the information services department may want to develop a broad 5-year plan. This plan, of course, will be in less detail because of technological changes and uncertainty. However, the exercise of developing the long range plan gives the steering committee an opportunity to set directions for computer activities. It is also helpful to have the information services department prepare a short annual report of its activities, and this report should be tied to previous plans. What was to be accomplished and what was actually achieved? In what areas should the information services department concentrate in the next year in order to achieve its objectives?

## **A MANAGEMENT AUDIT**

There are several different types of audits of an information services department: (1) an audit of efficiency, (2) an audit of effectiveness, (3) an audit of control and security. A consulting company or computer vendor can conduct an audit for efficiency; the focus of this audit is on the various procedures used in the information services department. The consultant might use performance monitors to suggest more efficient ways to use the equipment. For example, one might employ a hardware or software monitor to trace the execution of operating system programs, applications programs, and file activity to suggest improvements. Such studies are useful and can often result in equipment savings.

A more complex audit is necessary to assess the effectiveness of information processing services. The philosophy expressed in this text suggests that effectiveness should be measured in large part by users and their reactions to systems. The criteria discussed for a well-run information services department and for successful implementation can be used for such an audit. However, users might not be aware of the potential for information systems. For example, the information services department might be providing almost no information processing services, yet users may be happy with the output they receive. If this possibility exists, external experts may be needed to assess the extent to which the potential of computer-based information systems has been achieved. Instead of using computer experts, an organization can survey similar organizations through trade or professional associations to establish a benchmark for its own computer-based information systems activities.

A team of internal auditors or certified public accountants might conduct the third type of audit, one which examines control and security. The CPAs would focus on systems which have a material impact on the statement of assets or liabilities, such as payment systems or inventory control systems. The CPA would be less interested in a pure transactions system unrelated to assets and liabilities, such as a passenger reservations system or a factory-floor production-scheduling system (with the exception of one that maintains work-in-process or finished goods inventories). The CPA has the responsibility of determining that a

firm's financial statements fairly represent assets and liabilities. An internal auditor is concerned with all aspects of the operation of the organization, not just assets and liabilities. If we depend on an on-line system, the internal auditor wants to be certain it has sufficient control and backup.

In the sections below we discuss the types of questions which should be asked in an audit for control and security purposes. This list has been developed from questionnaires used by various CPA firms and the suggestions of Jancura (1974).

**Computer Center Procedures**

Table 17-2 contains a list of points raised in an audit of computer center procedures. The auditor checks an organization chart showing staffing of the center, focusing on the separation of functions between operations and control. The opportunity for fraud exists if one individual is responsible for all processing and control for a system; one classic control technique is separation of functions.

Control logs make it less likely that input or output will be lost, and logging applications in and out also makes it easier to answer questions about job status. Records of job runs and reruns are useful for making corrections and help ensure that a fraudulent run is not being disguised as an error.

Many organizations are very lax in providing backup copies of crucial files and programs. It is quite possible for fire or other disasters to destroy a computer center. If backup copies of programs and files are not maintained in a safe location, usually off-site, the organization is quite vulnerable. Its entire inventory of information systems and records vital to the functioning of the organization could be irretrievably lost. The firm should check backup procedures to be run on other systems by actually executing programs periodically; this practice ensures that the backup system is compatible with the organization's computer.

**Table 17-2 Computer Center Audit Guidelines**

- 
- Organization chart of staff and center
  - Separation of functions between staff; operations versus control
  - Separation of functions between programming and operations
  - Maintenance of control logs for input and output
  - Presence of a schedule for regular jobs
  - Records of jobs run, beginning, ending, errors, restarts, and reruns
  - File backup procedures; second copies stored in separate locations
  - Program backup procedures; second copies (including documentation) stored in separate locations
  - Backup arrangement for processing with another organization, including actual attempts to make runs
  - Insurance for recreating bad files, programs, payment for alternative processing
  - Procedures to check changes to programs
  - Program library and verification, for example, number of cards in deck; also logs of program changes
  - Disk and tape library controls
  - External labeling of files
  - Control of blank forms like checks

Programming changes should be authorized and checked to ensure that changes do not create undesired consequences and to guard against fraud. The computer center probably has a disk pack and tape library. The computer center should control access to material in the library because the library contains vital records for the organization. Finally, the organization should control blank forms such as checks, for example, through control numbers on the checks which are recorded as the checks are used.

**Processing**

Audit considerations in processing not only protect assets and liabilities, they also help ensure accurate processing for users. See Table 17-3. Clearly, the organization must protect files of data. For a sequential file, we check the sequence of the file during update and also confirm the sequence of incoming transactions. We check input during the update to see if transaction values are reasonable when compared with the existing contents of the file.

Record counts ensure that records are not accidentally lost or destroyed. A hash total is a calculation on some field; for example, the value of each item in inventory is added and carried as a control total at the end of the file. The update program computes the old totals and a new one. The computed total is checked with the total on the old master file and a new total is written to the new master file if all figures agree.

Interim notices for transactions which do not match the file should be printed, because these transactions may represent an attempt to misuse the system. Most operating systems provide the capability to put machine-readable labels on a file; this information should contain as a minimum the name of the file and date created. The program should always check for the correct file to be sure that the operator has not made a mistake in mounting it.

Particularly in a batch system, a number of programs are executed in sequence. Each program should check—for example, through the use of a small communications file—that its predecessor has finished processing. Trial balances

**Table 17-3    Processing Audit Guidelines**

| Processing   |
|--|
| Files  |
| Sequence checks  |
| Reasonableness checks on input fields                                |
| Reasonableness checks on updating                                    |
| Record counts, hash totals to ensure integrity                       |
| Trailer records with totals  |
| Error notices for unmatched transactions                             |
| Use of file labels and error checking on labels for all runs         |
| Checks on sequence of program execution (interprocess communication) |
| Trial balances and output checks                                     |
| Audit trail records, especially for on-line updating                 |
| Ability to trace all transactions through the system                 |
| Backup and restart capability for long runs                          |
| Complete record of file changes                                      |

are used to develop adjustments for closing books. Output checks ensure that all output is printed and that various totals match. Audit trails make it possible to follow a flow of transactions through a system, and they are crucial to tracing an inquiry. Some long batch runs keep intermediate progress records so in case of failure they can be resorted at the intermediate step. Finally, all file changes should be recorded; see the discussion of the AAS system in Chapter 15 for an example of an audit trail for an on-line system.

**Input/Output Procedures**

Input/output involves many manual procedures, and often the lack of control inherent in these operations jeopardizes computer-based processing. These procedures apply to both individual programs and systems (see Table 17-4). We should use transaction counts, batch totals, control logs, and so forth to verify that all data are received for processing. The system should be designed so that there is as much positive incentive as possible to provide data. File changes should be authorized. When data are transmitted over a distance, the receiving end should check to be sure that all data are received correctly.

When data are converted, for example from paper to punched cards, some technique should be used to verify conversion. This verification may take the form of a key verifier, or in other instances we can use control totals or check digits.

**Table 17-4    Input/Output Audit Guidelines**

| Input/output procedures                                   |  |
|---|--|
| Manual processing   |  |
|   | Verification that all transactions have been received for processing (for example, by item counts)   |
|   | Incentives to provide data by source   |
|   | Review of proper authorization for file changes  |
|   | Data transmission checks   |
|   | Data conversion checks (for example, record counts, hash totals, control totals on card-to-tape run) |
|   | Action taken if control totals do not match  |
|   | Proof and control function performed by other than machine operator                                  |
|   | Follow-up to see that all errors are corrected   |
|   | Schedule of outputs to go to users   |
|   | Checking of output reports   |
| Machine processing  |  |
| Input control   |  |
| Batch control records, duplicate computations by computer |  |
| Editing on fields (alpha or numeric)                      |  |
| Check digits  |  |
| Missing data checks                                       |  |
| Prevention of duplicate processing of same input          |  |
| Output control  |  |
| Record counts on output reports                           |  |
| Control totals  |  |

What action should be taken when there are errors? For many applications we continue processing, dropping the transaction in error and issuing a notice that an error has occurred. When input/output is controlled properly, there is a separate section, either in the information services department or a user department that proofs input and output; computer operators should not be responsible for I/O control.

We should see that someone follows up errors and checks output as well as input. Entry to the computer system should also be examined. Batch control totals are used to see that all input is entered correctly. For example, in keypunching, a group of 50 documents is totaled on one field manually and a card is punched with this total. The computer input program adds the fields and compares its total to the keypunched total on the cards; an error represents an error in keypunching or the omission of an input record. We should also edit input as processed; for example, an edit program may look for alphabetic characters in numerical fields. Check digits as described above are also useful as a check for missing data. Besides looking for missing or omitted data, we need to protect the system from processing the same input twice, which could happen if, for example, the operator loads input a second time accidentally. Output can also be checked with control totals and record counts to be sure all output is produced, especially reports placed on a temporary print file.

## **Documentation**

We have discussed documentation during systems design. Its importance there was in training users and providing information on how to maintain and repair the system; documentation also provides backup (see Table 17-5). The information services department should have a list of all applications and their status (discontinued, active, under development). Systems design documentation serves as a reference or library during the development of the system, and after conversion, it is used for maintenance purposes. This library contains all information about the system, including the feasibility study and specifications of the new system. We should have examples of input/output forms, file layouts, flowcharts, and decision tables. Each program should be documented in detail, including an actual listing, a flowchart, a variable list, a module list, etc. Testing records are also needed as a reference when errors occur or enhancements are made. Finally, manual procedures should be documented.

User documentation is designed to help reduce questions and simplify the use of a system. It should contain easy-to-follow instructions and examples of output and input along with descriptions of file contents. What are the major processing steps? What kinds of errors occur and how are they corrected by users?

Finally, the installation needs operator documentation to run each application. This documentation includes a system flowchart, a list of programs and their requirements (input files output), and so forth. Error conditions and operator action should be noted. What should be done with output? It is also helpful to

**Table 17-5 Documentation Audit Guidelines**

| <b>Documentation</b>                  |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| List of applications                  | User documentation  |
| Systems design and maintenance        | Output—how to interpret   |
| Table of contents                     | Input—how to complete and submit                                  |
| Feasibility study                     | Files   |
| Existing system                       | Processing procedures   |
| Specifications for new system         | Errors  |
| Output                                | Transitional considerations                                       |
| Input—examples of actual forms, codes | Testing   |
| File layout and organization          | Conversion  |
| Program modules                       | Operator documentation  |
| System flowchart                      | System flowchart  |
| For each program                      | List of programs  |
| Listing of each version               | For each program:   |
| Flowchart/decision tables             | Input and format  |
| Variable cross-reference list         | Files   |
| Module interface cross-reference      | Processing narrative  |
| Variables and identifiers (library)   | Output produced   |
| Tests                                 | Error conditions and action                                       |
| Design                                | Restart   |
| Data                                  | Distribution and processing (for example, decollating) of reports |
| Results                               | Programmer responsible for system                                 |
| Manual procedures                     | User responsible for system                                       |
| Flowcharts                            | Cutoff for submission on input                                    |
| Narrative                             | Scheduled run time (batch) or availability (on-line)              |
| Error controls                        | Setup requirements  |
| Work plans                            | List of machine components used                                   |
| Progress reports                      |   |

have the name of the programmer and user responsible for the system, along with a schedule for input cutoff and the run time for a batch system or the system availability schedule for an on-line application. Finally, the operator needs a list of the machine components used and any setup requirements for the components, for example, special forms.

## Conclusion

Adequate control and security require attention to detail, which can be hard to enforce. Periodic reviews are necessary to be certain that the organization's investment in computer-based information systems and processing equipment is protected. One firm reportedly has a group of traveling internal auditors that enter a computer center and "pull the plug" on all machines to simulate a fire or disaster. Management of the division and the information services department must then demonstrate backup procedures. Creative techniques like this may be necessary to maintain the vigilance necessary for the adequate control and security of computer processing.

## KEY WORDS

|                        |                                |                    |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| Audit trail            | Hash totals                    | Responsiveness     |
| Auditor                | Internal auditor               | Schedules          |
| Backup                 | Labels (internal and external) | Security           |
| Batch total            | Operator documentation         | Sequence checks    |
| Check digit            | Plan                           | Testing            |
| Control logs           | Postimplementation audit       | Training           |
| Documentation          | Reasonableness checks          | Transmission       |
| Errors                 | Record counts                  | User documentation |
| Fixed-based activities |                                | Verification       |

## RECOMMENDED READINGS

- Jancura, E.: *Audit and Control of Computer Systems*, Petrocelli/Charter, New York, 1974. (A comprehensive view of auditing for computer systems.)
- McFarlan, F. W.: "Management Audit of the EDP Department," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 51, no. 3, May-June 1973, pp. 131-142. (This article contains a number of ideas on the effective operation of computer departments.)
- Nolan, R. L.: "Plight of the EDP Manager," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 51, no. 3, May-June 1973, pp. 143-152. (An excellent paper presenting the dilemma of the information services department manager.)
- Walton, R. E., and J. M. Dutton: "The Management of Interdepartmental Conflict: A Model and A Review," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 14, no. 1, March 1969, pp. 73-84. (Examine the conflict model and apply it to the relationship between an auditor and the information services department staff.)
- Wimbrow, J. H.: "A Large-Scale Interactive Administrative System," *IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 10, no. 4, 1973, pp. 260-280. (Examine this description of the advanced administrative system for the on-line logging and audit trails contained in the system.)

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 What stages in the systems life cycle are most critical for users? For the information services department staff?
- 2 Why should users initiate a suggestion for an information system?
- 3 How can users monitor programming progress, since this is primarily a technical task and the responsibility of the information services department?
- 4 Describe the format and contents of good user documentation.
- 5 How could a system like AAS (in Chapter 15) be converted gradually?
- 6 Develop a conversion plan for the Hardserve example in Chapter 15.
- 7 How should users generate test data? What should the data include? Should users familiar with the system or those unexposed to it develop these data?
- 8 What is the purpose of the postimplementation audit? How should it be conducted, and what variables are important?
- 9 Why has the information services department manager been considered primarily a technician? What historical conditions account for this? Are there information services department managers who are in fact not suitable for their managerial posts? What should be done about this situation?

- 10 Steering committees are sometimes called integrating devices. What other integrators for user and information services departments can you suggest?
- 11 Why does the top-level manager (to whom the information services department reports) not need a detailed knowledge of technical factors?
- 12 Under what conditions is an audit for a computer center's efficiency a good idea?
- 13 Design an audit procedure for assessing the effectiveness of computer operations.
- 14 How could audit requirements be taken into account during systems design?
- 15 What is the fundamental role of a C.P.A. in conducting an audit?
- 16 Can we expect to catch fraud with computer systems?
- 17 Have computer-based information systems made fraud easier? Do you think more or less can be embezzled from a computer system than from a manual system?
- 18 Is there any way to detect fraud if there is widespread collusion among information services department personnel and management?
- 19 Describe the reasons for the separation of functions among individuals processing information.
- 20 Of what use are control logs for input and output?
- 21 What kind of file backup procedures are needed in the average organization?
- 22 What good does a sequence check on a file do? Against what errors does it protect?
- 23 What is the purpose of an audit trail beyond control? How does it help users?
- 24 Why are manual procedures so critical to good control?
- 25 Can an information system be overcontrolled? What might happen under such conditions?
- 26 What input controls are available? Are most of these suited for both batch and on-line processing? What checks are possible with an on-line system?
- 27 What are the functions of different types of documentation? What problems are encountered if documentation is inadequate or nonexistent?

# Societal Implications

Computer-based information systems have an impact beyond any one organization. A user of systems may be affected by them directly as a member of an organization or indirectly as a citizen: computer-based systems can transcend the boundaries of an organization. In this chapter we discuss some of the implications of systems to become aware of the social responsibilities associated with information systems. At the end of the chapter we offer a forecast for information systems of the future.

## **SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITIES**

### **Issues for Public Policy**

A committee on computers and public policy of the Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) published a report defining a problem list of issues for computers and public policy. We highlight the various questions raised by this report in the discussion below (ACM, 1974).

**Home Information Services** There are many opportunities for personal information processing services to be offered in the home, particularly through the use of two-way cable communications networks for television. It has been

forecast that this development will revolutionize all aspects of life, from libraries to politics. Many applications have been suggested, such as an instant national referendum, home-study courses, bank and electronic funds transfers, library and reference hookups, private mail services, personal data storage, and computation and game playing.

Such capabilities raise a number of policy questions. Should an information utility offering this type of service be public, private, or private with some regulation? Are these uses of computers good from a broad public policy viewpoint? Is it possible that such systems would tend to create greater isolation among different groups in society? Another question concerns the basic economics of specific proposals. In addition to economics, we must consider the possibility that people are not really interested in many of the services which might be offered.

If such information and communicating capabilities are available, what will be their impact on mobility and personal habits? If these suggestions were ever implemented throughout the country, they might significantly reduce the number of occasions on which people leave their homes.

We have to be concerned about whether security and privacy can be provided effectively in such systems. Can the owner or operator of an information utility use the information for personal gain, such as conducting marketing research surveys?

Another policy question concerns a capability for a national referendum. Is it desirable to present issues in this manner and ask for public response? Will people become tired of this invasion of privacy and lose interest in the legislative process? It is also possible that such a service would be too expensive, thus disenfranchising certain groups who are unable to afford it. Such a proposal raises the specter of sabotage or of attempts to influence the outcome of elections illegally. How easy would it be to abuse the information available for the purposes of controlling the people?

**Computers and Money** Electronic funds transfer systems have been studied extensively during the past decade and a number of systems have been proposed. To implement such a system, there would be a need to rewrite many of the present laws concerning credit and money. However, we do not really know the present public attitude toward electronic funds transfer. There are also questions of privacy involved here; for example, information on checks could be potentially useful to different segments of society, or such information could be used for surveillance purposes. The point-of-sale recorders in stores would make it possible to keep track of where a person is at the time of any transaction and thus keep a record of an individual's travels.

**Computers and Elections** Computers have been used routinely to predict the outcome of elections and to tally votes. There have also been political candidates who have used computers widely to custom-tailor campaigns to individual areas, ethnic groups, and even individuals. Are the sophisticated

computer predictions actually discouraging people from voting since they "know the outcome" in advance?

**Computers in Education** Computers and computer-aided instruction have not revolutionized education. Many of these systems have turned out to be more expensive than traditional methods and have had mixed results. Can these systems be used for something more than drill, and, if so, will they replace or supplement the teacher? Could the use of more computer-aided instruction decentralize the education process so the students can spend more time at home and less time in school?

**Privacy** Certainly one of the most widely debated topics relating to society is the issue of an individual's right to privacy. There are many bills and acts which have been proposed to ensure the individual's privacy. At what point does the right to privacy come in conflict with other rights? Recent revelations about government agency data banks and misuse of information have also heightened concern over privacy. On the other hand, society certainly has the need and the right to have certain kinds of information which contributes to the general welfare. Demographic information and information on income levels are vitally important in establishing national policy. However, information on wages and financial condition is considered to be extremely sensitive by most individuals.

Certainly, current thinking is that individuals should have the right to ascertain whether information held about them is correct and to force the correction of errors. There is less agreement on the types of penalties that should be imposed for the misuse of private information maintained in some type of data bank. Other questions arise as to whether individuals should have the right to know who has requested information about them from a data bank. Some countries have become very concerned about this trend; for example, Sweden has enacted a comprehensive program to regulate the development of data banks.

**Employment** Labor leaders have been extremely concerned about the possibility of wide-scale unemployment because of computers. The computer industry is now a very large component of the United States economy and it has created hundreds of thousands of jobs. Naturally, the implementation of some information systems has eliminated or modified jobs, although there are few statistics to indicate the overall impact on employment.

Clearly, there are implications from the implementation of information systems on the pace of technological change, job security, and the importance of retraining workers when jobs change. Has the opportunity to exercise individual initiative and has the interest of jobs been reduced by computers?

**Computer Knowledge** There is a widespread lack of understanding in the world concerning the capabilities of computers. There are individuals who believe that the computer can do almost anything. Once the author was asked if

the only thing necessary to use a computer was simply to pick up a microphone and talk to the machine! Other individuals feel the computer can be used only for performing simple, repetitive chores. What are the responsibilities of the computer professional to help educate the population concerning the actual capabilities and use of computers?

**Liability** Computer systems are an important part of many business operations. A few companies have already gone into bankruptcy because of errors in processing, and others have turned profitable operations into losses. It is also possible, as one recent scandal has shown, to use a computer to help perpetrate widespread fraud.

There is no way to pinpoint responsibility when a computer system fails. We have stressed that systems design is a creative task; it is possible to have errors in logic and processing which are not caught until actual operations begin. Auditing firms are particularly concerned over the use of computers to process and maintain basic information on the operations of a firm. It is very difficult to audit computer-based systems and to be certain that controls are adequate.

**Computers and Communications** One major trend in computing is toward more remote data access and toward communications among terminals and computers and among networks of computers. This trend raises major policy issues considering the current posture of the government toward communications. All interstate communications are regulated and a number of private companies are attempting to enter the data communications market. The types of routes given these carriers versus those of the existing regulated carriers and the tariff structures for communications raise questions of national policy.

**Computers and Underdeveloped Countries** Is it possible to use computers to help accelerate the development of preindustrialized countries? If so, how do we transfer the required technical expertise to these countries? It will be necessary to work with the leadership of such nations to develop priorities for applications and to train nationals to carry out the work.

**Computers and Power** We have discussed the fact that computers can change power relationships within organizations; the same trend may occur in society as a whole. Is it possible that, through the acquisition of data stored in computer data banks, an organization such as a credit bureau might increase significantly the amount of power that it has over citizens? Is it true that a candidate for national office who cannot afford to use a computer may be at an insurmountable disadvantage? If there are gross imbalances in power, what can we do about it? How much responsibility does the producer of a tool have for its use?

**Harassment** Too many times it appears we are being harassed by computers. Systems are designed to automatically send second, third, and even further overdue notices even when a customer has a legitimate complaint about a

bill. Systems appear unresponsive to an individual's problems because of the need to process large volumes of information quickly. Some systems may be flexible, but require cumbersome manual procedures to update records and keep them accurate. If a clerk makes an error or omission, the computer will continue sending letters to the customer. In other situations, employees learn to rely on computer-based systems and do not provide customer service when a system is unavailable. One bank installed an on-line inquiry system for tellers cashing checks. The tellers were provided with the same hard-copy microfilm used before the on-line system for backup. However, when the new computer system was unavailable because of a malfunction, many tellers refused to cash checks and told customers to come back when the computer was working.

### **Alienation**

All of these problems and frustrations create the most significant social issue: widespread alienation from information systems. If the population is alienated by stories of abuses and harassment, and if people deal with poorly designed systems, clumsy interfaces, and unresponsive information services departments, the future of computer-based information systems is very dim. Alienation will undoubtedly result in a lack of cooperation with systems and the failure to develop new, potentially effective applications. How can we prevent widespread alienation?

### **Some Suggested Solutions**

**Education** Can we provide the general public with more education about computers and information systems? If individuals better understand computers and the problems with information systems, they are better able to cope with them. One reason for widespread participation in systems is to provide users with education and training about systems.

Education about computer-based information systems should be a part of every high-school curriculum, and certainly each college graduate should be exposed to computers. Continuing-education programs on computers should also be encouraged for citizens who want more general knowledge, as opposed to those who want to enter the computer profession. Companies can do their part by providing general education and training in the effective use of computer-based information systems.

**Technical Safeguards** There are some problems of the misuse of computer-based information systems which are technical in nature. We should attempt to make systems as secure as possible to avoid penetration by the unscrupulous. Thorough testing is needed to prevent programs from accidentally disclosing sensitive data. There should be technical checks to procedures to prevent accidental entry by unauthorized individuals; for example, consider the AAS system in Chapter 15, which locks terminals if there are two attempts at unauthorized access.

A more difficult challenge is to design a system to be secure from skilled agents, or from individuals who commit fraud through the system. Protection

here may take the form of monitoring to keep track of users (Hoffman, 1969), or special encoding algorithms, to maintain security. Unfortunately, there is almost always some weak point in system control; for example, one could bribe an employee of the computer center. For more details on technical safeguards, see Martin and Norman (1970).

**Controls** Some of the controls discussed in the last chapter on auditing procedures can help to prevent certain social problems from occurring. Requiring several individuals to authorize changes in programming and files and checking input carefully help to prevent problems. Controls that require that all data be processed help to solve problems such as files not being updated to reflect payments. Controls are important to the extent that they ensure accurate processing and screen out requests where access is aimed at fraud and/or mischief.

**Ombudsman** Because individuals often know so little about computer-based information systems, they are often baffled, frustrated, and alienated when confronted with computer-related problems. One device used in Europe since the eighteenth century is an independent ombudsman to whom citizens can turn for help. In the U.S. there are reporters for newspapers, radio, and television who check consumer complaints and play a role similar to that of the ombudsman.

The Association for Computing Machinery, a group of computer professionals, has an information ombudsman program for citizens. However, it is not clear that the average citizen knows of the association's existence or where to turn for help. The idea of an expert who can assist citizens with grievances about computer-based information systems is excellent, although in a society as large as that of the United States, it may not be feasible. One alternative approach would be for each organization using computer-based information systems to have its own ombudsman. In fact, there may be a need for two such individuals: one for employees, similar to a user representative suggested earlier, and one for customers or the population at large.

**Legislation** Another solution to some of the social issues, particularly privacy and abuse of power, is legislation. In 1973, Sweden enacted a law regulating personal data maintained about individuals. The act establishes a data inspection board which grants permission to keep a data bank of personal information. Sensitive data such as a criminal conviction can be maintained only by an agency charged by statute with keeping these records. Once permission is granted, the data inspection board issues regulations to prevent undue encroachment on privacy. Responsibility for maintaining the correct data lies with the organization maintaining the data bank, not the individual whose records are in the bank. Those organizations whose key records are in error must make corrections demanded by the individual. Damages are specified for violations of these regulations.

In 1974, a comprehensive Federal Privacy Act was passed requiring govern-

ment agencies to keep elaborate records of the use of personal information. Records of inquiries by those whose records are kept must also be provided. To extend these requirements or a similar set to private-sector firms, some of the following are usually proposed in privacy legislation (Goldstein, 1975):

- 1 Notification of the subject about the existence of a record
- 2 Responding to inquiries on the contents of data and the use of records
- 3 Investigating complaints
- 4 Obtaining consent for each use of the data
- 5 Checking authorization for requests
- 6 Keeping a log of all accesses
- 7 Providing subject statements when disputed data are released
- 8 Sending corrections and/or subject statements to past recipients of information
- 9 Ensuring the accurate compilation of records
- 10 Providing additional data to give a fair picture
- 11 Providing a secure system.

While many of these requirements would prevent abuses of data, the regulations are potentially expensive to implement (Goldstein, 1975).

**System Design** While undoubtedly some of the above solutions will be implemented and will help solve some of the social problems with computer-based information systems, are they really sufficient? To a large extent, many social implications are determined in the process of designing a system. By asking the appropriate questions during the design process, we can assess some of the potential problems with the impact of the system on society. For example, we can ask the following about each application:

- 1 Is the application a potential threat to anyone's rights? What could go wrong? For example, do the files contain rumors, hearsay information, or unevaluated reports on individuals?
- 2 Is there a natural disincentive to use the system? For example, does it act to police workers who must contribute the data?
- 3 Is it difficult for someone to use the system? That is, could an individual fill out the forms, understand the input, enter data through a terminal, or do whatever is required?
- 4 How many ways could someone find to defraud the system?
- 5 If one wanted to misuse the data of the system, how could he or she evade the procedures which safeguard it? What could someone do to misuse the data?

The design team should encourage independent attempts to penetrate the system along the lines suggested above to verify the completeness and the viability of the design. A well-designed system is the best guarantee against harassment, abuse, privacy violations, and alienation.

## THE FUTURE OF COMPUTER-BASED INFORMATION SYSTEMS

In this book, we have discussed computer-based information systems, including their design and underlying technology. What trends do we foresee for these systems in the future?

### Technology

There are a number of technological trends; however, these trends tend to change quickly. We have observed a growing movement toward data-base systems in order to have data on-line and to create complex file structures easily. Standard packages are being used more because of high programming costs and the need for more programmer productivity.

There is a continuing debate over distributed processing versus centralized control. This discussion is associated with the increased use of special-purpose computers, for example, dedicated minicomputers and microcomputers performing local processing while connected to a network. Minicomputers and microcomputers make local intelligence possible, and there are many who advocate this technology to decentralize processing.

There will be more on-line systems in the future. These systems are important for the access they provide to a constantly updated central data base and for the improvements they offer in data entry and processing and responding to retrieval requests. The presence of more on-line systems means an increase in data communications in the future. More competition in data transmission can also be expected among common carriers.

### Applications

While the trends in technology change rapidly with a new invention or manufacturing technology, changes in the nature of applications take much longer because the development of systems is an intellectual and labor-intensive task. There will be continued emphasis on automating transactions-processing systems when savings can be demonstrated. This automation will be particularly important in the service industry, where added productivity is hard to achieve and salaries are a large component of costs; automated information processing is one way to improve productivity.

Considering the Gorry and Scott Morton framework in Chapter 3, we expect to see increasing emphasis on managerial control and strategic planning applications. Some of these systems will be quite similar to the decision support applications discussed in Chapter 16. Models and higher-level language combined with time-sharing will help to make the development of these systems easier and less costly, though system benefits will be hard to evaluate quantitatively. These systems do provide information to support decision making.

We also expect more attempts to develop unstructured applications in general, though clearly this is a high-risk activity. Systems for unstructured problems will probably feature more man-machine interaction and will let computers and people perform the information processing activities to which they are each best suited.

In conclusion, a powerful technology exists which can provide many benefits to society, organizations, and individuals. To achieve this potential we need both qualified computer professionals and educated users who can make intelligent decisions about computer-based information systems.

KEY WORDS

|                  |                       |                         |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Alienation       | Home services         | Privacy                 |
| Control          | Legislation           | Social impact           |
| Decision support | Misuse of information | Structured              |
| Employment       | Ombudsman             | Transactions processing |
| Fraud            | Power                 | Unstructured            |
| Harassment       |                       |                         |

RECOMMENDED READINGS

ACM Committee on Computers and Public Policy: "A Problem List of Issues Concerning Computers and Public Policy," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 17, no. 9, September 1974, pp. 494-503. (An article containing a detailed list of policy issues concerning the use of computers in society.)

Goldstein, R. G.: "The Costs of Privacy," *Datamation*, October 1975, pp. 65-69. (This article attempts to assess some of the possible costs of privacy legislation to organizations maintaining large data banks.)

Hoffman, L.: "Computers and Privacy: A Survey," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 1, no. 2, 1969, pp. 89-104. (An article discussing technological solutions to invasions of privacy and the misuse of computer systems.)

Martin, J., and R. Norman: *The Computerized Society*, Prentice-Hall, New York, 1970. (This entire book is devoted to some of the problems and solutions to social issues with computers.)

Robtman, S., and C. Mosmann: *Computers and Society*, Science Research Associates, Chicago, 1972. (An introductory text providing an overview of some of the major societal problems with computer systems.)

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1 Why is the use of a system the responsibility of the systems design team and the organization?
- 2 Is there any such thing as a right to privacy?
- 3 Does the presence of computer equipment make it easier to violate an individual's privacy?
- 4 Is fraud easier with a computer system than with its manual predecessor?
- 5 What would your response be to a proposal for a national data bank of information on citizens for purposes of social science research?
- 6 What kind of home computer applications would you envision that would use a television- or telephone-type terminal in private residences?
- 7 It has been suggested that an electronic funds transfer system could eliminate "float," that is, the use of money by a purchaser who has not yet been billed for

goods or services. Would the elimination of float be desirable? How would an electronic funds transfer system affect the public?

- 8 In your opinion, would it be possible for a group to utilize computers to rig a nationwide election?
- 9 Why has computer-aided education been less successful than originally envisioned? What types of educational activities can best make use of this type of computer system? Where might computer-aided instruction be used in the design of information systems?
- 10 Do computers make it easier to violate an individual's right to privacy? What are the dangers of centralized government records on each citizen? What are the advantages?
- 11 Do employers have a responsibility to retrain workers who might be replaced by a computer system?
- 12 Why is the public so badly informed about the capabilities of computer systems? Do you feel most problems seen by the public are the responsibility of the computer, the manual procedures associated with the system, or the original systems design?
- 13 What can be done to reduce the possibility of a computer-based fraud that would cause the failure of a business?
- 14 How could computers and communications be used to solve some of the pressing problems of society, such as reducing the amount of energy consumed?
- 15 What priorities should be used by underdeveloped countries in trying to develop computer capabilities?
- 16 Is it possible that computer systems will become so pervasive that an elite of computer specialists will acquire dangerous amounts of power? What factors reduce the possibility of such a power shift?
- 17 What are the reasons for developing transaction-processing and operational-control information systems?
- 18 During what economic conditions would you expect the emphasis on transactions processing, as opposed to strategic planning systems, to be greatest?
- 19 Can strategic planning systems ever be shown to save money?
- 20 Why are unstructured systems risky? Under what conditions should they be developed?
- 21 How can an organization decide between centralized and distributed processing?
- 22 What management and control problems are created by distributed processing?
- 23 What advances in computer technology are needed to facilitate decision support systems and the development of unstructured applications?
- 24 Does the design of human-machine systems require a different approach than the design of transactions processing systems?
- 25 What is the role of the user in the development and operation of computer-based information systems?

# Bibliography

- Aaron, J. D.: "Information Systems in Perspective," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 1, no. 4, December 1969, pp. 213-236.
- ACM Committee on Computers and Public Policy: "A Problem List of Issues Concerning Computers and Public Policy," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 17, no. 9, September 1974, pp. 495-503.
- Ackoff, R. L.: "Management Misinformation Systems," *Management Science*, vol. 14, no. 4, December 1967, pp. B140-B156.
- Anthony, R.: *Planning and Control Systems: A Framework for Analysis*, Division of Research, Graduate School and Business Administration, Harvard University, Boston, 1965.
- Baker, F. T.: "Chief Programmer Team Management of Production Programming," *IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 11, no. 1, 1972, pp. 63-73.
- Bartee, T. C.: *Digital Computer Fundamentals* (4th ed.), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977.
- Bauer, R. (ed.): *Social Indicators*, MIT, Cambridge, Mass., 1967.
- Bell, G., and A. Newell: *Computer Structures: Readings and Examples*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971.
- Blumenthal, S.: *MIS—A Framework for Planning and Development*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1969.
- Boulden, J., and E. Buffa: "Corporate Models: On-Line, Realtime Systems," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 48, no. 4, July-August 1970, pp. 65-83.

- Buffa, E. S.: *Modern Production Management*, Wiley, New York, 1961.
- Cardenas, A., L. Presser, and M. Marin (eds.), *Computer Science*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1972.
- Churchill, N. C., J. H. Kempster, and M. Uretsky: *Computer-Based Information Systems for Management: A Survey*. National Association of Accountants, New York, 1967.
- Couger, J. E.: "Evaluation of Business Systems Analysis Techniques," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 6, no. 3, September 1973, pp. 167-198.
- Davis, G. B.: *Management Information Systems: Conceptual Foundations, Structure, and Development*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
- Dearborn, O., and H. Simon: "Selective Perception: A Note on the Departmental Identification of Executives," *Sociometry*, vol. 21, 1958, pp. 140-144.
- Dearden, S.: "MIS is a Mirage," *Harvard Business Review*, January-February 1972, pp. 90-99.
- Dodd, G.: "Elements of Data Management Systems," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 1, no. 7, June 1969, pp. 117-133.
- Doktor, R., and W. Hamilton: "Cognitive Style and the Acceptance of Management Science Recommendations," *Management Science*, vol. 19, no. 8, April 1973, pp. 884-894.
- Fitzgerald, J., and A. Fitzgerald: *Fundamentals of Systems Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1973.
- Gerrity, T. P.: "Design of Man-Machine Decision Systems: An Application to Portfolio Management," *Sloan Management Review*, vol. 12, no. 2, winter 1971, pp. 59-75.
- Goldstein, R. G.: "The Costs of Privacy," *Datamation*, October 1975, pp. 65-69.
- Gorry, G. A., and M. S. Scott Morton: "A Framework for Management Information Systems," *Sloan Management Review*, vol. 13, no. 1, 1971, pp. 55-70.
- Gries, D.: *Compiler Construction for Digital Computers*, Wiley, New York, 1971.
- Hamilton, W. F., and M. A. Moses: "A Computer-Based Corporate Planning System," *Management Science*, vol. 21, no. 2, October 1974, pp. 148-159.
- Hellerman, H.: *Digital Computer System Principles*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967.
- Hennings, C. R., D. J. Hickson, J. M. Pennings, and R. E. Schneck: "Structural Conditions of Intraorganizational Power," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 19, no. 1, March 1974, pp. 22-44.
- Hickson, P. J., C. R. Hennings, C. A. Lee, R. R. Schneck, and J. M. Pennings: "Strategic Contingencies Theory of Interorganizational Power," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 16, no. 2, June 1971, pp. 216-219.
- Hoffman, L.: "Computers and Privacy: A Survey," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 1, no. 2, June 1969, pp. 85-104.
- Husson, S.: *Microprogramming Principles and Practices*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1970.
- IBM: *Improved Technology for Application Development—Management Overview*, IBM Corp., 1973.
- IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 4, nos. 2 and 3, 1965.
- IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 12, no. 2, 1973.
- IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 14, no. 1, 1975.
- Jancura, E.: *Audit and Control of Computer Systems*, Petrocelli/Charter, New York, 1974.
- Kanter, J.: *Management-Oriented Management Information Systems*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1972.

- Kelly, J. F.: *Computerized Management Information Systems*, MacMillan, New York, 1970.
- Leavitt, H. J., and T. L. Whisler: "Management in the 1980's," *Harvard Business Review*, November–December 1958, pp. 41–48.
- Lucas, H. C., Jr.: "An Empirical Study of a Framework for Information Systems," *Decision Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 1, January 1974a, pp. 102–113.
- , K. W. Clowes, and R. B. Kaplan: "Frameworks for Information Systems," *Infor*, vol. 12, no. 3, October 1974b, pp. 245–260.
- : *Toward Creative Systems Design*, Columbia, New York, 1974c.
- : *Why Information Systems Fail*, Columbia, New York, 1975.
- : *The Implementation of Computer-Based Models*, National Association of Accountants, New York, 1976a.
- : *The Analysis, Design, and Implementation of Information Systems*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1976b.
- , and J. R. Moore, Jr.: "A Multiple-Criterion Scoring Approach to Information System Project Selection," *Infor*, vol. 14, no. 1, February 1976c, pp. 1–12.
- McFarlan, F. W.: "Management Audit of the EDP Department," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 51, no. 3, May–June, 1973, pp. 131–142.
- Madnick, S., and J. Donovan: *Operating Systems*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
- Martin, J.: *The Design of Real-Time Systems*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1967.
- Martin, J.: *Telecommunications and The Computer*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1969.
- Martin, J., and P. Norman: *The Computerized Society*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1970.
- Martin, W. A.: "Sorting," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 3, no. 4, December 1971, pp. 147–174.
- Mason, R., and I. Mitroff: "A Program for Research in Management Information Systems," *Management Science*, vol. 19, no. 5, January 1973, pp. 475–487.
- Masterman, J.: *The Double-Cross System*, Avon, New York, 1972.
- Merten, A. G., and E. H. Sibley: "Implementation of a Generalized Data Base Management System Within an Organization," *Management Informatics*, vol. 2, no. 1, February 1973, pp. 21–31.
- Mills, H. D.: "Chief Programmer Team's Principles and Procedures," IBM Federal System Division, Gaithersburg, Md., 1971.
- Mintzberg, H.: *The Nature of Managerial Work*, Harper & Row, New York, 1973.
- Montgomery, D., and G. Urban: *Management Science in Marketing*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1969.
- : "Marketing Decision Systems: An Emerging View," *Journal of Marketing Research*, vol. 7, May 1970, pp. 226–234.
- Moore, J. R., Jr., and N. R. Baker: "Computational Analysis of Scoring Models for R and D Project Selection," *Management Science*, vol. 16, no. 4, December 1969a, pp. B212–B232.
- Moses, M. A.: "Implementation of Analytical Planning Systems," *Management Science*, vol. 21, no. 10, June 1975, pp. 1133–1143.
- Mumford, E., and O. Banks: *The Computer and The Clerk*, Routledge, London, 1967.
- Mumford, E., and T. B. Ward: *Computers: Planning for People*, B. T. Batsford, London, 1968.

- Nie, N., C. Hull, J. Jenkins, K. Steinbrenner, and D. H. Bent: *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences* (2d ed.), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975.
- Nolan, R. L.: "Plight of the EDP Manager," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 51, no. 3, May-June 1973, pp. 143-152.
- Olsen, R. A.: *Manufacturing Management: A Quantitative Approach*, International Textbook, Scranton, Pa., 1968.
- Pounds, W. F.: "The Process of Problem Finding," *The Industrial Management Review*, vol. 11, no. 1, fall 1969, pp. 1-20.
- Rosen, R.: "Contemporary Concepts of Microprogramming and Emulation," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 1, no. 4, December 1969, pp. 197-212.
- Rothman, S., and C. Mosmann: *Computers and Society*, Science Research Associates, Chicago, 1972.
- Sammet, J.: *Programming Languages: History and Fundamentals*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1969.
- Schultz, R., and D. Slevin: *Implementing Operations Research/Management Science*, American Elsevier, New York, 1975.
- Scott Morton, M. S.: *Management Decision Systems*, Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University, 1971.
- Simon, H.: *The Shape of Automation for Men and Management*, Harper & Row, New York, 1965.
- Timmreck, E. M.: "Computer Selection Methodology," *Computing Surveys*, vol. 5, no. 4, December 1973, pp. 199-222.
- Turn, R.: *Computers in the 1980s*, Columbia, New York, 1974.
- Walton, R. E., and J. M. Dutton: "The Management of Interdepartmental Conflict: A Model and Review," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 14, no. 1, March 1969, pp. 73-84.
- Watson, R. W.: *Timesharing System Design Concepts*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970.
- Weinberg, G. M.: *The Psychology of Computer Programming*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1972a.
- Weinberg, G. M.: "The Psychology of Improved Programming Performance," *Datamation*, vol. 18, no. 11, November 1972b, pp. 82-85.
- Weiss, E. (ed.): *Computer Usage Applications*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970.
- Whisler, T. L.: *Information Technology and Organizational Change*, Wadsworth, Belmont, Calif., 1970.
- Wimbrow, J. H.: "A Large-Scale Interactive Administrative System," *IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 10, no. 4, 1971, pp. 260-282.
- Yourdon, E.: *Design of On-Line Computer Systems*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1972.

# Index

# Index

- Acceptance tests, 263–264
- Acquisition of hardware, 208–211
- Action programs in AAS, 303–304
- Add time, 205
- Address, 99–100
  - indirect, 105
- Address modification, 103–105
- Aiken, Howard, 4
- ALGOL, 143
- Alienation of users, 351
- Alphanumeric data, 99
- Analytic models, 205
- Anthony, Robert, 21, 37–38
- Anthony framework (*see* Frameworks
  - for information systems,
  - Anthony)
- APL, 141, 144
- Applications (*see* Systems design,
  - examples of
- Applications packages:
  - criteria for selection of, 211–213
  - general, 201–202
- Applications programmers, 223
- Arithmetic registers, 102–103
- Assembler, 139–141
- Assembly language, 138–141
- Audio response, 116
- Audit trail in AAS, 306–307
- Auditing information systems
  - activities (*see* Information
  - services department, audit of)
- Backup, 251–252, 339
  - in file processing, 185
- BASIC, 141
- Bay Area Study, 41–43
- Bayes' information theory, 27–28

- Benchmark programs, 206–207
- Benefits of information systems, 236
- Binary number system, 98
- Bits, 99–100
- Blocking factor, 169
- Buffer memory, 122
- Central processing unit (*see* CPU)
- Centralization, 59–60
- Character set, 99
- Check digits, 248, 342
- Chief programmer team, 258–259
- Churchill, Neil, 40–41
- COBOL, 141, 145
- Cognitive style, 17, 66–71
- Communications issues involving computers, 350
- Communications subsystem, 119–121
- Compatibility among computers, 107–109
- Compiler, 143–148
- Computer-aided instruction (CAI), 349
  - in AAS, 302
- Computer output to microfilm, 116
- Concentrator, 121
  - example of, in AAS, 302
- Conflict conditions, 64–66
- Control logs, 339, 341
- Control totals, 248, 342
- Controller, 95
- Controls to prevent computer errors, 352
  - (*See also* Error control)
- Conversion and installation of new systems, 265
- Core memory, 100–101
- Cost-benefit analysis, 77
- CPU, 94, 101–103
  - cycles of, 103
- Critical path method (CPM), 274–275
- CRT (cathode ray tube), 116–117
  - graphics, 117
- Cycle times, 205
- Data base management systems, 185–188, 190
  - example of, 187–188
- Data channels, 109–110
- Data structures, 184–185
- Decentralization, 59–60
- Decision-making stages, 21
- Decision style, 66–71
- Decision tables, 230–231
- Decisions:
  - on new applications, 240–242
  - structured, 38
  - unstructured, 38
- Design teams for new systems, 229
- Dictionaries (*see* Direct access files, directories, dictionaries)
- Direct access devices, 111–112
- Direct-access files, 176–185
  - chained, 181
  - directories, 178–180
  - dictionaries, 178–179
  - hash coding, 179–180
  - inverted, 181–182
  - linked list, 181
  - processing of, 178–184
  - updating, 182–184
- Disk devices, 111, 176–178
- Disseminator role, 35
- Distributed processing, 124
- Disturbance handling role, 36
- Documentation:
  - design, 266
  - of new systems, 265–267
  - operations, 266
  - training, 266
  - user, 267
- EAM equipment, 4
- Eckert, J. P., 4
- Economic order quantity, 288–289
- Education on computers, 351
- Educational uses of computers, 349
- Egoless programming, 258

- Elections, use of computers in, 348–349
- Electronic funds transfer systems, 348
- Employment, impact of computers on, 349
- Emulation, 109
- Enhancements to existing systems, 222
- ENIAC, 4
- Entrepreneurial role, 36
- Error control, 247–252
- Estimates for project scheduling (*see* Project scheduling, estimates)
- Exception reporting, 244
- Feasibility study, 236–242
- Fields, 168
- Figurehead role, 35
- File design considerations, 188–190
- Firmware, 109
- Fixed-length record (*see* Record, fixed-length)
- Flowcharting, 229–230
- FORTRAN, 141–143
- Frameworks for information systems:
  - Anthony, 37–38
  - Gorry-Scott Morton, 38–43
  - Simon, 38
- Future of computer-based information systems, 354–355
  - applications, 354–355
  - technology, 354
- Generations of computers, 93–97
- Gorry-Scott Morton framework (*see* Frameworks for information systems, Gorry-Scott Morton)
- Graphics, examples of, 312–317, 320
- Harassment from computer systems, 350–351
- Hash coding (*see* Direct access files, directories)
- Hash totals, 340
- Higher-level languages, 141–148
- Hollerith, Herman, 4
- Home information services, 347–348
- Impact of information systems:
  - on individuals, 62–66
  - on organizations, 59–62
- Implementation:
  - definition of, 76–77
  - research on, 77–79
  - strategy, 80–86
- Inception of new system, 235–237
- Indicators, 24–26
- Information:
  - characteristics of, 22–24
  - communications theory, 26–27
  - definition of, 5, 15
  - formal theories of, 26–28
  - interpretation of, 16–20
  - overload, 69
  - value of, 28
- Information services department:
  - audit of, 338–343
    - computer center procedures, 339–340
    - documentation, 342–343
    - I/O procedures, 341–342
    - processing, 340–341
  - demands on, 222–223
  - manager of, 334–336
    - role of, 334
  - managerial influence on, 335
  - plan, 336–338
  - reporting relationship, 335–336
  - resources of, 222–223
- Information systems:
  - basic functions, 5–7
  - in context of organization, 66–71
  - definition of, 5, 15–16
  - examples of: Advanced Administrative System (AAS), 299–307
    - airline reservation, 54

## Information systems:

- examples of: bank account inquiry, 52
  - computer-aided design, 53
  - Hardserve inventory system, 281–297
  - magazine subscription, 52–53
  - marketing research, 53
  - planning, 53–54, 318–325
  - police, 50–51
  - portfolio management, 314–318
  - production, 51–52, 311–314
  - sales, 51
  - supermarket, 54
  - weather, 49–50
  - interdisciplinary nature, 10–11
  - technical quality of (*see* Quality of systems)
  - types of technology: batch, 48
  - command and control, 48
  - inquire and post, 48
  - on line updating, 48
  - simple inquiry, 48
- Instruction location counter, 102
- Instruction look-ahead, 122
- Instruction mixes, 205
- Instruction set, 106–107
- Instructions, 99–100
- Internal systems for computer processing, 199–200
- Interrupt, 110, 158–159
- Inverted directory (*see* Direct access files, directories)
- I/O devices, 94, 113–118
- Kernel program, 205
- Key, 168
- Key-to-address transformation, 178
- Key-to-disk units, 113
- Key-to-tape units, 113

## Leader role, 35

Leavitt, H., 59–60, 62–64

Legislation to relieve computer abuses, 352–353

Liability for computer errors, 350

Liaison role, 35

Life cycle (*see* Systems life cycle)

LSI (large-scale integration), 123

Machine language instructions, 99–100, 133–138

microprogram steps for, 108–109

Magnetic tape, 112

Maintenance programmers, 223

Managerial control decisions, 21, 24, 37

Manual procedures, 247

Mark sensing, 114

Mason and Mitroff research framework, 16–17

Mass storage devices, 111, 112

Mauchly, John, 4

Memory:

core, 100–101

semiconductor, 100–101

Memory interleaving, 122

Memory technology, 100–101

MICR (magnetic-ink character recognition, 113–114

Microprogramming, 107–109

Milestones, 273–274

Minicomputers, 122–124

Mintzberg, Henry, 34–37

Models, types of, 18

Modularization, 259

Modulation, 119–120

Monitoring, 207–208

hardware, 207

hybrid, 207–208

software, 207

Monitoring role, 35

Multiplexing, 120–121

Multiprocessing, 122, 154–155, 159–160

Multiprogramming, 158–160

Negotiator role, 36

- OCR (optical character recognition), 115
- Ombudsman for computer problems, 352
- On-line systems, 95, 118–121, 155–156, 160
  - errors in, 249–250
- Operating systems, 153–163
  - batch monitor, 154
  - functions of, 162–163
  - on-line, 155–156
- Operational control decisions, 21–22, 24, 37
- Organization as an information processing entity, 7–9
- Package programs, 151–153
  - examples of, 152–153
- Paper tape, 113
- Participation of users in design, 80–81, 224–229
- Performance evaluation, 204–208
- Personal and situational factors, 66–71
  - in information interpretation, 16–17
- Planning for information systems (*see* Information services department)
- Planning languages, 149–151
- PL/1, 143, 145
- Pointers, 181–188
- Postconversion activities, 267–268
- Postimplementation audit, 267–268
- Power:
  - from computer systems, 350
  - distribution of, 160–162
- Precedence relationships in CPM, 274
- Preliminary survey, 236–242
- Primary memory, 94–101
  - organization of, 99–100
  - technology of, 100–101
- Printers, 115–116
- Privacy, 349
  - legislation, 352–353
- Problem finding, 67–68
- Problem solving, 68–69
- Programmer teams, 258–259
- Programming goals, 257–258
- Project management:
  - uncertainties in, 272–273
  - user responsibilities in, 272–273
- Project scheduling, 273–276
  - estimates, 275–276
- Proposal evaluation for new computer systems, 211
- Punched cards, 113
- Quality of systems, 66–71
- Questionnaire and interviews in systems analysis and design, 231–232
- Reasonableness checks, 249
- Record:
  - fixed-length, 170
  - header and trailer, 171
  - logical, 168
  - physical, 169
  - variable-length, 170
- Record counts, 340
- Remote batch processing, 199
- Report generator, example of, 320, 322
- Resource allocator role, 36
- Reviews in programming, 261
- Secondary storage, 94, 110–113
  - devices, 111–113
- Semiconductor memory, 100–101
- Sequential files, 171–176
  - processing of, 172–176
  - retrieval from, 176
  - updating, 173
- Service bureaus, 197–199
- Services for computer processing, 197–199
- Simon, Herbert, 21, 38
- Simon framework (*see* Frameworks for information systems, Simon)
- Simulation of computer systems, 205–206

- Software vendors, 201–202
- Sorting, 172
- Source data collection, 246–247
- Special purpose languages, 148–151
- Spokesperson role, 36
- SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences), 148–150
- Steering committee, 69, 71, 238–242
  - in Hardserve example, 288
  - problems with, 238–239
  - responsibilities of, 239
- Storage address register, 102–103
- Storage buffer register, 102–103
- Strategic planning, 21, 24, 37
  - example of an information system for (*see* Information systems, examples of)
- Structured programming, 259–260
- Synthetic modules, 207
- System specifications, 252–253
- System use, 66–71
  - mandatory, 77
  - voluntary, 77
- Systems analysis, 242–243
- Systems analysts, 223
- Systems design, 243–253
  - automated approaches, 276–277
  - considerations to ease user problems, 244–249, 353
  - creative, 81–86
  - examples of, 84–86
  - data collection for, 229–232
  - examples of: AAS (Advanced Administrative System), 297–307
  - Hardserve inventory system, 281–297
  - portfolio management system, 314–318
  - production planning, 311–314
  - strategic planning, 318–325
  - input considerations, 246–247
  - output considerations, 244–246
  - user-controlled, 81–86
  - user-oriented, 224–229
- Systems life cycle, 220–221, 332–334
  - information services department responsibilities in, 332–334
  - user responsibilities in, 332–334
- Systems programmers, 223
- Technical safeguards for computer misuse, 351–352
- Telecommunications, 119–121
  - analog, 119–120
  - digital, 120
  - multidrop configuration, 121
- Terminals, 115–118
- Testing of systems, 261–263
  - combined module test, 262
  - manual procedure tests, 262–263
  - unit testing, 261
- Time-sharing, 95, 156–158, 160–161
- Top-down programming, 260–261
- Training, 264–265
- Transactions processing, 41
- Translator (*see* Assembler; Compiler)
- Turnkey services, 201
- Unbundling, 201
- Underdeveloped countries and computers, 350
- Use of information systems (*see* System use)
- User:
  - attitudes, 66–71, 78
  - interface, 70, 76, 82
  - participation in systems design (*see* Participation of users in design)
  - representatives, 71
- Variable-length record (*see* Record, variable-length)
- Verification, 247–248, 341
- Virtual memory, 122, 160–161
- Voice input, 115
- Whisler, T., 59–60, 62–64

---

**INFORMATION  
SYSTEMS  
CONCEPTS  
FOR MANAGEMENT**

